



Department of
Environmental
Conservation

State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) DISCHARGE PERMIT

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| SIC Code: | 3325 | NAICS Code: | 331513 | SPDES Number: | NY0030881 |
| Discharge Class (CL): | 01 | DEC Number: | 9-1448-00124/00001 | | |
| Toxic Class (TX): | T | Effective Date (EDP): | | | |
| Major-Sub Drainage Basin: | 01 - 04 | Expiration Date (ExDP): | | | |
| Water Index Number: | E-2-1 | Item No.: | 837 - 226 | Modification Dates (EDPM): | |
| Compact Area: | IJC | | | | |

This SPDES permit is issued in compliance with Title 8 of Article 17 of the Environmental Conservation Law of New York State and in compliance with the Clean Water Act, as amended, (33 U.S.C. 1251 et.seq.)

| PERMITTEE NAME AND ADDRESS | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Vesuvius USA Corporation | | | Attention: | David Cornelius |
| Street: | 5510 77 Center Drive Suite 100 | | | State: | NC Zip Code: 28217 |
| City: | Charlotte | | | Phone: | (704) 591-6081 |
| Email: | david.cornelius@vesuvius.com | | | | |

is authorized to discharge from the facility described below:

| FACILITY NAME, ADDRESS, AND PRIMARY OUTFALL | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| Name: | Vesuvius USA Corporation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Address / Location: | 661 Willet Road | | | | | | County: | Erie | | | |
| City: | (T) Hamburg | | | | State: | NY | | Zip Code: | 14218 | | |
| Facility Location: | Latitude: | 42 ° | 48 ' | 4.6 " N | & Longitude: | 78 ° | 48 ' | 19 " W | | | |
| Primary Outfall No.: | 003 | Latitude: | 42 ° | 48 ' | 09 " N | & Longitude: | 78 ° | 48 ' | 20.4 " W | | |
| Wastewater Description: | Groundwater and Stormwater | Receiving Water: | South Branch Smokes Creek | | | NAICS: | 331513 | Class: | C | Standard: | C |

and the additional outfalls listed in this permit, in accordance with: effluent limitations; monitoring and reporting requirements; other provisions and conditions set forth in this permit; and 6 NYCRR Part 750-1 and 750-2.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire on midnight of the expiration date shown above and the permittee shall not discharge after the expiration date unless this permit has been renewed or extended pursuant to law. To be authorized to discharge beyond the expiration date, the permittee shall apply for permit renewal not less than 180 days prior to the expiration date shown above.

DISTRIBUTION:

DEP File No. 9-1448-00124/00001
R9 RWE
CO BWP - Permit Coordinator
Region2NPDES@epa.gov

| | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------|-----|
| Permit Administrator: | | | |
| Address: | 700 Delaware Avenue, Buffalo, NY 14209 | | |
| Signature: | | Date: | / / |

DEFINITIONS

| TERM | DEFINITION |
|------|------------|
|------|------------|

| | |
|---|---|
| 7-Day Average | The average of all daily discharges for each 7-days in the monitoring period. The sample measurement is the highest of the 7-day averages calculated for the monitoring period. |
| 12-Month Rolling Average (12 MRA) | The current monthly value of a parameter, plus the sum of the monthly values over the previous 11 months for that parameter, divided by the number of months for which samples were collected in the 12-month period. |
| 30-Day Geometric Mean | The highest allowable geometric mean of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the antilog of: the sum of the log of each of the daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month. |
| Action Level | Action level means a monitoring requirement characterized by a numerical value that, when exceeded, triggers additional permittee actions and department review to determine if numerical effluent limitations should be imposed. |
| Compliance Level / Minimum Level | A compliance level is an effluent limitation. A compliance level is given when the water quality evaluation specifies a Water Quality Based Effluent Limit (WQBEL) below the Minimum Level. The compliance level shall be set at the Minimum Level (ML) for the most sensitive analytical method as given in 40 CFR Part 136, or otherwise accepted by the Department. |
| Daily Discharge | The discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for the purposes of sampling. For pollutants expressed in units of mass, the 'daily discharge' is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the 'daily discharge' is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day. |
| Daily Maximum | The highest allowable Daily Discharge. |
| Daily Minimum | The lowest allowable Daily Discharge. |
| Effective Date of Permit (EDP or EDPM) | The date this permit is in effect. |
| Effluent Limitations | Effluent limitation means any restriction on quantities, quality, rates and concentrations of chemical, physical, biological, and other constituents of effluents that are discharged into waters of the state. |
| Expiration Date of Permit (ExDP) | The date this permit is no longer in effect. |
| Instantaneous Maximum | The maximum level that may not be exceeded at any instant in time. |
| Instantaneous Minimum | The minimum level that must be maintained at all instants in time. |
| Monthly Average | The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of each of the daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month. |
| Outfall | The terminus of a sewer system, or the point of emergence of any waterborne sewage, industrial waste or other wastes or the effluent therefrom, into the waters of the State. |
| Range | The minimum and maximum instantaneous measurements for the reporting period must remain between the two values shown. |
| Receiving Water | The classified waters of the state to which the listed outfall discharges. |
| Sample Frequency / Sample Type / Units | See NYSDEC's "DMR Manual for Completing the Discharge Monitoring Report for the SPDES" for information on sample frequency, type and units. |

PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING

| OUTFALL | WASTEWATER TYPE | RECEIVING WATER | EFFECTIVE | EXPIRING |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|

| | | | | |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|------|
| 003 | Site Stormwater Runoff | South Branch Smoke Creek | EDP | ExDP |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|------|

| PARAMETER | EFFLUENT LIMITATION | | | | | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | | | | FN |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|------|----|
| | Type | Limit | Units | Limit | Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Location | | |
| | | | | | | | | Inf. | Eff. | |
| Flow | Monthly Average | Monitor | gpd | | | Quarterly | Estimate | | X | 1 |
| Flow | Daily Maximum | Monitor | gpd | | | Quarterly | Estimate | | X | 1 |
| pH | Daily Minimum | 6.0 | SU | | | Quarterly | Grab | | X | 1 |
| | Daily Maximum | 9.0 | SU | | | | | | X | 1 |
| Aluminum Total Recoverable | Daily Maximum | 750 | µg/L | | | Quarterly | Grab | | X | 1 |
| Zinc (Total) | Daily Maximum | Monitor | µg/L | | | Quarterly | Grab | | X | 1 |

FOOTNOTES:

- 1) Quarterly monitoring means a grab sample taken at least once during the following calendar quarters: Quarter 1: January 1 through March 31; Quarter 2: April 1 through June 30; Quarter 3: July 1 through September 30; Quarter 4: October 1 through December 31.

DRAFT

PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING (continued)

| OUTFALL | WASTEWATER TYPE | RECEIVING WATER | EFFECTIVE | EXPIRING |
|---------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| 007 | Site Stormwater Runoff | South Branch Smoke Creek | EDP | ExDP |

| PARAMETER | EFFLUENT LIMITATION | | | | | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | | | | FN |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|------|----|
| | Type | Limit | Units | Limit | Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Location | | |
| | | | | | | | | Inf. | Eff. | |
| Flow | Monthly Average | Monitor | gpd | | | Monthly | Estimate | | X | |
| Flow | Daily Maximum | Monitor | gpd | | | Monthly | Estimate | | X | |
| pH | Daily Minimum | 6.0 | SU | | | Monthly | Grab | | X | |
| | Daily Maximum | 9.0 | SU | | | | | | X | |
| Aluminum Total Recoverable | Daily Maximum | 750 | µg/L | | | Quarterly | Grab | | X | 1 |
| Lead (Total) | Daily Maximum | Monitor | µg/L | | | Quarterly | Grab | | X | 1 |
| Zinc (Total) | Daily Maximum | Monitor | µg/L | | | Quarterly | Grab | | X | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethylene [PCE] | Daily Maximum | 10 | µg/L | | | Monthly | Grab | | X | |

FOOTNOTES:

- 1) Quarterly monitoring means a grab sample taken at least once during the following calendar quarters: Quarter 1: January 1 through March 31; Quarter 2: April 1 through June 30; Quarter 3: July 1 through September 30; Quarter 4: October 1 through December 31.

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs) FOR INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES

Note that for some facilities, especially those with few employees or limited industrial activities, some of the below BMPs may not be applicable. It is acceptable in these cases to indicate "Not Applicable" for the portion(s) of the BMP Plan that do not apply to your facility, along with an explanation.

- General** - The permittee shall develop, maintain, and implement a Best Management Practices (BMP) plan to prevent releases of significant amounts of pollutants to the waters of the State through plant site runoff; spillage and leaks; sludge or waste disposal; and stormwater discharges including, but not limited to, drainage from raw material storage. The BMP plan shall be documented in narrative form and shall include the 13 minimum BMPs and any necessary plot plans, drawings, or maps. Other documents already prepared for the facility such as a Safety Manual or a Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) plan may be used as part of the plan and may be incorporated by reference. A copy of the current BMP plan shall be submitted to the Department as required in item (2.) below and a copy must be maintained at the facility and shall be available to authorized Department representatives upon request.
- Compliance Deadlines:** An updated BMP plan is due at EDP + 6 months. The BMP plan **shall be reviewed annually** and shall be modified whenever (a) changes at the facility materially increase the potential for releases of pollutants; (b) actual releases indicate the plan is inadequate, or (c) a letter from the Department identifies inadequacies in the plan. The permittee shall certify in writing, as an attachment to the December Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR), that the annual review has been completed. Subsequent modifications to or renewal of this permit does not reset or revise these deadlines unless a new deadline is set explicitly by such permit modification or renewal.
- Facility Review:** The permittee shall review all facility components or systems (including but not limited to material storage areas; in-plant transfer, process, and material handling areas; loading and unloading operations; storm water, erosion, and sediment control measures; process emergency control systems; and sludge and waste disposal areas) where materials or pollutants are used, manufactured, stored or handled to evaluate the potential for the release of pollutants to the waters of the State. In performing such an evaluation, the permittee shall consider such factors as the probability of equipment failure or improper operation, cross-contamination of storm water by process materials, settlement of facility air emissions, the effects of natural phenomena such as freezing temperatures and precipitation, fires, and the facility's history of spills and leaks. The relative toxicity of the pollutant shall be considered in determining the significance of potential releases. The review shall address all substances present at the facility that are identified in the SPDES application Form NY-2C (available at https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/permits_ej_operations_pdf/form2c.pdf) or that are required to be monitored for by the SPDES permit.
- 13 Minimum BMPs:** Whenever the potential for a release of pollutants to State waters is determined to be present, the permittee shall identify BMPs that have been established to prevent or minimize such potential releases. Where BMPs are inadequate or absent, appropriate BMPs shall be established. In selecting appropriate BMPs, the permittee shall consider good industry practices and, where appropriate, structural measures such as secondary containment and erosion/sediment control devices and practices. USEPA guidance for development of stormwater elements of the BMP is available in *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan A Guide for Industrial Operators*, February 2009, EPA 833-B-09-002. As a minimum, the plan shall include the following BMPs:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| 1. BMP Pollution Prevention Team | 6. Security | 10. Spill Prevention & Response |
| 2. Reporting of BMP Incidents | 7. Preventive Maintenance | 11. Erosion & Sediment Control |
| 3. Risk Identification & Assessment | 8. Good Housekeeping | 12. Management of Runoff |
| 4. Employee Training | 9. Materials/Waste Handling, Storage, & Compatibility | 13. Street Sweeping |
| 5. Inspections and Records | | |

BMPs FOR INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES (continued)

5. **Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs) Required for Discharges of Stormwater from Construction Activity to Surface Waters:** A SWPPP shall be developed prior to commencing any construction activity that will result in soil disturbance of one or more acres of uncontaminated area¹. (Note: the disturbance threshold is 5000 SF in the New York City East of Hudson Watershed). The SWPPP shall conform to the current version of the SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity (CGP), including the *New York Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control* and *New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual*. The permittee shall submit a copy of the SWPPP and any amendments thereto to the local governing body and any other authorized agency having jurisdiction or regulatory control over the construction activity **at least 30 days prior to soil disturbance**. The SWPPP shall be maintained on-site and submitted to the Department only upon request. When a SWPPP is required, a properly completed *Notice of Intent* (NOI) form shall be submitted (available at www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/43133.html) prior to soil disturbance. Note that submission of the NOI is required for informational purposes; the permittee is not eligible for and will not obtain coverage under any SPDES general permit for stormwater discharges. SWPPPs must be developed for subsequent site disturbances in accordance with the above requirements. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that the provisions of each SWPPP are properly implemented.
6. **Required Sampling For "Hot Spot" Identification:** Development of the BMP plan shall include sampling of waste stream segments for the purpose of pollutant "hot spot" identification. The economic achievability of effluent limits will not be considered until plant site "hot spot" sources have been identified, contained, removed or minimized through the imposition of site specific BMPs or application of internal facility treatment technology. For the purposes of this permit condition a "hot spot" is a segment of an industrial facility (including but not limited to soil, equipment, material storage areas, sewer lines etc.) which contributes elevated levels of problem pollutants to the wastewater and/or stormwater collection system of that facility. For the purposes of this definition, problem pollutants are substances for which treatment to meet a water quality or technology requirement may, considering the results of waste stream segment sampling, be deemed unreasonable. For the purposes of this definition, an elevated level is a concentration or mass loading of the pollutant in question which is sufficiently higher than the concentration of that same pollutant at the compliance monitoring location so as to allow for an economically justifiable removal and/or isolation of the segment and/or B.A.T. treatment of wastewaters emanating from the segment.
7. **Facilities with Petroleum and/or Chemical Bulk Storage (PBS and CBS) Areas:** Compliance must be maintained with all applicable regulations including those involving releases, registration, handling and storage (6 NYCRR 595-599 and 612-614). Stormwater discharges from handling and storage areas should be eliminated where practical.
 - A. **Spill Cleanup** - All spilled or leaked substances must be removed from secondary containment systems as soon as practical and for CBS storage areas within 24 hours, unless written authorization is received from the Department. The containment system must be thoroughly cleaned to remove any residual contamination which could cause contamination of stormwater and the resulting discharge of pollutants to waters of the State. Following spill cleanup the affected area must be completely flushed with clean water three times and the water removed after each flushing for proper disposal in an on-site or off-site wastewater treatment plant designed to treat such water and permitted to discharge such wastewater. Alternately, the permittee may test the first batch of stormwater following the spill cleanup to determine discharge acceptability. If the water contains no pollutants at concentrations above the applicable effluent limits or Action Levels it may be discharged. Otherwise it must be disposed of as noted above. See *Discharge Monitoring* below for the list of parameters to be sampled for.
 - B. **Discharge Operation** - Stormwater must be removed before it compromises the required containment system capacity. Each discharge may only proceed with the prior approval of the permittee staff person responsible for ensuring SPDES permit compliance. Bulk storage secondary containment drainage systems must be locked in a closed position except when the operator is in the process of draining accumulated stormwater. Transfer area secondary containment drainage systems must be locked in a closed position during all transfers to or from these systems and must not be reopened unless the transfer area is clean of contaminants. Stormwater discharges from secondary containment systems should be avoided during periods of precipitation. A logbook shall be maintained on site noting the date, time and personnel supervising each discharge.

¹ Uncontaminated area means soils which are free of contamination by any toxic or non-conventional pollutants identified in the tables of SPDES Application Form NY-2C. Disturbance of any size contaminated area(s) and the resulting discharge of contaminated stormwater is not authorized by this permit unless the discharge is under State or Federal oversight as part of a remedial program or after review by the Regional Water Engineer; nor is such discharge authorized by any SPDES general permit for stormwater discharges.

BMPs FOR INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES (continued)

C. Discharge Screening - Prior to each discharge from a secondary containment system the stormwater must be screened for contamination*. All stormwater must be inspected for visible evidence of contamination. Additional screening methods shall be developed by the permittee as part of the overall BMP Plan, e.g. the use of volatile gas meters to detect the presence of gross levels of gasoline or volatile organic compounds. If the screening indicates contamination, the permittee must collect and analyze a representative sample** of the stormwater. If the water contains no pollutants at concentrations above the applicable effluent limits or Action Levels it may be discharged. Otherwise it must either be disposed of in an onsite or off site wastewater treatment plant designed to treat and permitted to discharge such wastewater or the Regional Water Engineer can be contacted to determine if it may be discharged without treatment.

D. Discharge Monitoring - Unless the discharge from any bulk storage containment system outlet is identified in the SPDES permit as an outfall with explicit effluent and monitoring requirements, the permittee shall monitor the outlet as follows:

(i) *Bulk Storage Secondary Containment Systems:*

(a) The volume of each discharge from each outlet must be monitored. Discharge volume may be calculated by measuring the depth of water within the containment area times the wetted area converted to gallons or by other suitable methods. A representative sample shall be collected of the first discharge* following any cleaned up spill or leak. The sample must be analyzed for pH, the substance(s) stored within the containment area and any other pollutants the permittee knows or has reason to believe are present**.

(b) Every fourth discharge* from each outlet must be sampled for pH, the substance(s) stored within the containment area and any other pollutants the permittee knows or has reason to believe are present**.

(ii) *Transfer Area Secondary Containment Systems:*

The first discharge* following any spill or leak must be sampled for flow, pH, the substance(s) transferred in that area and any other pollutants the permittee knows or has reason to believe are present**.

E. Discharge Reporting - Any results of monitoring required above, excluding screening data, must be submitted to the Department by appending them to the corresponding DMR. Failure to perform the required discharge monitoring and reporting shall constitute a violation of the terms of the SPDES permit.

F. Prohibited Discharges - **In all cases, any discharge which contains a visible sheen, foam, or odor, or may cause or contribute to a violation of water quality is prohibited.** The following discharges are prohibited unless specifically authorized elsewhere in this SPDES permit: spills or leaks, tank bottoms, maintenance wastewaters, wash waters where detergents or other chemicals have been used, tank hydrotest and ballast waters, contained firefighting runoff, fire training water contaminated by contact with pollutants or containing foam or fire retardant additives, and unnecessary discharges of water or wastewater into secondary containment systems.

* Discharge includes stormwater discharges and snow and ice removal. If applicable, a representative sample of snow and/or ice should be collected and allowed to melt prior to assessment.

** If the stored substance is gasoline or aviation fuel then sample for oil & grease, benzene, ethylbenzene, naphthalene, toluene and total xylenes. If the stored substance is kerosene, diesel fuel, fuel oil, or lubricating oil then sample for oil & grease and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The analytical methods selected for monitoring the stored substances are to be the most sensitive in detecting and quantifying the target analytes as approved under 40 CFR Part 136 and in compliance with NYSDOH ELAP certified methods or as directed by the Department. If the substance(s) are listed in the tables of SPDES Application Form NY-2C then sampling is required. Contact the facility inspector for further guidance. In all cases flow and pH monitoring is required.

MERCURY MINIMIZATION PROGRAM (MMP) - Type IV

On January 31, 2023, the permittee submitted a Conditional Exclusion Certification, certifying that the facility does not have any of the mercury sources listed in Part III.A.3. of DOW 1.3.10.

1. General - The permittee must develop, implement, and maintain a mercury minimization program (MMP), containing the elements set forth below.
2. MMP Elements - The MMP must be a written document and must include any necessary drawings or maps of the facility and/or collection system. Other related documents already prepared for the facility may be used as part of the MMP and may be incorporated by reference. At a minimum, the MMP must include the following elements² as described in detail below:
 - a. Conditional Exclusion Certification - A certification (Appendix D of DOW 1.3.10), signed in accordance with 750-1.8 Signature of SPDES forms, must be submitted once every five (5) years to the Regional Water Engineer and to the Bureau of Water Permits certifying that the facility neither is a mercury source nor receives flows from a mercury source. Criteria to determine if a facility has a mercury source are as follows:
 - The facility is or receives discharge from 1) individually permitted combined sewer overflow (CSOs)³ communities and/or 2) Type II sanitary sewer overflow (SSO)⁴ facilities;
 - One or more effluent samples which exceed 12 ng/L, including samples taken as a result of the SPDES application process;
 - Internal or tributary waste stream samples exceed the GLCA effluent limitation **AND** the final effluent samples are less than the GLCA due primarily to dilution by uncontaminated or less contaminated waste streams. Both components of this criterion may include samples taken as a result of the SPDES application process;
 - A permit application or other information indicates that mercury is handled on site and could be discharged through outfalls;
 - Outfalls which contain legacy mercury contamination;
 - The facility's collection system receives discharges from a dental and/or categorical industrial user (CIU)⁵ that may discharge mercury;
 - The facility accepts hauled wastes; or,
 - The facility is defined as a categorical industry that may discharge mercury. This may also include dentists, universities, hospitals, or laboratories which have their own SPDES permit.
 - b. Control Strategy - The control strategy must contain the following minimum elements:
 - i. Equipment and Materials – Equipment and materials (e.g., thermometers, thermostats) used by the permittee, which may contain mercury, must be evaluated by the permittee. As equipment and materials containing mercury are updated/replaced, the permittee must use mercury-free alternatives, if possible.
 - ii. Bulk Chemical Evaluation – For chemicals, used at a rate which exceeds 1,000 gallons/year or 10,000 pounds/year, the permittee must obtain a manufacturer's certificate of analysis, a chemical analysis performed by a certified laboratory, and/or a notarized affidavit which describes the substances' mercury concentration and the detection limit achieved. If possible, the permittee must only use bulk chemicals utilized in the wastewater treatment process which contain <10 ppb mercury.

²Neither monitoring nor outreach is required for facilities meeting the criteria for MMP Type IV, but monitoring and/or outreach can be included in the permittee's control strategy.

³CSO permits are included under the 05 and 07 permit classifications.

⁴These are overflow retention facilities (ORFs) and are included under the 05 and 07 permit classifications.

⁵CIUs include those listed under Federal Regulation in 40 CFR Part 400.

MERCURY MINIMIZATION PROGRAM (MMP) – Type IV (continued)

- c. **Status Report** - An **annual** status report must be developed and maintained on site, in accordance with the [Schedule of Additional Submittals](#), summarizing:
- i. Review of criteria to determine if the facility has a potential mercury source;
 - a. If the permittee no longer meets the criteria for MMP Type IV, the permittee must notify the Department for a permittee-initiated permit modification;
 - ii. All actions undertaken, pursuant to the control strategy, during the previous year; and
 - iii. Actions planned, pursuant to the control strategy, for the upcoming year.

The permittee must maintain a file with all MMP documentation. The file must be available for review by Department representatives and copies must be provided upon request in accordance with 6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i) and 750-2.5(c)(4).

3. **MMP Modification** - The MMP must be modified whenever:
- a. Changes at the facility, or within the collection system, increase the potential for mercury discharges;
 - b. A letter from the Department identifies inadequacies in the MMP.

The Department may use information in the annual status reports, in accordance with 2.c of this MMP, to determine if the permit limitations and MMP Type is appropriate for the facility.

DEFINITIONS:

Potential mercury source – a source identified by the permittee that may reasonably be expected to have total mercury contained in the discharge. Some potential mercury sources include switches, fluorescent lightbulbs, cleaners, degreasers, thermometers, batteries, hauled wastes, universities, hospitals, laboratories, landfills, Brownfield sites, or raw material storage.

DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

- (a) The permittee shall install and maintain identification signs at all outfalls to surface waters listed in this permit, unless the Permittee has obtained a waiver in accordance with the Discharge Notification Act (DNA). Such signs shall be installed before initiation of any discharge.
- (b) Subsequent modifications to or renewal of this permit does not reset or revise the deadline set forth in (a) above, unless a new deadline is set explicitly by such permit modification or renewal.
- (c) The Discharge Notification Requirements described herein do not apply to outfalls from which the discharge is composed exclusively of storm water, or discharges to ground water.
- (d) The sign(s) shall be conspicuous, legible and in as close proximity to the point of discharge as is reasonably possible while ensuring the maximum visibility from the surface water and shore. The signs shall be installed in such a manner to pose minimal hazard to navigation, bathing or other water related activities. If the public has access to the water from the land in the vicinity of the outfall, an identical sign shall be posted to be visible from the direction approaching the surface water.

The signs shall have **minimum** dimensions of eighteen inches by twenty-four inches (18" x 24") and shall have white letters on a green background and contain the following information:


| |
|--|
| <p>N.Y.S. PERMITTED DISCHARGE POINT</p> <p>SPDES PERMIT No.: NY_____</p> <p>OUTFALL No. : _____</p> <p>For information about this permitted discharge contact:</p> <p>Permittee Name: _____</p> <p>Permittee Contact: _____</p> <p>Permittee Phone: () - ### - ####</p> <p>OR:</p> <p>NYSDEC Division of Water Regional Office Address:</p> <p>NYSDEC Division of Water Regional Phone: () - ### - ####</p> |
|--|

- (e) Upon request, the permittee shall make available electronic or hard copies of the sampling data to the public. In accordance with the RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS page of your permit, sampling results shall be maintained (either electronically or as a hard copy) on record for a period of five years.
- (f) The permittee shall periodically inspect the outfall identification sign(s) in order to ensure they are maintained, are still visible, and contain information that is current and factually correct. Signs that are damaged or incorrect shall be replaced within 3 months of inspection.

MONITORING LOCATIONS

The permittee shall take samples and measurements, to comply with the monitoring requirements specified in this permit, at the locations(s) specified below:

Discharge from Outfalls 003 and 007



GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- A. The regulations in 6 NYCRR Part 750 are hereby incorporated by reference and the conditions are enforceable requirements under this permit. The permittee shall comply with all requirements set forth in this permit and with all the applicable requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 750 incorporated into this permit by reference, including but not limited to the regulations in the following paragraphs:
- B. **General Conditions**
- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Duty to comply | 6NYCRR 750-2.1(e) & 2.4 |
| 2. Duty to reapply | 6NYCRR 750-1.16(a) |
| 3. Need to halt or reduce activity not a defense | 6NYCRR 750-2.1(g) |
| 4. Duty to mitigate | 6NYCRR 750-2.7(f) |
| 5. Permit actions | 6NYCRR 750-1.1(c), 1.18, 1.20 & 2.1(h) |
| 6. Property rights | 6NYCRR 750-2.2(b) |
| 7. Duty to provide information | 6NYCRR 750-2.1(i) |
| 8. Inspection and entry | 6NYCRR 750-2.1(a) & 2.3 |
- C. **Operation and Maintenance**
- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Proper Operation & Maintenance | 6NYCRR 750-2.8 |
| 2. Bypass | 6NYCRR 750-1.2(a)(17), 2.8(b) & 2.7 |
| 3. Upset | 6NYCRR 750-1.2(a)(94) & 2.8(c) |
- D. **Monitoring and Records**
- | | |
|---------------------------|---|
| 1. Monitoring and records | 6NYCRR 750-2.5(a)(2), 2.5(a)(6), 2.5(c)(1), 2.5(c)(2), & 2.5(d) |
| 2. Signatory requirements | 6NYCRR 750-1.8 & 2.5(b) |
- E. **Reporting Requirements**
- | | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Reporting requirements for non-POTWs | 6NYCRR 750-2.5, 2.6, 2.7, & 1.17 |
| 2. Anticipated noncompliance | 6NYCRR 750-2.7(a) |
| 3. Transfers | 6NYCRR 750-1.17 |
| 4. Monitoring reports | 6NYCRR 750-2.5(e) |
| 5. Compliance schedules | 6NYCRR 750-1.14(d) |
| 6. 24-hour reporting | 6NYCRR 750-2.7(c) & (d) |
| 7. Other noncompliance | 6NYCRR 750-2.7(e) |
| 8. Other information | 6NYCRR 750-2.1(f) |
- F. **Sludge Management**
The permittee shall comply with all applicable requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 360.
- G. **SPDES Permit Program Fee**
The permittee shall pay to the Department an annual SPDES permit program fee within 30 days of the date of the first invoice, unless otherwise directed by the Department, and shall comply with all applicable requirements of ECL 72-0602 and 6 NYCRR Parts 480, 481 and 485. Note that if there is inconsistency between the fees specified in ECL 72-0602 and 6 NYCRR Part 485, the ECL 72-0602 fees govern.
- H. **Water Treatment Chemicals (WTCs)**
New or increased use and discharge of a WTC requires prior Department review and authorization. At a minimum, the permittee must notify the Department in writing of its intent to change WTC use by submitting a completed *WTC Notification Form* for each proposed WTC. The Department will review that submittal and determine if a SPDES permit modification is necessary or whether WTC review and authorization may proceed outside of the formal permit administrative process. The majority of WTC authorizations do not require SPDES permit modification. In any event, use and discharge of a WTC shall not proceed without prior authorization from the Department. Examples of WTCs include biocides, coagulants, conditioners, corrosion inhibitors, defoamers, deposit control agents, flocculants, scale inhibitors, sequestrants, and settling aids.
1. WTC use shall not exceed the rate explicitly authorized by this permit or otherwise authorized in writing by the Department.
 2. The permittee shall maintain a logbook of all WTC use, noting for each WTC the date, time, exact location, and amount of each dosage, and, the name of the individual applying or measuring the chemical. The logbook must also document that adequate process controls are in place to ensure that excessive levels of WTCs are not used.
 3. The permittee shall submit a completed WTC Annual Report Form each year that they use and discharge WTCs. This form shall be attached to either the December DMR or the annual monitoring report required below.
- The *WTC Notification Form* and *WTC Annual Report Form* are available from the Department's website at:
<http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/93245.html>

RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- A. The monitoring information required by this permit shall be retained for a period of at least five years from the date of the sampling for subsequent inspection by the Department or its designated agent.
- B. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs): Completed DMR forms shall be submitted for each 1 month reporting period in accordance with the DMR Manual available on Department's website.

DMRs must be submitted electronically using the electronic reporting tool (NetDMR) specified by NYSDEC. Instructions on the use of NetDMR can be found at <https://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/103774.html>. **Hardcopy paper DMRs will only be received at the address listed below, directed to the Bureau of Water Compliance, if a waiver from the electronic submittal requirements has been granted by DEC to the facility.**

The first monitoring period begins on the effective date of this permit, and, unless otherwise required, the reports are due no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of each monitoring period.

- C. Additional information required to be submitted by this permit shall be summarized and reported to the RWE and Bureau of Water Permits at the following addresses:

Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Water, Bureau of Water Permits
625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505 Phone: (518) 402-8111

Department of Environmental Conservation
Regional Water Engineer, Region 9
700 Delaware Avenue, Buffalo, NY 14209 Phone: (716) 851-7070

- D. Schedule of Additional Submittals:

The permittee shall submit the following information to the Regional Water Engineer and to the Bureau of Water Permits, unless otherwise instructed:

| Outfall(s) | SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL SUBMITTALS - Required Action | Due Date |
|-------------|--|---|
| 003 and 007 | <u>BMP Plan</u> The permittee shall submit and annually review the completed BMP plan on an annual basis. The BMP plan shall be modified whenever: (a) changes at the facility materially increase the potential for releases of pollutants, (b) actual releases indicate the plan is inadequate, or (c) a letter from the Department identifies inadequacies in the plan. The permittee shall certify in writing, as an attachment to the December Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR), that the annual review has been completed. All BMP plan revisions must be submitted to the Regional Water Engineer within 30 days. | EDP + 6 months and annually thereafter on January 28 th |
| 003 and 007 | <u>Mercury Minimization Plan</u> The permittee must complete and maintain onsite an annual mercury minimization status report in accordance with the requirements of this permit. | Maintained Onsite EDP + 12 months and annually thereafter |

Unless noted otherwise, the above actions are one-time requirements.

RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- E. Monitoring and analysis shall be conducted using sufficiently sensitive test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.
- F. More frequent monitoring of the discharge(s), monitoring point(s), or waters of the State than required by the permit, where analysis is performed by a certified laboratory or where such analysis is not required to be performed by a certified laboratory, shall be included in the calculations and recording of the data on the corresponding DMRs.
- G. Calculations which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this permit.
- H. Unless otherwise specified, all information recorded on the DMRs shall be based upon measurements and sampling carried out during the most recently completed reporting period.
- I. Any laboratory test or sample analysis required by this permit for which the State Commissioner of Health issues certificates of approval pursuant to section 502 of the Public Health Law shall be conducted by a laboratory which has been issued a certificate of approval. Inquiries regarding laboratory certification should be directed to the New York State Department of Health, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program.

DRAFT

Permittee: Vesuvius USA Corporation
Facility: Vesuvius USA Corporation
SPDES Number: NY0030881
USEPA Non-Major/Class 01 Industrial

Date: February 24, 2023
Permit Writer: Jessica Schwallie
Water Quality Reviewer: Jessica Schwallie
Full Technical Review

SPDES Permit Fact Sheet Vesuvius USA Corporation NY0030881



Summary of Permit Changes

A State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit has been drafted for the Vesuvius USA Corporation. Changes are as follows:

- Updated permit format.
- Added effluent pH limits at Outfalls 003 and 007.
- The lead monitoring requirement was removed from Outfall 003.
- Added Type IV Mercury Minimization Program requirements.

This factsheet summarizes the information used to determine the effluent limitations (limits) and other conditions contained in the permit. General background information including the regulatory basis for the effluent limitations and other conditions are in the [Appendix](#) linked throughout this factsheet.

Administrative History

- 1/9/2023 Vesuvius submitted a complete NY-2C permit application.
- 1/1/2014 The last full technical review was performed and the SPDES permit became effective with a new five-year term and expiration date of 12/31/2018. The 2014 permit has formed the basis of this permit.
- 8/1/1995 The permit was issued with an effective date of 8/1/1995 and an expiration date of 8/1/2000.

Facility Information

The facility was an industrial steel foundry that now discharges groundwater and stormwater to South Branch Smokes Creek.

This facility closed in 2008. Sediment pits were closed in April and March of 2014, and buildings were demolished in November of 2014. Outfalls 003 and 007 are the only two outfalls that remain.

Receiving Water Information

The facility discharges via the following outfalls:

| Outfall No. | SIC Code | Wastewater Type | Receiving Water |
|-------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 003, 007 | 3325 | Groundwater, Stormwater | South Branch Smokes Creek, Class C |

Impaired Waterbody Information

South Branch Smokes Creek segment (PWL No. 0101-0036) is listed on the 2018 [New York State Section 303\(d\) List](#) of Impaired/TMDL Waters. Fishing and secondary contact recreation are impaired due to phosphorus and silt-sediment.

Critical Receiving Water Data & Mixing Zone

The low flow condition was obtained from the USGS/NYSDEC Bulletin 74, 1979.

Gage Name: South Branch Smoke Creek Near Orchard Park
Gage ID: 04214250
7Q10 Flow at Gage (cfs): 0.2 Source: Bulletin 74

This 7Q10 flow was applied at the facility since the outfalls are within approximately 2.5 miles of the gaging station.

Critical receiving water data are listed in the [Pollutant Summary Table](#) at the end of this fact sheet. [Appendix Link](#)

Permit Requirements

The technology-based effluent limitations ([TBELs](#)), water quality-based effluent limitations ([WQBELs](#)), [Existing Effluent Quality](#) and a discussion of the selected effluent limitation for each pollutant present in the discharge are provided in the [Pollutant Summary Table](#).

Anti-backsliding

The limitations contained in the permit are new. There are no instances of backsliding. [Appendix Link](#)

Antidegradation

The permit contains effluent limitations which ensure that the best usages of the receiving waters will be maintained. The Notice of Complete Application published in the Environmental Notice Bulletin contains information on the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR)¹ determination. [Appendix Link](#)

Discharge Notification Act Requirements

In accordance with the Discharge Notification Act (ECL 17-0815-a), the permittee is required to post a sign at each point of wastewater discharge to surface waters, unless a waiver is obtained.

Additionally, the permit contains a requirement to make sampling data available to the public upon request.

Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Industrial Facilities

In accordance with 6 NYCRR 750-1.14(f) and 40 CFR 122.44(k), the permittee is required to develop and implement a BMP plan that prevents, or minimizes the potential for, the release of toxic or hazardous pollutants to state waters. The BMP plan requires annual review by the permittee.

Mercury²

The multiple discharge variance (MDV) for mercury provides the framework for NYSDEC to require mercury monitoring and mercury minimization programs (MMPs), through SPDES permitting. [Appendix Link](#)

The facility is in the Great Lakes Basin and there is no mercury source. On 1/31/23, the permittee submitted a Conditional Exclusion Certification, certifying that the facility does not have any of the mercury sources listed in Part III.A.3. of DOW 1.3.10 and the effluent measured <12 ng/L. Therefore, consistent with DOW 1.3.10, the permit includes requirements for the implementation of MMP Type IV and does not include mercury effluent limitations. The [Schedule of Additional](#)

¹ As prescribed by 6 NYCRR Part 617

² In accordance with DOW 1.3.10 Mercury – SPDES Permitting & Multiple Discharge Variance (MDV), December 30, 2020.

Permittee: Vesuvius USA Corporation
Facility: Vesuvius USA Corporation
SPDES Number: NY0030881
USEPA Non-Major/Class 01 Industrial

Date: February 24, 2023
Permit Writer: Jessica Schwallie
Water Quality Reviewer: Jessica Schwallie
Full Technical Review

[Submittals](#) includes a mercury minimization plan annual status report (maintained onsite), and re-certification of the exclusion every five years. As part of the re-certification, the effluent must be sampled and continue to measure <12 ng/L. This requirement is new.

[Schedule\(s\) of Compliance](#)

A schedule of submittals has been included with the following deliverable:

- Submittal of updated BMP Plan with annual review.
- Maintenance of annual Mercury Minimization Plan status reports on-site and submittal of the Conditional Exclusion Certification form every five years.

[Appendix Link](#)

OUTFALL AND RECEIVING WATER SUMMARY TABLE

| Outfall | Latitude | Longitude | Receiving Water Name | Water Class | Water Index No. / Priority Waterbody Listing (PWL) No. | Major / Sub Basin | 1Q10 (cfs) | 7Q10 (cfs) | 30Q10 (cfs) | Critical Effluent Flow (cfs) | Dilution Ratio | | |
|---------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------|------|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | A(A) | A(C) | HEW |
| 003 | 42° 48' 9.0" N | 78° 48' 20.4" W | South Branch Smokes Creek | C | WIN: E-2-1 PWL: 0101-0036 | 01/04 | N/A | 0.06 | N/A | 0.0094 | N/A | 20:1 | N/A |
| 007 | 42° 48' 4.6" N | 78° 48' 19.0" W | South Branch Smokes Creek | C | WIN: E-2-1 PWL: 0101-0036 | 01/04 | N/A | 0.06 | N/A | 0.036 | N/A | 6:1 | N/A |

POLLUTANT SUMMARY TABLE

Outfall 003

| Outfall # | 003 | Description of Wastewater: Groundwater, stormwater | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--|--|---------------------------|--|------------|------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Type of Treatment: N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Effluent Parameter | Units | Averaging Period | Existing Discharge Data | | | TBELs | | Water Quality Data & WQBELs | | | | | | ML | Basis for Permit Requirement | |
| | | | Permit Limit | Existing Effluent Quality | # of Data Points Detects / Non-Detects | Limit | Basis | Ambient Bkgd. Conc. | Projected Instream Conc. | WQ Std. or GV | WQ Type | Calc. WQBEL | Basis for WQBEL | | | |
| Flow Rate | GPD | Monthly Avg | Monitor | 6100 | 12 | - | - | Narrative: No alterations that will impair the waters for their best usages. | | | | | | 703.2 | - | Monitor |
| The previous monitoring requirement is carried over for informational purposes. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pH | SU | Minimum | - | - | - | 6.0 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 6.5 – 8.5 | Range | - | 703.3 | - | TBEL | |
| | | Maximum | - | - | - | 9.0 | | Consistent with TOGS 1.2.1, the TBELs is 6.0 to 9.0 SU. Given the available dilution, the TBEL is reasonably protective of water quality and has been added to the permit. | | | | | | | | |
| Temperature | °F | Daily Max | - | - | - | - | - | Narrative (Non-Trout): The water temperature at the surface of a stream shall not be raised to more than 90F at any point and... shall not be raised or lowered to more than 5F over the temperature that existed before the addition | | | | | | 704.2 | - | No Limitation |
| | | | Monitoring is not required as the discharge is not a thermal discharge, and there is no reasonable potential to contravene the narrative water quality standard. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aluminum, Total Recoverable | mg/L | Daily Max | 0.750 | 790 | 5 | 4.0 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 0.1 | A(C) | 2.0 | 703.5 | - | Existing Permit | |
| | | | The WQS applies to the ionic form. The existing limit is rolled over from the previous permit. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Mercury | ng/L | Daily Max | - | - | - | - | ILCA | - | - | 0.7 | H(FC) | 50 | GLCA | - | No Limitation | |

Permittee: Vesuvius USA Corporation
 Facility: Vesuvius USA Corporation
 SPDES Number: NY0030881
 USEPA Non-Major/Class 01 Industrial

Date: February 24, 2023
 Permit Writer: Jessica Schwallie
 Water Quality Reviewer: Jessica Schwallie
 Full Technical Review

| Outfall # | 003 | Description of Wastewater: Groundwater, stormwater | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|----|------------------------------|
| | | Type of Treatment: N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Effluent Parameter | Units | Averaging Period | Existing Discharge Data | | | TBELs | | Water Quality Data & WQBELs | | | | | | ML | Basis for Permit Requirement |
| | | | Permit Limit | Existing Effluent Quality | # of Data Points Detects / Non-Detects | Limit | Basis | Ambient Bkgd. Conc. | Projected Instream Conc. | WQ Std. or GV | WQ Type | Calc. WQBEL | Basis for WQBEL | | |
| | ng/L | 12 MRA | - | - | - | - | EEQ | - | - | 0.7 | H(FC) | 12 | - | - | No Limitation |
| See Mercury section of this factsheet . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc | mg/L | Daily Maximum | Monitor | 1.33 | 10 | 1.0 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 0.118 | A(C) | 2.36 | 703.5 | - | Monitor |
| | The WQS was calculated based on an assumed hardness of 150 mg/L in the absence of site-specific information. There is no reasonable potential to contravene the water quality standard. The existing monitoring requirement is rolled over from the previous permit. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | mg/L | Daily Maximum | Monitor | 0.0031 | 1 | 0.4 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 0.0074 | A(C) | 0.15 | 703.5 | - | No Limitation |
| | The WQS was calculated based on an assumed hardness of 150 mg/L in the absence of site-specific information. There is no reasonable potential to contravene the water quality standard. The monitoring requirement was removed from the permit. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Outfall 007

| Outfall # | Description of Wastewater: Groundwater, Stormwater | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|------------|------------|--|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------|--|
| | Type of Treatment: N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Effluent Parameter | Units | Averaging Period | Existing Discharge Data | | | TBELs | | Water Quality Data & WQBELs | | | | | | ML | Basis for Permit Requirement | |
| | | | Permit Limit | Existing Effluent Quality | # of Data Points Detects / Non-Detects | Limit | Basis | Ambient Bkgd. Conc. | Projected Instream Conc. | WQ Std. or GV | WQ Type | Calc. WQBEL | Basis for WQBEL | | | |
| Flow Rate | GPD | Monthly Avg | Monitor | 23000 | 12 | - | - | Narrative: No alterations that will impair the waters for their best usages. | | | | | | 703.2 | - | Monitor |
| | | | | | | | | The previous monitoring requirement is carried over for informational purposes. | | | | | | | | |
| pH | SU | Minimum | - | - | - | 6.0 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 6.5 – 8.5 | Range | 6.5 - 8.5 | 703.3 | - | TBEL | |
| | | Maximum | - | - | - | 9.0 | | - | - | 6.5 – 8.5 | Range | 6.5 - 8.5 | 703.3 | - | | |
| Consistent with TOGS 1.2.1, the TBELs is 6.0 to 9.0 SU. Given the available dilution, the TBEL is reasonable protective of water quality and has been added to the permit. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temperature | °F | Daily Max | - | - | - | - | - | Narrative (Non-Trout): The water temperature at the surface of a stream shall not be raised to more than 90F at any point and... shall not be raised or lowered to more than 5F over the temperature that existed before the addition. | | | | | | 704.2 | - | No Limitation |
| | | | | | | | | Monitoring is not required as the discharge is not a thermal discharge, and there is no reasonable potential to contravene the narrative water quality standard. | | | | | | | | |
| Total Mercury | ng/L | Daily Max | - | - | - | - | ILCA | - | - | 0.7 | H(FC) | 50 | GLCA | - | No Limitation | |
| | ng/L | 12 MRA | - | - | - | - | EEQ | - | - | 0.7 | H(FC) | 12 | - | - | No Limitation | |
| | See Mercury section of this factsheet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc | mg/L | Daily Max | Monitor | 0.302 | 9 | 1.0 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 0.118 | A(C) | 0.708 | 703.5 | - | Monitor | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | The WQS was calculated based on an assumed hardness of 150 mg/L in the absence of site-specific information. There is no reasonable potential to contravene the water quality standard. The existing monitoring requirement is rolled over from the previous permit. |
| Lead | mg/L | Daily Max | Monitor | 0.0062 | 1 | 0.4 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 0.0074 | A(C) | 0.044 | 703.5 | - | Monitor | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | The WQS was calculated based on an assumed hardness of 150 mg/L in the absence of site-specific information. There is no reasonable potential to contravene the water quality standard. The existing monitoring requirement is rolled over from the previous permit. |
| Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) | ug/L | Daily Max | 10 | 28 | 13 | 10 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | TBEL | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | The previous limit is carried over as a BPJ model technology limit from TOGS 1.2.1. |
| | mg/L | Daily Max | 0.750 | 2.33 | 6 | 4.0 | TOGS 1.2.1 | - | - | 0.1 | A(C) | 0.6 | 703.5 | - | Existing Permit | |

Permittee: Vesuvius USA Corporation
 Facility: Vesuvius USA Corporation
 SPDES Number: NY0030881
 USEPA Non-Major/Class 01 Industrial

Date: February 24, 2023
 Permit Writer: Jessica Schwallie
 Water Quality Reviewer: Jessica Schwallie
 Full Technical Review

| Outfall # | 007 | Description of Wastewater: Groundwater, Stormwater | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|----|------------------------------|
| | | Type of Treatment: N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Effluent Parameter | Units | Averaging Period | Existing Discharge Data | | | TBELs | | Water Quality Data & WQBELs | | | | | | ML | Basis for Permit Requirement |
| | | | Permit Limit | Existing Effluent Quality | # of Data Points Detects / Non-Detects | Limit | Basis | Ambient Bkgd. Conc. | Projected Instream Conc. | WQ Std. or GV | WQ Type | Calc. WQBEL | Basis for WQBEL | | |
| Aluminum, Total Recoverable | The WQS applies to the ionic form. The existing limit is rolled over from the previous permit. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix: Regulatory and Technical Basis of Permit Authorizations

The Appendix is meant to supplement the factsheet for multiple types of SPDES permits. Portions of this Appendix may not be applicable to this specific permit.

Regulatory References

The provisions of the permit are based largely upon 40 CFR 122 subpart C and 6 NYCRR Part 750 and include monitoring, recording, reporting, and compliance requirements, as well as general conditions applicable to all SPDES permits. Below are the most common citations for the requirements included in SPDES permits:

- Clean Water Act (CWA) 33 section USC 1251 to 1387
- Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) Articles 17 and 70
- Federal Regulations
 - 40 CFR, Chapter I, subchapters D, N, and O
- State environmental regulations
 - 6 NYCRR Part 621
 - 6 NYCRR Part 750
 - 6 NYCRR Parts 700 - 704 – Best use and other requirements applicable to water classes
 - 6 NYCRR Parts 800 – 941 - Classification of individual surface waters
- NYSDEC water program policy, referred to as Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS)
- USEPA Office of Water Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, Appendix E

The following is a quick guide to the references used within the factsheet:

| SPDES Permit Requirements | Regulatory Reference |
|--|-------------------------|
| Anti-backsliding | 6 NYCRR 750-1.10(c) |
| Mixing Zone and Critical Water Information | TOGS 1.3.1 & Amendments |
| Schedules of Compliance | 6 NYCRR 750-1.14 |
| State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) | 6 NYCRR Part 617 |
| General Provisions of a SPDES Permit Department Request for Additional Information | NYCRR 750-2.1(i) |

Outfall and Receiving Water Information

Impaired Waters

The [NYS 303\(d\) List of Impaired/TMDL Waters](#) identifies waters where specific best usages are not fully supported. The state must consider the development of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) or other strategy to reduce the input of the specific pollutant(s) that restrict waterbody uses, in order to restore and protect such uses. SPDES permits must include effluent limitations necessary to implement a WLA of an EPA-approved TMDL (6 NYCRR 750-1.11(a)(5)(ii)), if applicable. In accordance with 6 NYCRR 750-1.13(a), permittees discharging to waters which are on the list but do not yet have a TMDL developed may be required to perform additional monitoring for the parameters causing the impairment. Accurate monitoring data is needed to determine the existing capabilities of the wastewater treatment plants and to assure that wasteload allocations (WLAs) are allocated equitably.

Permit Requirements

Basis for Effluent Limitations

Sections 101, 301, 304, 308, 401, 402, and 405 of the CWA and Titles 5, 7, and 8 of Article 17 ECL, as well as their implementing federal and state regulations, and related guidance, provide the basis for the effluent limitations and other conditions in the permit.

When conducting a full technical review of an existing permit, the previous effluent limitations form the basis for the next permit. Existing effluent quality is evaluated against the existing effluent limitations to determine if these should be continued, revised, or deleted. Generally, existing limitations are continued unless there are changed conditions at the facility, the facility demonstrates an ability to meet more stringent limitations, and/or in response to updated regulatory requirements. Pollutant monitoring data is also reviewed to determine the presence of additional contaminants that should be included in the permit based on a reasonable potential analysis to cause or contribute to a water quality standards violation.

Anti-backsliding

Anti-backsliding requirements are specified in the CWA sections 402(o) and 303(d)(4), ECL 17-0809, and regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(l) and 6 NYCRR 750-1.10(c) and (d). Generally, the relaxation of effluent limitations in permits is prohibited unless one of the specified exceptions applies, which will be cited on a case-by-case basis in this factsheet. Consistent with current case law³ and USEPA interpretation⁴ anti-backsliding requirements do not apply should a revision to the final effluent limitation take effect before the scheduled date of compliance for that final effluent limitation.

Antidegradation Policy

New York State implements the antidegradation portion of the CWA based upon two documents: (1) Organization and Delegation Memorandum #85-40, "Water Quality Antidegradation Policy" (September 9, 1985); and, (2) TOGS 1.3.9, "Implementation of the NYSDEC Antidegradation Policy – Great Lakes Basin (Supplement to Antidegradation Policy dated September 9, 1985) (undated)." The permit for the facility contains effluent limitations which ensure that the existing best usage of the receiving waters will be maintained. To further support the antidegradation policy, SPDES applications have been reviewed in accordance with the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR) as prescribed by 6 NYCRR Part 617.

Effluent Limitations

In developing a permit, the Department determines the technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) and then evaluates the water quality expected to result from technology controls to determine if any exceedances of water quality criteria in the receiving water might result. If there is a reasonable potential for exceedances of water quality criteria to occur, water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) are developed. A WQBEL is designed to ensure that the water quality standards of receiving waters are met. In general, the CWA requires that the effluent limitations for a particular pollutant are the more stringent of either the TBEL or WQBEL.

Technology-based Effluent Limitations (TBELs)

CWA sections 301(b)(1)(B) and 304(d)(1), 40 CFR 133.102, ECL section 17-0509, and 6 NYCRR 750-1.11 require technology-based controls, known as secondary treatment. These and other requirements are summarized in TOGS 1.3.3. Where the TBEL is more stringent than the WQBEL, the TBEL is applied as a limit in accordance with TOGS 1.3.3. Equivalent secondary treatment, as defined in 40 CFR 133.105, allow for effluent limitations of the more stringent of the consistently achievable concentrations or monthly/weekly averages of 45/65 mg/l, and the minimum monthly average of at least 65% removal. Consistently achievable concentrations are defined in 40 CFR 133.101(f) as the 95th percentile value for the 30-day (monthly) average effluent quality achieved by the facility in a period of two years. The achievable 7-day (weekly) average value is equal to 1.5 times the 30-day average value calculated above. Equivalent secondary treatment applies to those facilities where the principal treatment process is either a trickling filter or a waste stabilization pond; the treatment works provides significant biological treatment of municipal wastewater; and, the effluent concentrations consistently achievable through proper operation and maintenance of the facility cannot meet traditional secondary treatment requirements. There are no federal technology-based standards for toxic pollutants from POTWs. A statistical analysis of existing effluent data, as described in TOGS 1.2.1, may be used to establish other performance-based TBELs.

Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

In addition to the TBELs, permits must include additional or more stringent effluent limitations and conditions, including those necessary to protect water quality. CWA sections 101 and 301(b)(1)(C), 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), and 6 NYCRR Parts 750-1.11 require that permits include limitations for all pollutants or parameters which are or may be discharged at a level which may cause or contribute to an exceedance of any State water quality standard adopted pursuant to NYS ECL 17-0301. Water quality standards can be found under 6 NYCRR Parts 700-704. The limitations must be stringent enough to ensure that water quality standards are met and must be consistent with any applicable WLA which may be in effect through

³ American Iron and Steel Institute v. Environmental Protection Agency, 115 F.3d 979, 993 n.6 (D.C. Cir. 1997)

⁴ U.S. EPA, Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California; 65 Fed. Reg. 31682, 31704 (May 18, 2000); Proposed Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System, 58 Fed. Reg. 20802, 20837 & 20981 (April 16, 1993)

a TMDL for the receiving water. These and other requirements are summarized in TOGS 1.1.1, 1.3.1, 1.3.2, 1.3.5 and 1.3.6. The Department considers a mixing zone analysis, critical flows, and reasonable potential analysis when developing a WQBEL.

Critical Flows

In accordance with TOGS 1.2.1 and 1.3.1, WQBELs are developed using dilution ratios that relate the critical low flow condition of the receiving waterbody to the critical effluent flow. The critical low flow condition used in the dilution ratio will be different depending on whether the limitations are for aquatic or human health protection. For chronic aquatic protection, the critical low flow condition of the waterbody is typically represented by the 7Q10 flow and is calculated as the lowest average flow over a 7-day consecutive period within 10 years. For acute aquatic protection, the critical low flow condition is typically represented by the 1Q10 and is calculated as the lowest 1-day flow within 10 years. However, NYSDEC considers using 50% of the 7Q10 to be equivalent to the 1Q10 flow. For the protection of human health, the critical low flow condition is typically represented by the 30Q10 flow and is calculated as the lowest average flow over a 30-day consecutive period within 10 years. However, NYSDEC considers using 1.2 x 7Q10 to be equivalent to the 30Q10. The 7Q10 or 30Q10 flow is used with the critical effluent flow to calculate the dilution ratio. The critical effluent flow can be the maximum daily flow reported on the permit application, the maximum of the monthly average flows from discharge monitoring reports for the past three years, or the facility design flow. When more than one applicable standard exists for aquatic or human health protection for a specific pollutant, a reasonable potential analysis is conducted for each applicable standard and corresponding critical flow to ensure effluent limitations are sufficiently stringent to ensure all applicable water quality standards are met as required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i). For brevity, the pollutant summary table reports the results of the most conservative scenario.

Minimum Level of Detection

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(iv) and 6 NYCRR 750-2.5(d), SPDES permits must contain monitoring requirements using sufficiently sensitive test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when the method's minimum level (ML) is at or below the level of the effluent limitation established in the permit for the measured pollutant parameter; or the lowest ML of the analytical methods approved under 40 CFR Part 136. The ML represents the lowest level that can be measured within specified limitations of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operations on most effluent matrices. When establishing effluent limitations for a specific parameter (based on technology or water quality requirements), it is possible that the calculated limitation will fall below the ML established by the approved analytical method(s). In these instances, the calculated limitation is included in the permit with a compliance level set equal to the ML of the most sensitive method.

Monitoring Requirements

CWA section 308, 40 CFR 122.44(i), 6 NYCRR 750-1.13, and 750-2.5 require that monitoring be included in permits to determine compliance with effluent limitations. Additional effluent monitoring may also be required to gather data to determine if effluent limitations may be required. The permittee is responsible for conducting the monitoring and reporting results on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). The permit contains the monitoring requirements for the facility. Monitoring frequency is based on the minimum sampling necessary to adequately monitor the facility's performance and characterize the nature of the discharge of the monitored flow or pollutant. Variable effluent flows and pollutant levels may be required to be monitored at more frequent intervals than relatively constant effluent flow and pollutant levels (6 NYCRR 750-1.13). For industrial facilities, sampling frequency is based on guidance provided in TOGS 1.2.1. For municipal facilities, sampling frequency is based on guidance provided in TOGS 1.3.3.

Other Conditions

Schedules of Compliance

Schedules of compliance are included in accordance with 40 CFR Part 132 Attachment F, Procedure 9, 40 CFR 122.47 and 6 NYCRR 750-1.14. Schedules of compliance are intended to, in the shortest reasonable time,

Permittee: Vesuvius USA Corporation
Facility: Vesuvius USA Corporation
SPDES Number: NY0030881
USEPA Non-Major/Class 01 Industrial

Date: February 24, 2023
Permit Writer: Jessica Schwallie
Water Quality Reviewer: Jessica Schwallie
Full Technical Review

achieve compliance with applicable effluent standards and limitations, water quality standards, and other applicable requirements. Where the time for compliance is more than nine months, the schedule of compliance must include interim requirements and dates for their achievement. If the time necessary to complete the interim milestones is more than nine months, and not readily divisible into stages for completion, progress reports must be required.

[Schedule\(s\) of Additional Submittals](#)

Schedules of Additional Submittals are used to summarize the deliverables required by the permit not identified in a separate Schedule of Compliance.