Smith Pond	Smith Pond Sportsmens Club	Town of Howard	Steuben County



Lake Characteristics	Surface area (ac/ha)	45 / 18
	Max depth (ft/m)	26 / 8
	Mean depth (ft/m)	12 / 4
	Retention time (years)	1.2
	Lake Classification	B
	Dam Classification	0
Watershed Characteristics	Watershed area (ac /ha)	354 / 143
	Watershed / Lake ratio	8
	Lake & wetlands %	15%
	Agricultural %	60%
	Forest, shrub, grasses %	21%
	Residential	5%
	Urban	0%
CSLAP Participation	Years	2004-2011, 2013-2018
	Volunteers	Luanne Dockstader and Beth Cartella

Trophic state	HABs Susceptibility	Invasive Vulnerability	PWL Assessment
Eutrophic	Frequent blooms, High susceptibility	Invasives present, High Vulnerability	Impaired

Water quality values for Smith Pond for the 2018 sampling season. “Seasonal change” shows current year variability. Light red color indicates eutrophic conditions in top table and bloom conditions in bottom table. Summer averages for each of the CSLAP years and long term trend analyses show trends in key water quality indicators over a consistent index period (mid-June thru mid-September).

Open Water Indicators	2018 Sampling Results								Seasonal change	Long Term Avg	Long Term Trend?	18 Diff from Avg
	6/10	6/26	7/8	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/2	9/18				
Clarity (m)	1.4	1.3	1.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0		1.3	no	no
Surface TP (mg/l)	0.046	0.046	0.035	0.049	0.041	0.039	0.036	0.031		0.056	no	no
Surface TDP (mg/l)	0.017	0.018	0.026	0.010	0.014	0.018		0.007		1.521	no	
Deep TP (mg/l)	0.069	0.199	0.411	0.048	0.376	0.130	0.088			0.247	no	
Deep/Surface TP	2	4	12	1	9	3	2			4		
TN (mg/l)	0.884	1.230	0.946	1.360	1.620	1.310	1.440	1.320		1.135	no	no
TDN (mg/l)	0.743	0.964	0.755	0.890	1.270	1.040	1.100	1.040				
N:P Ratio	19	27	27	28	39	33	40	43		23		
Deep/Surface NH4	23	35	146		27	43	5	33		45		
Chl.a (ug/l)		27.5	16.5	50.3		49.7	27.2	25.1		31.3	no	no
pH	7.5	8.5	8.0	8.6	9.2	8.3	8.4	7.6		8.1	no	no
Cond (umho/cm)	213	223	239			198	137	225		193	no	no
Upper Temp (degC)	24	21	25	23	26	24	24	25		22	no	no
Deep Temp (degC)	16	10	9	18	12	15	14	18		13	no	no
FP BG Chl.a (ug/l)	15	39	26	97	81	62	48	41		18	↑	↑
HABs reported?	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no				

Shoreline bloom and HABs notifications

Date of first listing	Date of last listing	# weeks on the DEC notification list	# Weeks with updates
Shoreline HAB Sample Dates 2018			
None reported- **HOWEVER, IT IS LIKELY THAT SHORELINE BLOOMS WERE PRESENT BUT NOT SAMPLED IN 2018**			

HABs Status Open water Algae



Shoreline Algae







Smith Pond Long Term Trend Analysis

Clarity



Chlorophyll *a*



Surface Phosphorus



Surface and Deep Phosphorus



Nitrogen



TN : TP



pH



Specific Conductance



Lake Perception



Surface and Deep Temperature



In Season Water Clarity



In Season Water Temperature



Scorecard



CSLAP sampling summary- Smith Pond, 2018

Q. What is the condition of the lake?
A. Smith Pond continues to be mesoeutrophic, or moderately to highly productive, based on moderate water clarity, high algae levels (chlorophyll a), and moderate nutrient (phosphorus) levels. Soluble nutrients were analyzed for the first time in 2018. Some of the phosphorus in the lake is soluble, indicating some potential for more algae growth. Most of the nitrogen in the lake is soluble. The lake has slightly alkaline, intermediate hardness water, low water color, and moderately low nitrogen levels.

Q. How did 2018 compare to previous years?
A. Water clarity and specific conductance readings were higher than normal in 2018. Each of the other water quality indicators was close to normal in 2018.

Q. How does this lake compare to other nearby lakes?
A. Compared to other nearby lakes, Smith Pond usually has higher pH, conductivity, calcium levels, and chloride levels. The lake usually has less favorable water quality and recreational assessments, consistent with more extensive surface aquatic plant coverage.

Q. Are there any (statistically significant) trends?
A. Since 1988, none of the water quality indicators has exhibited any clear long-term trends.

Q. Has the lake experienced harmful algal blooms (HABs)?
A. Water quality conditions indicate a low susceptibility to blooms, with no reported blooms along the shoreline or in the open water. The open water algal community in the lake is usually comprised of a mix of algae with low cyanobacteria levels. This community is dominated by *Anabaena*. Overall open water algae levels are intermediate. Open water toxin levels are consistently below recreational levels of concern. Shoreline blooms have been sampled but were not comprised of cyanobacteria, although previous blooms have included *Microcystis* and *Anabaena.*

In 2018, overall algae levels were intermediate, with green algae the most common taxa in open water samples, and with intermediate cyanobacteria levels. Open water toxin levels were elevated in 2018. Shoreline blooms in 2018 were not reported or not sampled, but it is likely that at least some shoreline blooms were present (given open water blooms and a long history of shoreline blooms at the lake).

Q. Have any aquatic invasive species (AIS) been reported?
A. There is at least one invasive plants reported or present at Smith Pond. Invasive species reported in the lake include Eurasian watermilfoil. No invasive animals have been reported in Smith Pond. Smith Pond has a high vulnerability for new invasives, based on calcium levels, despite the lack of public access.

Q. Are any lake uses likely to be affected by these conditions?
A. Smith Pond supports recreation and public bathing use. Public water supply is stressed by high frequency of algae levels above criteria protecting potable water use, and impacted by raw water cyanotoxins, deepwater metals and other contaminants. Public bathing appears to be fully supported. Recreation is stressed by high frequency of algae levels above criteria protecting recreational use, and impacted by excessive phosphorus levels. Aquatic life appears to be fully supported. Aesthetics are poor due to poor recreational and water quality perception, excessive phosphorus levels, and presence of invasive aquatic plants. Habitat is fair due to surface aquatic plant growth, presence of invasive aquatic plants. Fish Consumption use is considered to be unassessed. There are no health advisories limiting the consumption of fish from this waterbody (beyond the general advice for all waters). However, due to the lack of actual fish sampling, fish consumption use is noted as unassessed, rather than fully supported but unconfirmed.

How to Read the Report

This guide provides a description of the CSLAP report by section and a glossary. The sampling site is indicated in the header for lakes with more than one routine sampling site.

Physical Characteristics influence lake quality:

- Surface area is the lake's surface in acres and hectares.
- Max depth is the water depth measured at the deepest part of the lake in feet and meters.
- Mean depth is either known from lake bathymetry or is 0.46 of the maximum depth.
- Retention time is the time it takes for water to pass through a lake in years. This indicates the influence of the watershed on lake conditions.
- Lake classification describes the "best uses" for this lake. Class AA, AAspec, and A lakes may be used as sources of potable water. Class B lakes are suitable for contact recreational activities, like swimming. Class C lakes are suitable for non-contact recreational activities, including fishing, although they may still support swimming. The addition of a T or TS to any of these classes indicates the ability of a lake to support trout populations and/or trout spawning.
- Dam classification defines the hazard class of a dam. Class A, B, C, and D dams are defined as low, intermediate, high, or negligible/no hazard dams in that order. "0" indicates that no class has been assigned to a particular dam, or that no dam exists.

Watershed characteristics influence lake water quality:

- Watershed area in acres and hectares
- Land use data come from the most recent (2011) US Geological Survey National Land Use Cover dataset

CSLAP Participation lists the sampling years and the current year volunteers.

Key lake status indicators summarize lake conditions:

- Trophic state of a lake refers to its nutrient loading and productivity, measured by phosphorus, algae, and clarity. An oligotrophic lake has low nutrient and algae levels (low productivity) and high clarity while a eutrophic lake has high nutrient and algae levels (high productivity) and low clarity. Mesotrophic lakes fall in the middle.
- Harmful algal bloom susceptibility summarizes the available historical HAB data and indicates the potential for future HAB events.
- Invasive vulnerability indicates whether aquatic invasive species are found in this lake or in nearby lakes, indicating the potential for further introductions.
- Priority waterbody list (PWL) assessment is based on the assessment of use categories and summarized as fully supported, threatened, stressed, impaired, or precluded. Aesthetics and habitat are evaluated as good, fair, or poor. The cited PWL assessment reflects the "worst" assessment for the lake. The full PWL assessment can be found at http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/36730.html#WIPWL.

Current year sampling results

- Results for each of the sampling sessions in the year are in tabular form. The seasonal change graphically shows the current year results. Red shading indicates eutrophic readings.
- HAB notification periods on the DEC website, updated weekly http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/83310.html
- Shoreline HAB sample dates and results. Samples are collected from the area that appears to have the worst bloom. Red shading indicates a confirmed HAB.
- HAB sample algae analysis. Algae types typically change during the season. These charts show the amount of the different types of algae found in each mid-lake or shoreline sample. Samples with high levels of BGA are HABs. The second set of charts show the level of toxins found in open water and shoreline samples compared to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines.
- If there are more than ten shoreline bloom samples collected in a year, bloom sample information is instead summarized by month (May-Oct.) as minimum, average, and maximum values for blue-green algae and microcystin.

Long Term Trend Analysis puts the current year findings in context. Summer averages (mid-June thru mid-September) for each of the CSLAP years show trends in key water quality indicators. The graphs include relevant criteria (trophic categories, water quality standards, etc.) and boundaries separating these criteria.

In-Season Analysis shows water temperature and water clarity during the sampling season. These indicate seasonal changes and show the sample year results compared to the typical historical readings for those dates.

The Lake Use Scorecard presents the results of the existing Priority Waterbody List assessment for this lake in a graphical form and compares it to information from the current year and average values from CSLAP data and other lake information. Primary issues that could impact specific use categories are identified, although more issues could also affect each designated use.

The Lake Summary reviews and encapsulates the data in the lake report, including comparisons to historical data from this lake, and results from nearby lakes.

Glossary of water quality and HAB indicators

Clarity (m): The depth to which a Secchi disk lowered into the water is visible, measured in meters. Water clarity is one of the trophic indicators for each lake.

TP (mg/L): Total phosphorus, measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface (1.5 meters below the surface). TP includes all dissolved and particulate forms of phosphorus. TSP, or total soluble phosphorus, was collected in 2018 and discussed in the lake narrative section.

Deep TP: Total phosphorus measured in milligrams per liter at depth (1-2 meters above the lake bottom at the deepest part of the lake)

TN: Total nitrogen, measured in milligrams per liter at the lake surface. TN includes all forms of nitrogen, including **NOx** (nitrite and nitrate) and **NH_4** (ammonia).

N:P Ratio: The ratio of total nitrogen to total phosphorus, unitless (mass ratio). This ratio helps determine if a lake is phosphorous or nitrogen limited.

Chl.a (µg/L): Chlorophyll a, measured in micrograms per liter. Indicates the amount of algae in the water column. This is an extracted chlorophyll measurement.

pH: A range from 0 to 14, with 0 being the most acidic and 14 being the most basic or alkaline. A healthy lake generally ranges between 6.5 and 8.5.

Cond (µmho/cm): Specific conductance is a measure of the conductivity of water. A higher value indicates the presence of more dissolved ions. High ion concentrations (> 250) usually indicate hardwater, and low readings (< 125) usually show softwater.

Upper Temp (°C): Surface temperature, measured in degrees Celsius

Deep Temp (°C): Bottom temperature, measured in degrees Celsius

BG Chl.a (µg/L): Chlorophyll a from blue-green algae, measured in micrograms per liter. This is an "unextracted" estimate using a fluoroprobe. This result is not as accurate as the extracted chlorophyll measurement described above.

HABs: Harmful Algal Blooms. Algal blooms that have the appearance of cyanobacteria (BGA)

BGA: Blue-green algae, also known as cyanobacteria

Microcystin (µg/L): The most common HAB liver toxin; total microcystin above 20 micrograms per liter indicates a "high toxin" bloom. However, ALL BGA blooms should be avoided, even if toxin levels are low.

Anatoxin-a (µg/L): A toxin that may be produced in a HAB which targets the central nervous system. Neither EPA nor NYS has developed a risk threshold for anatoxin-a, although readings above 4 micrograms per liter are believed to represent an elevated risk.