

September 8, 2008

Mr. Gary E. Bonarski, P.E. Project Manager New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Div. of Environmental Remediation 6274 East Avon-Lima Road Avon, New York 14414-9519

Re: Former Brainerd Manufacturing Site (#V00519) Off-Site Soil Vapor/Groundwater Investigation Report

Dear Mr. Bonarski:

In accordance with our Work Plans dated July 8, 2008 and July 30, 2008, Benchmark Environmental Engineering and Science, PLLC (Benchmark) has completed supplemental offsite soil vapor and groundwater investigations northwest of former Brainerd Manufacturing Site. Sample locations are shown on Figure 1. A description of the work performed and the investigation findings are presented below.

SUPPLEMENTAL SOIL VAPOR INVESTIGATION

On July 9, 2008 two semi-permanent soil gas sampling wells, identified as SV-1 and SV-2, were installed to approximately five feet below ground surface (fbgs) with a direct-push drill rig using ³/₄-inch inside diameter steel rods at the locations shown on Figure 1. The two soil vapor wells were constructed in accordance with our July 8, 2008 work plan. Sampling was initiated on the following day no sooner than 24-hours following vapor well installation. Initially, helium tracer gas injected into a temporary surface shroud was used to check the integrity of the bentonite surface seal of each vapor point. Upon charging the surface shroud, helium gas concentration was measured and compared to a three tubing-volume-purge (TVP) of subsurface vapor withdrawn from the sample tubing and injected into a Tedlar bag from each point. Unfortunately, due to meter malfunction the pre-sampling helium gas results could not be Therefore, further confirmation via a post-sample assessment was accurately measured. conducted immediately following soil vapor sample collection (approximately 8-hours later). The post-sampling TVP helium concentrations at both soil vapor locations were less than 10% of the shroud concentration, confirming the integrity of each surface seal. Soil vapor sample collection field forms are presented in Attachment 1.

Sample tubing from both vapor points (SV-1 and SV-2) and one concurrently collected ambient air sample (Outdoor Air #1) were connected to dedicated 6-liter Summa canisters each equipped with 8-hour regulators. The outdoor air sample was collected to establish background ambient air concentrations during soil vapor collection. Sample duration for each sample was

www.benchmarkees.com

approximately 8-hours and final canister vacuums measured at or below -6 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) and greater than 0 psig. Upon completion of the sampling, canister valves were closed and shipped under chain-of-custody command to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc., a NYSDOH certified laboratory, for VOC analysis in accordance with USEPA Method TO-15.

Table 1 summarizes the laboratory-reported soil vapor and ambient air sampling results. As indicated, certain VOCs were detected in the soil vapor, including BTEX compounds (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes), tetrachloroethene (PCE), 4-ethyltoluene, and n-heptane. Excluding PCE, all of these compounds were also detected in the outdoor ambient air sample in addition to dichlorodifluoromethane, trichlorofluoromethane, 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, and n-hexane.

SUPPLEMENTAL GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION

On August 5, 2008 an additional off-site monitoring well, designated as MW-13, was installed on the south side of Linden Avenue within the Monroe County Department of Transportation right-of-way (ROW) at the approximate location shown on Figure 1. As requested by Monroe County, this new well was completed as a flush mount well within the existing sidewalk area. Nothnagle Drilling of Scottsville, New York provided subcontract drilling work under Benchmark's supervision. The well construction log is presented in Attachment 2. No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was detected during well installation, and all photoionization detector (PID) scans of the cuttings registered non-detectable organic vapor concentrations.

MW-13 was developed and sampled on August 7, 2008. Well development and sampling logs are presented as Attachment 3. The collected groundwater sample was analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. in accordance with USEPA Method 8260.

Groundwater analytical results are summarized on Table 2 with corresponding NYSDEC Class "GA" groundwater quality standards and guidance values (GWQS/GVs). For comparison, historic groundwater results collected from other Site monitoring wells have also been included in the table. Monitoring well MW-13 groundwater analysis detected bromodichloromethane, chloroform, PCE, and trichloroethene (TCE) at concentrations exceeding their respective GWQSs. All other TCL VOCs were reported as non-detectable or at concentrations well below their associated GWQS/GVs.



CONCLUSIONS

Although PCE was identified in the off-site soil gas samples, the concentrations were reported below 100 ug/M³, which is the lowest concentration that would require actions (monitoring or maintenance) to address subslab vapors per Matrix 2 of the NYSDOH Soil Vapor Intrusion Guidance¹. Thus, the soil gas samples indicate that subslab vapor intrusion in the residences along Linden Avenue is not a pathway of concern.

Groundwater data for MW-13 indicate low concentrations of VOCs, with total concentrations less than one part per million. Based on the comparability of these levels to MW-12, no increases in plume concentration or secondary sources are evident. Accordingly, we propose that any additional field efforts be focused on development and implementation of final remedial measures for the site.

Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Benchmark Environmental Engineering & Science, PLLC

mo Fal

Thomas H. Forbes, P.E. Project Manager

Att. File: 0101-001-100

c: A. Shaffer (Despatch)
S. Chalifoux (Boylan Brown)
B. Putzig (NYSDEC)
D. McNaughton (NYSDOH)
J. Kosmala, P.E. (Monroe County Health Dept)

¹ Although the matrix decisions are intended to be based on both subslab and indoor air concentrations, any subslab concentration less than 100 ug/M³ will result in a "No Further Action" or "Identify Source/Reduce Exposure" action, the latter of which is intended to address situations where a source other than soil vapor intrusion (e.g., indoor air source) produces elevated indoor air concentrations.



TABLES





TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF OFF-SITE SOIL VAPOR MONITORING RESULTS JULY 2008

Former Brainerd Manufacturing Facility East Rochester, New York

| Parameter | Sam | Sample Location (ug/m ³) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Falameter | SV-1 | SV-2 | Outdoor Air #1 | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | | | 1.1 | | | | | |
| Benzene | 6.4 | 11 | 2.2 | | | | | |
| Toluene | 450 | 410 | 8.3 | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 75 | 52 | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 31 | 33 | 0.96 | | | | | |
| Xylene (m,p) | 96 | 100 | 4.3 | | | | | |
| Xylene (o) | 17 | 19 | 1.5 | | | | | |
| 4-Ethyltoluene | 6.4 | 5.9 | 1.1 | | | | | |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane | | | 3 | | | | | |
| n-Hexane | | | 5.6 | | | | | |
| n-Heptane | 66 | 110 | 1.5 | | | | | |



TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS TO-DATE

Off-Site Soil Vapor Investigation Former Brainerd Manufacturing Site East Rochester, New York

| | Monitoring Well Location & Date of Sample Collection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| Parameter ¹ | MV | W-1 | M۱ | N-2 | MV | N-3 | MV | V-4 | M | W-5 | MV | V-6 | MV | N-7 | MV | V-8 | | MV | V-9 | | MW-10 | MW- 11 | MW-12 | MW-13 | GWQS/GV ² |
| | 08/1 | 8/06 | 08/1 | 8/06 | 08/2 | 21/06 | 08/2 | 2/06 | 08/2 | 22/06 | 08/2 | 2/06 | 08/2 | 1/06 | 08/2 | 1/06 | 08/2 | 1/06 | 09/1 | 2/07 | 08/21/06 | 03/10/08 | 03/10/08 | 08/07/08 | |
| Field Measurements (units as indic | ated) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | - |
| pH (units) | 7.28 | 7.27 | 7.43 | 7.46 | 7.45 | 7.46 | 7.20 | 7.21 | 7.24 | 7.24 | 6.98 | 6.97 | 7.33 | 7.34 | 7.30 | 7.30 | 6.97 | 7.04 | 7.18 | 7.19 | 7.58 7.61 | 6.90 | 6.83 | 7.21 | 6.5 - 8.5 |
| Temperature (°C) | 19.1 | 18.1 | 16.8 | 17.5 | 19.8 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 16.2 15.7 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 15.7 | |
| Specific Conductance (uS) | 1010 | 1009 | 1795 | 1805 | 2806 | 2824 | 2566 | 2603 | 2076 | 2077 | 3190 | 3192 | 495.6 | 500.1 | 511.7 | 532.4 | 2912 | 2957 | 1497 | 1525 | 1546 1541 | 717 | 737 | 851.3 | |
| Turbidity | 6.5 | 5.25 | 19.8 | 13.7 | 22.1 | 16.5 | 32.3 | 27.3 | 45.1 | 40.4 | 107 | 68 | 15.6 | 11.4 | 5.52 | 3.24 | 30.5 | 17.3 | 65.8 | 89 | 155 106 | 330 | 371 | >1000 | |
| DO (ppm) | 1.43 | 1.47 | 4.72 | 5.53 | 5.06 | 5.45 | 5.53 | 5.56 | 3.04 | 2.91 | 3.25 | 3.21 | 6.74 | 6.95 | 6.49 | 6.25 | 1.68 | 1.74 | 3.12 | 3.09 | 3.32 3.54 | 6.09 | 3.09 | | |
| ORP (mV) | -27 | -32 | + 62 | + 67 | + 138 | + 134 | + 120 | + 118 | + 118 | + 119 | + 129 | + 128 | + 127 | + 127 | + 125 | + 124 | + 149 | + 165 | + 107 | + 105 | + 157 + 157 | + 137 | + 60 | + 10 | |
| TCL VOCs (ug/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | N | ID | Ν | ID | N | ID | N | D | ١ | ١D | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | ۲ ا | ID | ND | 3.1 J | 4.8 J | 4.6 J | 5 |
| Bromodichloromethane | N | ID | Ν | ID | N | ID | N | D | ١ | ND | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 2 | ID | ND | 0.99 J | 0.82 J | 6 | 5 |
| Bromoform | N | ID | Ν | ١D | Ν | ID | N | D | 1 | ١D | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | ND | ND | ND | 3.2 | 50* |
| Carbon Disulfide | N | ID | Ν | ID | Ν | ID | N | D | ١ | ND | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 2 | ID | ND | 1.1 | 0.94 J | 0.42 J | 5 |
| Chloroform | N | ID | 0.9 | 91 J | Ν | ID | 0.8 | 6 J | 1. | 4 J | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 2 | J | 0. | 9 J | ND | 1.7 | 1.6 | 15 | 7 |
| Dibromochloromethane | N | ID | Ν | ID | N | ID | N | D | ١ | ND | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | Ν | ID | ND | ND | ND | 2.6 | 50* |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | N | ID | Ν | ID | N | ID | N | D | ١ | ND | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 0.6 | 62 J | N | ID | ND | ND | ND | ND | 5 |
| 1,1 Dichloroethene | N | ID | Ν | ID | N | ID | N | D | 0.5 | 56 J | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 3.9 | 5 J | 1 | .3 | ND | ND | ND | 0.4 J | 5 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | N | ID | Ν | ID | Ν | ID | N | D | 0. | 8 J | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 3.2 | 2 J | 1 | .3 | ND | ND | 0.66 J | ND | 5 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | N | ID | Ν | ID | N | ID | N | D | ١ | ND | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | ND | ND | ND | ND | 5 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 3.1 | 1 J | 8 | .2 | N | ID | 8 | 7 | 16 | 600 | 31 | 00 | N | ID | 1 | 3 | 31 | 00 | 260 | 0 D | 17 | ND | 300 D | 350 D | 5 |
| Toluene | N | ID | Ν | ID | Ν | ID | N | D | ١ | ND | 3.2 | 2 J | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 2 | ID | ND | ND | ND | ND | 5 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | N | ID | Ν | 1D | 0.7 | 74 J | 2.6 | 3 J | 1 | 11 | 16 | 6 J | N | ID | Ν | ID | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0.6 J | ND | 2 | 1.8 | 5 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | N | ID | Ν | ID | N | ID | N | D | 1. | 5 J | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | 3.8 | B J | 1 | .9 | ND | ND | ND | 0.42 J | 1 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | N | ID | Ν | 1D | N | ID | N | D | ١ | ND | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | N | ID | ND | 11 | ND | ND | 5 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.7 | 78 J | 6 | .3 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 10 | 14 | 400 | 15 | 500 | 6 | .0 | 2 | 20 | 27 | 00 | 190 | 0 D | 15 | ND | 270 D | 300 D | 5 |
| TOTAL VOCs | 3. | 88 | 15 | .41 | 11 | .74 | 330 | .46 | 301 | 5.26 | 461 | 19.2 | | 6 | 3 | 3 | 584 | 7.12 | 451 | 7.4 | 32.6 | 17.89 | 580.82 | 684.44 | |

Notes:

1. Only those parameters detected at a minimum of one sample location are presented in this table; all other compounds were reported as non-detect.

2. NYSDEC Class "GA" Groundwater Quality Standards/Guidance Values (GWQS/GV), 6 NYCRR Part 703.

Definitions:

J = Estimated value; result is less than the sample quantitation limit but greater than zero.

D = Compound analyzed at a secondary dilution factor.

ND = parameter not detected above laboratory detection limit. " * " = NYSDEC Class GA Guidance Value, where a Standard has not been established.

BOLD

= Analytical result exceeds individual GWQS/GV.

FIGURES





DATE: AUGUST 2008 DRAFTED BY: BCH

.

PREPARED FOR DEPATCH INDUSTRIES, INC.

JOB NO.: 0040-002-400

ATTACHMENT 1

SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING FORMS





AIR CANISTER FIELD RECORD

PROJECT INFORMATION:

| Project: OFFSIK SOIL VUPUR In | SAMPLE I.D.: SV-1 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Job No: 0040-002-400 | 50-1 | | | | | |
| Location: EAST ROCHESKR, NY | and the second se | | | | | |
| Field Staff: RID | | | | | | |
| Client: Baylan Brown | | | | | | |
| | Size of Canister: 61 Suma | | | | | |
| WEATHER CONDITIONS: | Canister Serial No.: 3042 | | | | | |
| Ambient Air Temp A.M.: 68°F | Flow Controller No.: 2763 | | | | | |
| Ambient Air Temp P.M.: 75°F | Sample Date(s): 7/10/08 | | | | | |
| Wind Direction: West | Shipping Date: 7/11/08 | | | | | |
| Wind Speed: 16-20 mplt | Sample Type: Indoor Air Outdoor Air | | | | | |
| Precipitation: | Subslab, complete section below Soil Gas | | | | | |
| | Soil Gas Probe Depth: SFbGS | | | | | |
| FIELD CAMPLING INFORMATION. | | | | | | |

FIELD SAMPLING INFORMATION:

| READING | TIME | VACUUM (inches Hg) or PRESSURE (psig) | DATE | INITIALS |
|-----------------------------------|------|--|---------|----------|
| Gauge Reading Upon Receipt | - | _ | - | |
| Initial Vacuum Check 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Initial Field Vacuum ² | 1055 | -29.3 | 7/10/08 | JAB |
| Final Field Vacuum ³ | 1951 | -2 | 7/10/07 | RWD |
| Duration of Sample Collection | 8ha | 56 min | 0 | |

LABORATORY CANISTER PRESSURIZATION:

| Initial Vacuum (inches Hg and psia) | -295 | |
|-------------------------------------|------|--|
| Final Pressure (psia) | | |
| Pressurization Gas | | |

| SUBSLAB SHROUD: Pre 250 pm Po St 200,00 pm | COMPOSITE TIME (hours) | FLOW RATE RANGE (ml/min) | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Calculated tubing volume: 9.653 x3= 183 cc | 15 Min. | 316 - 333 | | |
| Purged Tubing Volume Concentration: PIC- offen Post Offen | 0.5 Hours | 158 - 166.7 | | |
| Is the purged volume concentration less than or equal to 10% in shroud? | 1 | 79.2 - 83.3 | | |
| YES, continue sampling | 2 | 39.6 - 41.7 | | |
| NO, improve surface seal and retest | 4 | 19.8 - 20.8 | | |
| | 6 | 13.2 - 13.9 | | |
| NOTES: | · 8 | 9.9 - 10.4 | | |
| 1 Vacuum measured using portable vacuum gauge (provided by Lab) | 10 | 7.92 - 8.3 | | |
| 2 Vacuum measured by canister gauge upon opening valve | 12 | 6.6 - 6.9 | | |
| 3 Vacuum measured by canister gauge prior to closing valve | 24 | 3.5 - 4.0 | | |

Signed:

In Am Air Canister Field Record.xls

BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

AIR CANISTER FIELD RECORD

PROJECT INFORMATION:

| Project: OFFSILE SOIL VADOR | INV SAMPLE I.D.: |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Job No: 0040 - 002 - 400 | SV-2 |
| Location: EAST Rochester, NY | |
| Field Staff: RLD | |
| Client: Boyth Beam | |
| | Size of Canister: 62 Suma |

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

| | 5176 |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Ambient Air Temp A.M.: 65°F | Flow Controller No.: 3/1 9 |
| Ambient Air Temp P.M.: 250F | Sample Date(s): 7/10/08 |
| Wind Direction: West | Shipping Date: 2/11/08 |
| Wind Speed: 15-20 mplt | Sample Type: Indoor Air |
| Precipitation: North | 🗌 Subslab, complete section below 🖉 Soil Gas |
| | |

Soil Gas Probe Depth: SABGS

Canister Serial No.: 2164

FIELD SAMPLING INFORMATION:

| READING | TIME | VACUUM (inches Hg) or PRESSURE (psig) | DATE | INITIALS |
|-----------------------------------|------|--|---------|----------|
| Gauge Reading Upon Receipt | 1146 | -293-30 | | _ |
| Initial Vacuum Check 1 | - | | - | - |
| Initial Field Vacuum ² | 1146 | -30 | 7/10/08 | TAB |
| Final Field Vacuum ³ | 1952 | -4 | 2/10/07 | RW |
| Duration of Sample Collection | | 8ha. 6 min | | |

LABORATORY CANISTER PRESSURIZATION:

| Initial Vacuum (inches Hg and psia) | - | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Final Pressure (psia) | | | |
| Pressurization Gas | | | |
| Shroud Helium Concentration: Pre POSt 600ppm 100,000 ppm | COMPOSITE TIME (hours) | FLOW RATE RANGE (ml/min) | |
| Calculated tubing volume: 9,653 x3= /83 cc | 15 Min. | 316 - 333 | |
| Purged Tubing Volume Concentration: Pre PD St oppm | 0.5 Hours | 158 - 166.7 | |
| Is the purged volume concentration less than or equal to 10% in shroud? | 1 | 79.2 - 83.3 | |
| YES, continue sampling | 2 | 39.6 - 41.7 | |
| NO, improve surface seal and retest | 4 | 19.8 - 20.8 | |
| | 6 | 13.2 - 13.9 | |
| NOTES: | 8 | 9.9 - 10.4 | |
| 1 Vacuum measured using portable vacuum gauge (provided by Lab) | 10 | 7.92 - 8.3 | |
| 2 Vacuum measured by canister gauge upon opening valve | 12 | 6.6 - 6.9 | |
| 3 Vacuum measured by canister gauge prior to closing valve | 24 | 3.5 - 4.0 | |

Signed:

Air Canister Field Record xls



AIR CANISTER FIELD RECORD

PROJECT INFORMATION:

| Project: | OFFSITE SOIL VAPOR INV | SAMPLE I.D.: |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Job No: | 0040- 002-400 | |
| Location: | EAST Rochester, NY | and and the |
| Field Staff: | RID | Air Air |
| Client: | Boylan Bourn | AUR |
| | | Size of Canister: 62 SunA |

WEATHER CONDITIONS.

| WEATHER CONDITI | ONS: | Canister Serial No.: 2706 |
|--------------------|----------------|---|
| Ambient Air Temp / | А.М.: 65°F | Flow Controller No.: 4/245 |
| Ambient Air Temp F | P.M.: 25°F | Sample Date(s): 7/10/07 |
| Wind Direction: | hest | Shipping Date: 7/11/08 |
| Wind Speed: | 15-20 mpH - Am | O molt - PM Sample Type: Indoor Air Outdoor Air |
| Precipitation: | rore | Subslab, complete section below Soil Gas |
| | | Soil Gas Probe Depth: |

FIELD SAMPLING INFORMATION:

| READING | TIME | VACUUM (inches Hg) or PRESSURE (psig) | DATE | INITIALS |
|-----------------------------------|------|--|---------|----------|
| Gauge Reading Upon Receipt | - | - | | |
| Initial Vacuum Check 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Field Vacuum ² | 1200 | -30 | 7/10/08 | Rus |
| Final Field Vacuum ³ | 2/00 | - 6 | 2/10/08 | RLD |
| Duration of Sample Collection | c | 1 hrs. | | |

LABORATORY CANISTER PRESSURIZATION:

| Initial Vacuum (inches Hg and psia) | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Final Pressure (psia) | |
| Pressurization Gas | |

| SUBSLAB SHROUD: Shroud Helium Concentration: | COMPOSITE TIME (hours) | FLOW RATE RANGE (ml/min) |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Calculated tubing volume: x 3 = | 15 Min. | 316 - 333 |
| Purged Tubing Volume Concentration: | 0.5 Hours | 158 - 166.7 |
| Is the purged volume concentration less than or equal to 10% in shroud? | 1 | 79.2 - 83.3 |
| YES, continue sampling | 2 | 39.6 - 41.7 |
| NO, improve surface seal and retest | 4 | 19.8 - 20.8 |
| | 6 | 13.2 - 13.9 |
| NOTES: | 8 | 9.9 - 10.4 |
| 1 Vacuum measured using portable vacuum gauge (provided by Lab) | 10 | 7.92 - 8.3 |
| 2 Vacuum measured by canister gauge upon opening valve | 12 | 6.6 - 6.9 |
| 3 Vacuum measured by canister gauge prior to closing valve | 24 | 3.5 - 4.0 |
| | | |

Signed:

Air Canister Field Record.xls

TABLE 2

SOIL VAPOR SAMPLE COLLECTION LOG

Site: EAST Rochester. BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE, PLLC

Sampler(s): *RUD* / TAS

| Sample ID | Sample Depth | Date | Time | Sampling Method | Sampling Device | Purge Volume | Vacuum Before After Sample Collection | Vacuum Before & After Sample Collection | Comments (e.g. apparent moisture content (dry, moist, saturated, etc.), problems encountered, ref. to variance, important observations or descriptions. etc.) |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | Before | After | |
| SV-1* | is i | Soloile | 7/10/08 1035 | SUNA - Sulans SUNA | Suna | 29 | -29.3 | 2. | * ERATIC Realing with |
| × 2-15 | 's | 9/11 80/01/L | 9411 | Sund -Soiling Sund | Sung | 66 | -30 | 1- 11 | restar - collected Additured |
| CUTDON AN #1 | | COSI NOIDI/C | 1200 | Sund | SUM | 79 | -30 | -9- | Tellan 1945 AVR Smiller OF |
| | | | | | | | | | purjed Air UDL Pain prove. |
| | | | | | | | | | heter Checky Tedlar 1995. |
| | | | | | | | | | DerFuenti) or POST OPER |
| | | | | | | | | | or suit cas probe som the |
| | | | | | | | | | (8hes lated) - 1Soth pruse |
| | | | | | | | | | which we are oppin. |
| | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

1. See Work Plan for sampling frequency and actual number of QC samples.

Prepared By: 100

Table 2; Copy of Sample Summary Collection Logs - soil vapor.xls

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

TestAmerica Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

Canister Samples Chain of Custody Record

ToetAr

| 7) K-856 059 Forbes & beschmane fact: Rick Obs Itact: Rick Obs Analysis Turnarou Standard (Specify) Rush (Specify) Rush (Specify) P 1000 P 1000 P 1200 P 1200 P 1200 P 1200 P 100 | NANKees | ンパバイ エンパバイ Canister Vacuum in Field, 'Hg (Stop) - イ ら | Flow Controller Canister ID D D 2763 3042 3119 3196 4/245 2706 | | ک ۲۰۰۲ کا ۲۰۰۲ کا ۲۰۰۲ کا ۲۰۰۲ | EPA 3C | | notes section) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-----|--------------------------------|--------|------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|
| :: 716 Excharge 51 Sult 624Email:Forbes @ benchman 167210 Quirtato nv 14210Site Contact: $R_1 c.c. O.b.s.$ 716 - 856 - 05 95STL Contact: $R_1 c.c. O.b.s.$ $16-856$ - 05 95STL Contact: $R_1 c.c. O.b.s.$ Name:EAST 200 Malysis Turnarou $16-856$ - 05 95STL Contact: $R_1 c.c. O.b.s.$ Name:EAST $Roursellage - 56 Alwersty$ $Randraid (Specify)$ Name:EAST $Roursellage - 56 Alwersty$ $Rush (Specify)$ SampleSample IdentificationSampleSampleSU-1 $71/0$ 0/07 $1/147$ 1952 SU-2 $21/0$ 0/07 $1/147$ 1952 Out UOUT $A_{1/R}$ H_1 $21/0$ 0/05Out UOUT $A_{1/R}$ H_1 $21/0$ 0/05Interior 1147 1952 Interior 11007 11007 Interior 11007 11007 | The Burk est | Canister Vacuum in Field, Hg Field, Hg - 2 - 2 - 6 - 6 | | 9 0 | | EPA 3C | | notes section) | | | | | |
| $716 - x36 - 05 95$ Site Contact: Q_{LCK} Q_{hA} $716 - x36 - 05 73$ STL Contact: Q_{LCK} Q_{hA} Vame: $Analysis TurnarouStandard (Specity)Name:Analysis TurnarouStandard (Specity)Sample Identificationsampleample xin Time stanSupple Identificationanple xin xin xin xin xin xin xin xinSupple Identificationanple xin xin xin xin xin xin xin xin xin xin$ | Stop Televice | www. canister vacuum in Field, 'Hg (Stop) - イ ら | | | | EPA 3C | | notes section | | | | - | (1 |
| Diff and the state of $y \leq y \leq x \leq y \leq x \leq x \leq x \leq x \leq x \leq x \leq $ | around T restricted around T restricted around T | Canister Vacuntin Field, 'Hg (Stop) - 2 - 4 - 6 - 6 | | | | EPA 3C | | s səton | NO NO NO NO NO | | | | ecnol |
| Sample Identification Supple Identification Supple Supple Supple Time Start Time Stop Supple Identification Supple Identification Supple Identification Supple Identification Supple Identification Supple Identification SU- 1 SU- 1 SU- 1 SU- 2 SU- 2 | stop | Canister Vacuum in Field, 'Hg (Stop) - て - ビ | | | | EPA 3C | | lou | | | | | s sə |
| Sample Identification Sample Identification Suple Identification SU - 1 SU - 1 SU - 1 SU - 1 SU - 1 SU - 1 Didiog SU - 1 Didiog 7/10/07 | S S Stop | Canister Vacuum in Field, 'Hg (Stop) - 2 - 6 - 6 | | | | EPA 3C | | ui | | | | | ou ui |
| Identification Sample Time Start Time Stop Date(s) Time Start Time Stop フルダング バンン 1937 カルル オリン フルダンタ 1200 2100 | me Stop 731 952 2100 | Canister Vacuum in Field, 'Hg (Stop) - イ | | | | EPA 3C | | Alt Party | | | | | Gecul |
| 7/1908 1055 1951 7/1008 1055 1951 ALR #1, 7/10108 1200 2100 1200 2100 | 1 | | | | | 1 | Des Age | ASTM D-1946 | sqryT slqms2 ndoor Air | Ambient Air | seð lioð | seð llifbns. | Other (Please sp |
| AIR #1, 7/10105 1147 1952 AIR #1, 7/10102 1200 2100 | 28 | | | | XX | | - | X | | - | × | - | |
| AIR #1, 7/10/02 2100 1200 2100 | | 6 | | | × | | | × | | - | × | | T |
| Interior | | | | | - | | | | | X | | | T |
| Interior | 9 | | | | | | - | | | | | | T |
| Interior | | | | | - | | | | | - | | - | T |
| Interior | and the second se | | | | | | | | | - | | | T |
| Interior | Temperature | Temperature (Fahrenheit) | | T | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | T |
| | Ambient | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Start | 63 | 2050 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stop | ć | Jose | | | | | | | | | | | Children and |
| Pres | Pressure (inches of Hg) | ches of Hg) | | | | | | | | | | | Г |
| Interior Amb | Ambient | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Start | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stop | | | | | | | | | | | | | and the survey |
| Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Dev Flehum Avery | ~ ANALYSIS 0~ | SAMPIC | 54-1, 542 | 1 | Report | | Separately | | FRON | To | J0-15 | (taxa) | 0 |
| | | | | | • | | | | | | | | , |
| Leve IT Report on Herium Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shipped by: The Man - Ruck Ode 2 | | Samples Received by: | ved by: | | | | - | | | | | | 1 |
| | | Received by: | | | | | Γ | | | | | | |
| Relinquished by: Date/Time: | | Received by: | | | | | Т | | | | | | |

ATTACHMENT 2

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION LOGS



| Pr Cl | oject: De ient: Des | | MW Logged Checke | l By: | ТАВ | 4 | Benchmark Envir 726 b | ENVIRG ENGINI SCIENC | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | SUBSURFACE PROFILE | | SAM | IPLE | | | | |
| Depth (fbgs) | Elev. /Depth | Description (ASTM D2488: Visual-Manual Procedure) | Sample No. | SPT N-Value | Recovery (ft) | Symbol | PID VOCs 0 12.5 25 | Lab Sample | Well Completion Details or Remarks |
| 0.0 — | 0.0 0.0 | Ground Surface | | | | | | | |
| | -5.0 5.0 | Subbase One inch crusher-run Fine Sand Medium brown, Fine Sand with few Silt, moist, medium density, very faint reddish brown laminations Same as above | Hand | NA | 5 | | | | 16.57) |
| - | -9.0 | | S1 | NA | 3.2 | | 0.0 | | Sch. 40 PVC Riser (0.5-16.5') |
| 10.0 — _ _ | 9.0 -13.0 | Same as above with rootlets | S2 | NA | 3.6 | | 0.0 | | Sch. 40 P |
| | 13.0 | Same as above with no rootlets | S3 | NA | 3.3 | | 0.0 | | 12.5-14.5) 1 |
| 20.0 | -17.0 17.0 -20.0 | Same as above | S4 | NA | 3.5 | | 0.0 | | C Screen (16.5.32') |
| - | 20.0 -21.0 21.0 -24.0 | Same as above, wet Same as above | S5 | NA | 2.2 | | 0.0 | | C Screen |
| 25.0 — - - | 24.0 | Same as above with trace coarse gravel | S6 | NA | 2.9 | | 0.0 | | 0.010" Slot PV |
| 30.0 | -28.0 28.0 | Same as above with no gravel | S7 | NA | 2.4 | | 0.0 | | 0.010" Slot PV |
| - | -32.0 32.0 -35.0 | Same as above | S8 | NA | 2.2 | | 0.0 | | ₩ ₩ |
| 35.0 | -35.0 35.0 -40.0 40.0 | End of Boring | | | | | | | |

Drilled By: Nothnagle Enterprises, Inc. Drill Rig Type: CME 55 Drill Method: 4.25" HSA with 4' Macro-core

Drill Date(s): 08-05-08

Hole Size: 9" Stick-up: Flushmount Datum: Mean Sea Level

Sheet: 1 of 1

ATTACHMENT 3

WELL DEVELOPMENT AND SAMPLING LOGS



| K | C C C |
|-----|--|
| R | FOU |
| - T | UN N |
| H | ZEL |
| 0 | ENVIRONMENT. ENGINEERING SCIENCE, PLLC |
| G | VIE GII |
| m | ZZU |
| Ξ. | |
| 10 |)) |
| 11 |) |

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG

| NFORM Te: | ite G | | nu. | | Date: | 8/7/08 | 8 | |
|--|-------------------|-------|---|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Project No.: 0040-00 Client: Despeded | Despetch | . 400 | | | / Instrument Source: | t Source: | BM | Rental |
| METER TYPE | UNITS | TIME | MAKE/MODEL | SERIAL NUMBER | CAL. BY | STANDARD | POST CAL. READING | SETTINGS |
| X pH meter | units | 02:01 | Myron L Company | 606987 | (1)(M | 4.00 7.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 at 7.00 at |
| • | | 2 | 10-1 | | t www | 10.01 | 10.00 | 10.01 of |
| | | I | | | | < 0.4 | 0000 | 8 20.4ch |
| Turbidity meter | NTU | 67.01 | Hach 2100P Turbidimeter | 970600014560 | UUN | 100 | 18.5 | 2007 |
| | | | | | | 800 | 738 | 800 4 |
| K Sp. Cond. meter | uS mS | 02:01 | 10:20 Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P | 606987 | PWW | 2764ms@25°C | 1922 | 2764°K |
| | | | | | | open air zero | | MIBK response |
| | mdd | | MILITAE 2000 | | | ppm lso. Gas | | factor = 1.0 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | bpm | | YSI Model 55 | 05D2677 | | | | |
| Particulate meter | mg/m ³ | | | | | zero air | | |
| Oxygen | % | | | | | open air | | |
| Hydrogen sulfide | mdd | | | | | open air | | |
| Carbon monoxide | bpm | | | | | open air | | |
| | % | | | | | open air | | |
| Radiation Meter | uR/H | | | | | background area | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ADDITIONAL REMARKS: | | | | | | | | |

88-88-95-88

DATE:

1

Equipment Calibration Log

PREPARED BY:

BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

GROUNDWATER WELL DEVELOPMENT LOG

| Project Name: Off. Site GW Investigation | WELL NUMBER: MW-13 |
|--|---|
| Project Number: 0040-002-400 | Sample Matrix: graundwater |
| Client: Despatch | Weather: [overcast, windy, mid 70's |
| WELL DATA: DATE: 8/7/08 | TIME: (0:30 |
| Casing Diameter (inches): 2(| Casing Material: |
| Screened interval (fbTOR): 17.0 - 32.0 | Screen Material: Z" sloted PU" |
| Static Water Level (fbTOR): 73,56 | Bottom Depth (fbTOR): 78-28 |
| Elevation Top of Well Riser (fmsl): NA | Datum Ground Surface: Mean Sea Level |
| Elevation Top of Screen (fmsl): | Stick-up (feet): Marth, flysh-mount |
| PURGING DATA: DATE: 8/7/08 | START TIME: 10: 33 END TIME: 1/30 |
| Method: Bailer | Is purge equipement dedicated to sample location? yes |
| No. of Well Volumes Purged: 710 | Was well purged to dryness? yes no |
| Standing Volume (gallons): | Was well purged below top of sand pack? (ves no |
| Volume Purged (gallons): | Condition of Well: a cod |
| Purge Rate (gal/min): | Field Personnel: Pusco |

VOLUME CALCULATION:

| | (A) Total Depth of Well (fbTOR): | 28.28 |
|---|---|-------|
| | (B) Casing Diameter (inches): | 2" |
| | (C) Static Water Level (fbTOR): | 23.56 |
| | One Well Volume (V, gallons): | 0 77 |
| ł | $V = 0.0408 [(B)^2 \times {(A) - (C)}]$ | 0.11 |
| _ | | |

| Well Diameter | Volume gal/ft |
|------------------|------------------|
| 1" | 0.041 |
| 2" | 0.163 |
| 3" | 0.367 |
| 4" | 0.653 |
| 5" | 1.020 |
| 6" | 1.469 |
| 8" | 2.611 |

Stabilization Criteria

| Parameter | | Criteria |
|-----------|-----|----------|
| DO | +/- | 0.3 mg/L |
| Turbidity | +/- | 10% |
| SC | +/- | 3% |
| ORP | +/- | 10 mV |
| pH | +/- | 0.1 unit |

*Use the table to the right to calculate one well volume.

Field Personnel:

TWW

EVACUATION STABILIZATION TEST DATA:

| Time | Water Level (fbTOR) | Accumulated Volume (gallons) | pH (units) | Temperature (degrees C) | Specific Conductance (uS) | Turbidity (NTU) | DO (mg/L) | ORP (mV) | Appearance & Odor | |
|-------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|--------|
| 10:38 | 23.56 | | 6.74 | 18,2 | 1396 | 71000 | 1 | 149 | Turbid browny | No ode |
| 10:45 | 23.32 | Sgal | 7.12 | 15.6 | 782.5 | 7/000 | | 51 | 4 (| |
| 10:52 | 23.30 | 1.6 001 | 7.13 | 18.3 | 801.7 | 7/000 | | 34 | 11 | |
| 10:56 | 23.26 | Z. 4 gal | 7.15 | 18.4 | 814.Z | 11 | | 10 | 1 | |
| 11:01 | 24.15 | 3.Zgal | 7,19 | 15.7 | 799.6 | 7/000 | | 4 | a | |
| 11:06 | 24.30 | 4 90 | 7.23 | 15.1 | 769.5 | >1000 | | 23 | 11 | |
| 11:10 | 24.38 | 4. Bgal | 7.24 | 15.1 | 781.6 | 71000 | | 32 | 11 | |
| 11:15 | 24.51 | 5.6gal | 7.27 | 15,1 | 794.3 | 71000 | | 32 | 1 | |
| 11:19 | 24.64 | 6.4 gal | 7.30 | 14.8 | 794.3 | 71000 | | 32 | n | |
| 11:24 | 24.86 | 7. Zgal | 7.33 | 14.7 | 805.6 | 71000 | 1 | 29 | ħ | |
| 11:30 | 25.01 | 8 gal | 7.36 | 14.6 | 811.2 | 7/000 | | 27 | 11 | |

fal W With

REMARKS:

Groundwater Well Development Log: Development-Purge Log - BM PREPARED BY:

BENCHMARK Environmental Engineering & Science, PLLC

LOW FLOW METHOD GROUNDWATER PURGE & SAMPLE COLLECTION LOG

| roject Nu | mber: | 0-040-0 | J Inves | , [,] | Sample M | Aatrix: | grou | ndwate | er | 13 | 10 | | |
|---|--------------|---------------|--------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|--------|---------|----------|----------|----------------------|--|
| lient: | Despal | tch | | | Weather: | SUMI | 1, DC | stial | colords | 1 70 | 15 . | slight | |
| | | | | | | . 1 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | | Volum | | culation | |
| VELL | DATA: | DA | TE:8/7/0 | 3 TIME: | 12:4 | 19 | | | | Wel | | Jolume | |
| Casing [| Diameter (in | iches): | 2" | and the second sec | ing Materia | al: 2" | " P | VC | | Diame | eter | gal/ft | |
| | | | | | een Material: 2" sloffed PVC | | | | | 1" | | 0.041 | |
| Static Water Level (fbTOR): 22.54 Botto | | | | | tom Depth (fbTOR): 28.20 | | | | | 2" | | 0.163 | |
| | | | | | und Surface Elevation (NA | | | | | 3" | | 0.367 | |
| | | | | | k-up (feet): flush-awout | | | | | 4" | 2 | 0.653 | |
| Standing volume in gallons: | | | | | 1 97 | | | | | 5" | | 1.020 | |
| [(bottom depth - static water level) x vol calculation in table | | | | | e per well diameter]. | | | | | 6" | | 1.469 | |
| | NG DAT | | | | | | | - | | - | | de service | |
| | | | ump Type: | - | Mini | Mons | the second division of | | | - | _ | a Sad | |
| | ment dedica | | | no | | Is tubing | | | - | | - | no | |
| Depth of | Sample (i.e | e. Level of I | ntake) (fbT | OR): NZ | 6 | Approxir | mate | Purge | Rate (g | al/min): | 0. | ,125 | |
| | Water | Acc. | рH | Temp. | sc | Turbio | lity | DC | | ORP | Appe | arance | |
| Time | Level | Volume | (units) | (deg. C) | (uS) | (NTL | | (mg/ | | mV) | | & | |
| | (fbTOR) | (gallons) | | | | | -/ | (| -/ (| , | C |)dor | |
| 3.00 | Initial | L.15 | 6,84 | 20.7 | 1388 | >100 | Ø | 1 | 7 | 9 | Turbu | d brow | |
| 3:02 | 23.65 | .25 | 7.07 | 17.Z | 826.9 | 7100 | 20 | 1 | | 20 | | u | |
| 3:05 | 23.78 | .50 | 7.15 | 15.9 | 834.1 | >100 | N | | | 19 | " | | |
| 13:07 | 24.12 | 0,75 | 7.15 | 15.9 | 8A.1 | 7100 | 20 | 0 10 | | 11 | | | |
| 3:09 | 24.18 | 1.25 | 7.17 | 15.4 | 838.0 | 0 71000 | | | 19 | 1 | | | |
| 3:11 | 24.20 | 1.5 | 7.19 | 15.6 | 843.9 | 9 7 1000 | | 1 | 4 | 1/ | | | |
| 13:14 | 24.24 | 1.75 | 7.21 | 15.7 | 851.3 | 710 | 00 | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | - | | | | | | | | S. 11. | |
| | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 5.000 | |
| | | | San Deserver | | | | | | | | | in the second second | |
| SAMPL | ING DA | TA: DA | TE: 8/7 | 108 | START T | | 3:1 | 5 | END TI | ME: | 13: | 20 | |
| Method: | low-flow wi | th dedicate | d tubing | | Was we | ell sampl | ed to | dryne | ss? | yes | | To | |
| Initial Water Level (fbTOR): 24.24 | | | | Was well sampled below top of sand types no | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Water Level (fbTOR): 24.24 | | | | Field Personnel: PWW/TAR | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | L | | | | 1 | www, | | <u> </u> | 1949 (A) | |
| PHYSIC | CAL & C | HEMIC | AL DAT | A: | | WA | TER | QUAL | ITY ME | ASURE | MENT | rs | |
| Appearance: Turbid | | | | рН | TEMP. | s | C | TURE | 3. D | 0 | ORP | | |
| Color: Brown | | | | (units) | (°C) | 1. | IS) | (NTU) | 1.0 | | (mV) | | |
| Odor: | Nor | | | | 7.21 | 15.7 | | 1.3 | 7/00 | | 5 | 10 | |
| | t Present? | Yes | | | | | 00 | | 100 | | - | 10 | |

REMARKS: ~ 4,0' of soud

PREPARED BY:

Par W Wall

In well