Engineers / Architects / Surveyors


July 14, 2000
Mr. Peter Murad, RA
Architectural Resources
493 Franklin Street
Buffalo, NY 14202


Re: Soil Gas Investigation Summary Report
Day Habilitation Center-DDSO AVM Gowanda, NY

Dear Mr. Murad:
This summary report for the Day Habilitation Center located at 4 Industrial Place, in Gowanda, NY is based on Bergman Associates original scope of services dated April 24, 2000. This summary includes of review of historical documentation that was readily available and the soil gas survey analytical findings and interpretation.

## Documentation Review

Specific documentation reviewed was the Environmental Review and Evaluation prepared by Watts Engineers of the adjacent property (Gowanda Electronics) located at 1 Industrial Place in Gowanda, NY. This report detailed the environmental findings through Phase I and Phase II investigations. The Phase II investigation revealed groundwater contamination as volatile organic compounds (VOCs) consisting primarily of trichloroethene and 1,1.I-trichloroethane and their breakdown products.

Gowanda Electronics is a Class 2, Inactive Hazardous Waste site and is located approximately 500 feet east of the Day Habilitation Center. Initially, in 1994, the site source contaminated soil was removed to a depth of 4 to 7 feet. During the excavation VOC concentrations were found to increase with depth; however, further removal was not performed and the area was backfilled with bank run gravel. Further investigations in 1995 identified a significant groundwater plume migrating from the source to the north. The plume was delineated as emanating from the known source and extending northward approximately 1,150 feet with a maximum width of 450 feet east to west along Chestnut Street (north of the Gowanda Electronics and Day Habilitation Center). The plume was estimated to cover 7.5 acres at the time of this investigation in 1995.

[^0]AIn addition to VOC contamination, the Gowanda Electronic site was also found to have elevated levels of total petroieum hydrocarbons (TPH). The concentrations were as high as $5.000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The laboratory results indicated that the oil found in the soil was either light weight lubricating oil or cutting oil. This determination suggested contamination from a prior occupant because Gowanda Electronics waste oil (also characterized during the investigation) was a heavier oil than the petroleum hydrocarbons detected in the soil. Historical ownership review has shown that Automatic Voting Machine (AVM) previously operated at Gowanda Electronics location. AVM also operated out of the Day Habilitation Center at 4 Industrial Place.

At the Day Habilitation Center, various indoor air quality (IAQ) studies have been performed in response to staff member complaints since late 1996 or early 1997 . The first IAQ study was completed in March of 1997 and determined that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels in numerous rooms at the center were above the OSHA-permitted maximum level of $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels were as high as 3.250 ppm in some areas. As a result the HVAC system was upgraded during the summer of 1997, and a subsequent IAQ study was completed in December of 1997 . This study showed significantly lower levels of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$; however complaints persisted and another IAQ study was completed in February of 1999 specifically for Room 85 . Room 85 is situated in the central core of the Day Habilitation Center and is adjacent to two corridors leading south to the south driveway/parking lot. These corridors are subjected to fumes from vehicular traffic in the driveway south of the building. The same areas tested in 1997 were remeasured for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels and Rooms 39 and 85 were found to be above the $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ maximum OSHA level.

Because of the proximity of the listed Gowanda Electronics hazardous waste site to the Day Habilitation Center. investigation for the presence of subsurface vapors as a contributing factor in the IAQ complaints was warranted. This investigation included the review of the reports summarized above to determine if the Day Habilitation Center is situated in a contaminant transport pathway form the listed site. Based on the available hydrogeologic data, the Day Habilitation Center is situated crossgradient from the Gowanda Electronics site and is not expected to be affected by the groundwater plume from Gowanda Electronics. Another possible source of IAQ issues is undiscovered subsurface contamination associated with prior land use of the Day Habilitation Center by AVM. Therefore this investigation focused on determining the presence or absence of subsurface soil vapors both to address possible IAQ issues as well as to ascertain the presence of such an onsite source.

## Soil Gas Survev - Analytical Results and Findings

A soil gas survey using a passive method was conducted around the perimeter of the Day Habilitation Center on June 14, 2000. A total of 35 samples were collected, consisting of 34 field samples and 1 trip blank quality assurance/quality control sample. This soil gas sampling method used provided a non-intrusive means for gaining measurements of volatile organic constituent mass in the subsurface vapors. the results of which are effective for identifying areas that may warrant follow up investigations by intrusive measures. The sampling equipment and analysis were provided by Beacon Environmental Services. The samples were analyzed by EPA Method 8260 using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS).

The analytical results revealed the presence of volatile organic constituents in subsurface vapors in several areas surrounding the Day Habilitation Center. In particular, an isolated occurrence of chlorinated hydrocarbons was found in samples collected from along the central portion of the south wall of the building. Sample point number 17 had the highest level of chlorinated hydrocarbons. which included trichloroethene ( $16,200 \mathrm{ng}$ ) and cis-1,2-Dichloroethene ( $1,160 \mathrm{ng}$ ). Chlorinated hydrocarbon levels decreased both east and west of this point, while the west end was nearly nondetectable. Elsewhere along the south and north walls of the Day Habilitation Center building was the presence of non-chlorinated VOCs typically associated with petroleum products. The complete analytical report can be found as Attachment 1 of this summary report. A corresponding site sketch with the sample locations identifjed can be found as Attachment 2.

In conjunction with the sample results, the existing IAQ surveys were reviewed for possible corelations between occupant complaints and the presence of VOCs in subsurface soil gas. The reoccurring complaints originated primarily in Room 85 ; this room is located near the center of the building and is not near the exterior walls (i.e. near the sample locations). At the time of the mostrecent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ measurements, levels Room 85 were still above the $1,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ limit ( $1,050 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), as was Room 39 ( 1165 ppm ). Room 39 is located on the southern exterior wall, and is in close proximity to sample point 17 which had the highest levels of subsurface VOCs. Rooms 58B and 62are also had historically high levels of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and are situated along the southern exterior wall; however, during the most-recent IAQ study in February 1999 the levels in these rooms was below 1000 ppm .

## Discussion and Conclusions

Based on the analytical results there is an area of subsurface concern located on the southern side of the building at the Day Habilitation Center, in Gowanda, NY. The Day Habilitation Center was previously operated by Automatic Voting Machine (AVM), a company that was a potentially responsible party for the subsurface contamination at Gowanda Electronics facility. The subsurlace soil gas investigation is unable to provide conclusive evidence as to the extent of the contamination encountered; however it suggests a possible subsurface source area immediately south of Room 39 in the facility. The subsurface constituents identified by the soil gas sampling program are similar to Gowanda Electronics plume fingerprint, but do not match it. Coupled with the groundwater flow data the evidence do not suggest the Gowanda Electronics site is the source.

The investigation was designed to determine the presence or absence of subsurface contamination, and is inconclusive with regards to the relationship of this contamination to the reported indoor air quality issues. Exceedances of the OSHA permissible $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a common cause of indoor air quality complaints and has been well-documented at this facility. It is also simply remedied by improving fresh air circulation in the affected areas. At Room 39, however, the presence of volatile organic constituents in subsurface vapors may be a concern since the results of the various IAQ studies suggest inadequate ventilation.

The presence of non-chlorinated VOCs in samples collected from the locations in the driveway/parking areas north and south of the building appears to be related 10 vehicular traffic. The mass levels of petroleum-related constituents were low and did not indicate a specific "hot spot" or source of contamination. In some cases (such as under paved areas) the sampling methodology used
can be sensitive to interference from subsurface materials and minor contamination sources (such as vehicular drips or exhaust).

The soil gas sampling method employed (EMFLUX® technology) provides relative, not absolute data because it measures a fractional trace of a potential contamination source. The best interpretative results are obtained when the ratio of soil gas concentrations to corresponding subsurface soil and groundwater contaminant concentrations is determined. and is relatively constant over the soil gas survey area. When the soil gas sampling program detects the presence of VOCs in the subsurface vapors, it is important to collect corresponding soil/groundwater samples to relate the detected soil-gas concentrations to actual subsurface conditions.

## Recommendations

Based on the results of the investigation, the following recommendations can be made:

1. The Day Habilitation Center was previously occupied by Automatic Voting Machine (AVM), a potentially responsible party for the subsurface contamination at Gowanda Electronics. As such, more extensive research of AVM's historical use and operations at the Day Center building would assist in identifying the potential source of subsurface soil gas constituents detected during this investigation.
2. Subsurface soil and groundwater sampling is recommended along the south wall of the building to determine the nature and extent of subsurface contamination leading to the presence of the detected soil gas constituents. Data from these investigations would also support environmental risk assessments associated with the apparent subsurface contamination.
3. Additional indoor air quality studies appear to be warranted to measure VOCs as well as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels in Room 39. Mr. Fred L. Smith's recommendation from his February 1999 IAQ report for 24 hour monitoring could be beneficial in identifying peaks and theretore assessing sources.

We have appreciated this opportunity to providc Architectural Resources with consulting engineering support services and hope to continue this relationship.

Very truly yours. BERGMANN ASSOCIATES


James E. Baxter, P.G.
Project Manager
cc: D. Schoonbeek, DASNY BA File
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Prepared for
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## Applying Results from Soil-Gas Surveys

The utility of soil-gas surveys is directly proportional to their accuracy in reflecting and representing changes in the subsurface concentrations of source compounds. An EMFLUX ${ }^{8}$ soil-gas survey measures the mass collected from the vapor-phase of the source. The vaporphase is merely a fractional trace of the source, so, as a matter of convenience, the units used in reporting detection values from EMFLUX ${ }^{* i s}$ surveys are smaller than those employed for source-compound concentrations.

The critical fact is that, whatever the relative concentrations of source and associated soil gas, best results are realized when the ratio of soil-gas measurements to actual subsurface concentrations remains as close to constant as the real world permits. It is the reliability and consistency of this ratio, not the particular units of mass (e.g., nanograms) that determine usefulness. Thus, BEACON emphasizes the necessity of conducting -- at minimum -- followon intrusive sampling at one or two points which show relatively high EMFLUX ${ }^{6}$ values to obtain corresponding concentrations of soil and ground-water contaminants. These correspondent values furnish the basis for approximating the required ratio. Once that ratio is established, it can be used in conjunction with EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {(19)}}$ measurements (regardless of the units adopted) to estimate subsurface contaminant concentrations across the survey field. It is important to keep in mind, however, that specific conditions at individual sample points, including soil porosity and permeability, depth to contamination, and perched ground water, can have significant impact on soil-gas measurements at those locations.

When EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {W }}$ Surveys are handled in this way, the data provide information which can yield substantial savings in drilling costs and in time. They furnisth, among other things, a checklist of compounds expected at each survey location and help to determine how and where drilling budgets can most effectively be spent.

# EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Survey Number: EM1241 

## DASNY Facility

Gowanda, NY
This EMFLUX Soil-Gas Survey Report has been prepared for Bergmann Associates (BERGMANN) by Beacon Environmental Services, Inc. (BEACON) in accordance with the terms of Purchase Order No. 9266, dated June 19, 2000. BEACON's principal technical contact at BERGMANN for this project has been Ms. Michelle Winters.

## 1. Objectives

Soil-gas samples were collected to determine the presence, identity, and relative strength of targeted contaminants in soil and/or ground water at the DASNY Facility. Survey results will be used to determine the distribution of contaminants and to guide further site investigation.

## 2. Target Compounds

This survey targeted the 32 compounds listed in Table 1, which supplies the resulting laboratory data in nanograms ( ng ) of specific compound per cartridge.
3. Survey Description

- No. of Field Sample Points: 34
- No. of Trip Blanks: 1
- Total No. of EMFLUX ${ }^{8}$ Cartridges: 35


## 4. Field Work

BERGMANN was provided an EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {Field }}$ Kit with the equipment needed to conduct a 34 point EMFLUX ${ }^{18}$ Soil-Gas Survey. Collectors were deployed on June 14, 2000, and retrieved on June 19, 2000, in accordance with the recommentded sampling period provided by BEACON. Attachment 1 describes the field procedures used. Individual deployment and retrieval times will be found in the Field Deployment Report (Attachment 2).

## 5. Maryland Spectral Services, Inc. (MSS) Analysis and Reporting Dates

- MSS received 35 sample cartridges for analysis on June 20. 2000.
- EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {e }}$ sample cartridges were thermally desorbed, then analyzed using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) equipment, in accordance with EPA Method 8260 (Modified), as described in Attachment 3. MSS analyzed each cartridge for the targeted compounds.
- MSS completed the analysis on June 26, 2000.


## 6. Report Notes and Quality Assurance/Quality Control Factors

- Table 1 provides survey resuits in nanograms per cartridge by sample-point number and compound name. The quantitation levels represent values above which quantitative laboratory results can be achieved within specified limits of precision and with a high degree of confidence. The quantitation level of each compound, therefore, provides a reliable basis for comparison of the relative strength of individual detections of that compound.
- Data Compatibility. It is important to note that when sample locations are covered with or near the edge of an artificial surface (e.g., asphalt or concrete), sample measurements are often distorted (increased) significantiy. Such distortion can be attributed to the fact that gas rising from sources beneath impermeable caps tends to reach equilibrium in relatively short periods of time and that, once equilibrium is reached, the soil-gas concentration measured at any point in a vertical line between source and cap is theoretically the same. Thus, a reading taken immediately below or near an impermeable surface is much higher than it would be in the absence of such a cap.
- The Chain-of-Custody form, which was shipped with the samples for this survey, is supplied as Attachment 4.
- Laboratory QA/QC procedures included standards, surrogates, and bianks appropriate to the EPA Method 8260 (Modified) used. Field work and reporting were done in accordance with BEACON's Quality Assurance Program Plan. MSS performed analyses under the laboratory's own Quality Assurance Plan.
- QA/QC Contaminant Corrections. Following EPA guidelines, EMFLUX ${ }^{1}$ laboratory data is not corrected for method blank or trip blank contamination values; any contamination detected on QA/QC samples is reported in Table 1. Subsequent handling of QA/QC sample contamination depends upon the circumstances and origin of the sample; any corrective conventions noted below have proved highly useful in deriving
accurate and reproducible interpretations of survey data in prior EMFLUX ${ }^{8}$ Surveys. No other methods thus far tested have produced comparable levels of quality.
- Laboratory method blanks are run each day with project samples to identify contamination present in the laboratory. If contamination is detected on a method blank, detections of identical compounds on samples analyzed the same day are considered to be suspect and are flagged in the laboratory report. The laboratory method blanks analyzed in connection with the present samples revealed no contamination.
- The trip blank is an EMFLUX cartridge prepared, transported, and analyzed with other samples but intentionally not exposed. The trip blank (iabeled Trip-1 in Table 1) recorded none of the targeted compounds, indicating that the survey site itself is the source of detected contamination.
- Survey findings are relative exclusively to this project and should not routinely be compared with results of other EMFLUX ${ }^{\$}$ Surveys. To establish a relationship between reported soil-gas measurements and actual subsurface contaminant concentrations, which will indicate those detections representing significant subsurface contamination, BEACON recommends the guidelines on the inside front cover of this report.
- The following Attachments are included:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text {-1- } & \text { EMFLUX }{ }^{\text {® }} \text { Field Procedures } \\
-2- & \text { Field Deployment Report } \\
-3- & \text { Laboratory Procedures } \\
-4- & \text { Chain-of-Custody Form }
\end{array}
$$

## TABLE 1

MARYLAND SPECTRAL SERVICES, INC.
1500 Caton Center Drive Baltimore, MD 21227

VOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA GC/MS METHOD MODIFIED 8260

| CLIENT SAMPLE ID: | TRIP+1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EM1241 | EM1241 | EMI241 | EM1241 | EM1241 | EMI241 |
| LAB SAMPLE ID: | 000620101 | 000620102 | 000620103 | 000620104 | 000620105 | 000620106 |
| RECEIVED DATE: | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 |
| ANALYSIS DATE: | 06/22/00 | 06/25/00 | 06/25/00 | 06/25/00 | 06/25/00 | 06/25/00 |
| FILE NAME: | 0620101 | 0620102 | 0620103 | 0620104 | 0620105 | 0620106 |
| INSTRUMENT ID: | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD |
| UNITS: | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP |

vOLATILE COMPOUNDS

| Benzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 32 |  | 25 | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromoform | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromomethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 2-Butanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Carbon Tetrachioride | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | L |
| Chlorobenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | L | 25 | $U$ | 25 | U |
| Chloroethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Chloroform | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloromethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 102 |  | 50 | U |
| Dibromochioromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.I-Dichloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethene (cis) | 25 | U | 25 | 1 | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethene (tans) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichloropropane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| cis-I,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | $U$ | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Ethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 2-Hexanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Styrene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Tetrachlorocthene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Toluene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichlorsethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | $U$ |
| 1,1.2-Trichioroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Trichloroethens | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Sylenes (Total) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |

[^1]
## TABLE 1 <br> (continued)

MARYLAND SPECTRAL SERVICES, INC.
1500 Caton Center Drive Baltimore. MD 21227

## VOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA GC/MS METHOO MODIFIED 8260

| CLIENT SAMPLE ID: | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EM1241 | EM1241 | EM124] | EM1241 | EM1241 | EM1241 |
| LAB SAMPLE ID: | 000620107 | 000620108 | 000620109 | 000620110 | 000620111 | 000620112 |
| RECEIVED DATE: | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 |
| ANALYSIS DATE: | 06/25/00 | 06/25/00 | 06/25/00 | 06/25/00 | 06/26/00 | 06/26/00 |
| FILE NAME: | 0620107 | 0620108 | 0620109 | 0620110 | 0620111 | 0620112 |
| INSTRUMENT ID: | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD |
| UNITS: | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP |
| VOLATILE COMPOUNDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 27 | 32 | 25 U | 25 U | 78 | 25 U |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 v | 25 U |
| Bromoform | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Bromomethane | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| 2-Butanone | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 79 | 50 U |
| Carbon Tetrachioride | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 2 S U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Chlorobenzene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Chloroethane | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| Chloroform | 31 | 25 U | 25 U | 34 | 25 U | 25 U |
| Chloromethane | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 L | 50 U | 50 U |
| Dibromochioromethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 L | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (cis) | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (trans) | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Ethylbenzene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 2-Hexanone | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| Styrene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| I, 1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Tetrachloroethene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 64 | 25 U | 25 U |
| Toluene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 93 | 25 U |
| 1.1.1-Trichloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Trichloroethene | 585 | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,3.5-Trımethylbenzene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 27 | 25 U |
| Xylenes (Total) | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 58 | 25 U |

B - Detected in lab blank. U-Below reported quantitation level. J-Estimated value.

TABLE 1<br>(continued)<br>MARYLAND SPECTRAL SERVICES, INC.<br>1500 Caton Center Drive Baltimore, MD 21227

## VOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA GC/MS METHOD MODIFIED 8260

| CLIENT SAMPLE ID: | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EMI241 | EMI241 | EM1241 | EM1241 | EMI241 | EM1241 |
| LAB SAMPLE ID: | 000620113 | 000620114 | 000620115 | 000620116 | 000620117 | 000620118 |
| RECEIVED DATE: | 0662000 | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20100$ |
| ANALYSIS DATE: | $06 / 26 / 00$ | $06 / 26 / 00$ | $06 / 26 / 00$ | $06 / 26 / 00$ | $06 / 26 / 00$ | $06 / 26 / 00$ |
| FILE NAME: | 0620113 | 0620114 | 0620115 | 0620116 | 0620117 | 0620118 |
| INSTRUMENT ID: | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD |
| UNITS: | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP |

## VOLATILE COMPOUNDS

| Benzene | 62 |  | 39 |  | 50 |  | 35 |  | 33 |  | 38 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromoform | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromomethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 2-Butanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 25 | v | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chlorobenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | v |
| Chloroethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Chloroform | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloromethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Dibromochloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethene (cis) | 33 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 1160 |  | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (trans) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 29 |  | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Ethylbenzene | 38 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 28 |  | 25 | U |
| 2 -Нехаполе | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 76 |  | 50 | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Styrene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Tetrachloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Toluene | 192 |  | 61 |  | 62 |  | 32 |  | 153 |  | 139 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Trichloroethene | 600 |  | 25 | U | 101 |  | 580 |  | 16200 |  | 443 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 187 |  | 25 | U | 46 |  | 25 | U | 52 |  | 42 |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 67 |  | 25 | U | 76 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Xylenes (Total) | 297 |  | 45 |  | 117 |  | 27 |  | 175 |  | 131 |  |

B - Detected in lab blank. U - Below reported quantitation level. J-Estimated value.

TABLE 1
(continued)
MARYLAND SPECTRAL SERVICES, INC.
1500 Caton Center Drive Baltimore, MD 21227

VOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA GC/MS METHOD MODIFIED 8260

| CLIENT SAMPLE ID: | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EMI2+1 | EM1241 | EM1241 | EM1241 | EM1241 | F.M1241 |
| LAB SAMPLE ID: | 000620119 | 000620120 | 000620121 | 000620122 | 000620123 | 000620124 |
| RECEIVED DATE: | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 |
| ANALYSIS DATE: | 06/26/00 | 06/26/00 | 06/26/00 | 06/26/00 | 06/26\%00 | 06/26/00 |
| File Name: | 0620119 | 0620120 | 0620121 | 0620122 | 0620123 | 0620124 |
| INSTRUMENT ID: | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD |
| UNITS: | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP |
| VOLATILE COMPOUNDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 75 | 44 |  | 25 U | 36 | 27 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Bromoform |  | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Bromomethane |  | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| 2-Butanone |  | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| Carbon Tetrachloride |  | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Chiorobenzene |  |  | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 v |
| Chloroethane | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| Chloroform | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Chloromethane | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| Dibromochloromethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| I, 1-Dichloreethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (cis) | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (trans) | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.2-Dichloropropane | 25 U |  | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 U |  | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| trans-1,j-Dichloropropene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Ethylbenzene | 34 | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 L |
| 2-Hexanone | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 v | 50 U | 50 U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50 U | 50 U |  | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| Styrene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Tetrachloroethene | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Toluene | 206 | 103 | 26 | 32 | 33 | 37 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Trichloroethene | 37 | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| 1.2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 464 | 48 | 52 | 34 | 30 | 25 U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 114 | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U | 25 U |
| Xylenes (Total) | 165 | 109 | 39 | 40 | 34 | 26 |

## TABLE 1

(continued)
MARYLAND SPECTRAL SERVICES, INC.
1500 Caton Center Drive Baltimore, MD 21227

## VOLATLLE ORGANICS BY EPA GC/MS METHOD MODIFIED 8260

| CLIENT SAMPLE ID: | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EM1241 | EM1241 | EM1241 | EMI2+1 | EM1241 | EM1241 |
| LAB SAMPLE ID: | 000620125 | 000620126 | 000620127 | 000620128 | 000620129 | 000620130 |
| RECEIVED DATE: | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 | 06/20/00 |
| AVALYSIS DATE: | 06/26/00 | 06/26/00 | 06/26i00 | 06/26;00 | 06/26/00 | 06/26/00 |
| FILE NAME: | 0620125 | 0620126 | 0620127 | 0620128 | 0620129 | 0620130 |
| INSTRUMENT ID: | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD |
| UNTTS: | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP |

## VOLATILE COMPOUNDS

| Benzene | 25 |  | 25 | U | 46 |  | 25 | U | 31 |  | 25 | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromoform | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromomethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 2-Butanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 166 |  | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 2 こ | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chlorobenzene | 25 | $U$ | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloroethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Chloroform | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloromethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 214 |  | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Dibromochloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichioroethene (cis) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | ! | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (trans) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | $U$ | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Ethylbenzenc | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 2-Hexanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Styrene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Tetrachloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Toluene | 25 | U | 30 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 29 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1.2-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Trichloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2,4-Irimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Xylenes (Total) | 25 | U | 29 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |

B - Detected in lab blank. U-Below reported quantitation level. J-Estimated value.

TABLE 1
(continued)
MARYLAND SPECTRAL SERVICES, INC.
1500 Caton Center Drive Baltimore, MD 21227

VOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA GC/MS METHOD MODIFIED 8260

| CLIENT SAMPLE ID: | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | VBLK0622DI |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EMI241 | EM1241 | EM1241 | EMI24! | EMI241 |  |
| LAB SAMPLE ID: | 000620131 | 000620132 | 000620133 | 000620134 | 000620135 | NIETH. BL. |
| RECEIVED DATE: | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20 / 00$ | $06 / 20 / 00$ |  |
| ANALYSIS DATE: | $06 / 27 / 00$ | $06 / 27 / 00$ | $06 / 27 / 00$ | $06 / 27 / 00$ | $06 / 27 / 00$ |  |
| FILENAME: | 0620131 | 0620132 | 0620133 | 0620134 | 0620135 | $0622 V B L K D I$ |
| INSTRUMENT ID: | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD | MSD |
| UNITS: | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP |

VOLATILE COMPOUNDS

| Benzene | 29 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromodichloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromoform | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromomethane | 50 | 1 | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 2-Butanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chlorobenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloroethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Chlorotorm | 25 | U | 25 | U | 57 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloromethane | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Dibromochloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (cis) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (trans) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Ethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | $U$ | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 2-Hexanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Styrene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,2.2-Tetrachioroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Terrachloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Toluene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1.2-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Trichtoroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2.4-Trimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | ${ }^{1}$ | 112 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U | 29 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Xylenes (Total) | 25 | U | 25 | U | 125 |  | 25 | U | 25 | U | 25 | U |

[^2]
## TABLE 1

(continued)
MARYLAND SPECTRAL SERVICES, INC.
1500 Caton Center Drive Baltimore, MD 21227

VOLATILE ORGANICS BY EPA GC/MS METHOD MODIFIED 8260

| CLIENT SAMPLE ID: | VBLK0625D1 | VBLK0626DI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LAB SAMPLE ID: | METH. BL. | METH. BL. |
| RECEIVED DATE: |  |  |
| ANALYSIS DATE: | $06 / 25 / 00$ | $06 / 26 / 00$ |
| FILENAME: | 0625 VBLKD1 | $626 \mathrm{VBLKD1}$ |
| INSTRUMENTID: | MSD | MSD |
| UNITS: | NG/TRAP | NG/TRAP |

VOLATILE COMPOUNDS

| Benzene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bronodichloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromoform | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Bromomethane | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 2-Butanone | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chlorobenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloroethane | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Chboroform | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Chloromethane | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Dibromochloromethane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichiloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.1-Dichloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.2-Dichioroethene (cis) | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (trans) | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| trans-1.3-Dichloropropene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Ethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 2-Hexanone | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50 | U | 50 | U |
| Styrene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1.1.2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Tetrachioroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Toluene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Trichloroethene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | $\cup$ |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 25 | U | 25 | U |
| Xyienes (Total) | 25 | U | 25 | U |

B - Detected in lab blank. U-Below reported quantitation level. J-Estimated value.

## Attachment 2

Field Deployment Report

${ }_{3} 1$
$=$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{rage}^{1} 2^{1}$


## Attachment 3

## LABORATORY PROCEDURES FOR EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {B }}$ ADSORBENT CARTRIDGES

Following are laboratory procedures used with the EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Soil-Gas System, a screening technology for expedited site investigation. After exposure, EMFLUX ${ }^{8}$ cartridges are analyzed using U.S. EPA Method 8260 as described in the Solid Waste Manual (SW-846), a purge-and-trap capilary gas chromatographic/mass spectrometric method, modified to accommodate high-temperature thermal desorption of the adsorbent cartridges. This procedure is summarized as follows;
A. The adsorbent cartridges are thermally desorbed at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 minutes in a $40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ helium flow, through 5 mL of reagent water spiked with 250 ng of internal standards and surrogates held in the sparging vessel. Any analytes in the helium stream are adsorbed onto a standard threecomponent trap (Tenax, silica gel, coconut charcoal).
B. Following cryofocusing, the three-component trap is thermally desorbed at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ onto a Supelco VOCOL $105 \mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID, 3.00 micron filament thickness capillary column, per the U.S. EPA CLP Statement of Work (SOW) for the method.
C. Following the SOW, the GC/MS is scanned between 35 and 260 Atomic Mass Units (AMU) at one second per scan.
D. BFB tuning criteria and initial calibration are per the EPA CLP $2 / 88$ guidelines, with an 18 -hour tune window. A laboratory blank is analyzed after the daily standard to determine that the system is contaminant-free.
E. The instrumentation used for these analyses includes:

- Finnigan Model OWA 1050 Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer;
- Tekmar Mode! 6016 Aero Trap Autosampler;
- Tekmar Model LSC 2000 Liquid Sample Concentrator; and

Tekmar Model ALS 2016 Autosampler.

## Attachment 4

## Chain-of-Custody Form

BEACON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY FORM


TARGET COMPOUNDS:
EMFLUX 8260 List


BEACON ENVIROMMENTAL SERVICES, INC.
CHALN-OF-CUSTODY FORM

| PROIECT NUMBER: 1241 | PROIECT NAME: $\triangle A S N Y$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| LOCATION: GOWANDA, NY | CLIENT: BERGMMANN ASSOCIATES |

TARGET COMPOUNDS:

| SAMPLE NUMBER | LAB ID No. (for lab use only) | REMARKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Condition of sample or vial |  |  |  | Date | Time | Init. |
| 33 | 000620433 | acad condition, slight moisture |  |  |  | $6 / 19 / 00$ | $1: 55$ ax | Or |
| 34 | 134 gooce condition, sotre ligiud |  |  |  |  | $6 / 19100$ | 1:55pm | 7 mm |
| 35 | 135 | Gaosed condition. slidit moisture |  |  |  | 6/19/00 | 1:56pm | fom |
|  |  | - |  |  |  | -1, | 1.56 pm |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | De DATE |  | TIME | RECEIVED BY |  |  |  |
| Signature | Printed Nam |  |  | Signature | Printed Name |  |
| -_-_ | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -_-_ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -- | 1.ed | $P p$ | $6-\infty 0010$ | $\pi 150$ | $x+1$ |  | $5 \mathrm{HAMND}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |  |

## Attachment 1

## FIELD PROCEDURES FOR EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {B }}$ SOIL-GAS SURVEYS

The following field procedures are routinely used during EMFLUX ${ }^{8}$ Soil-Gas Surveys. Modifications can be and are incorporated from time to time in response to individual project requirements. In all instances, BEACON adheres to EPA-approved Quality Assurance and Quality Control practices.
A. Field personnel carry EMFLUX ${ }^{8}$ system components and support equipment to the site and deploy the EMFLUX Collectors in a prearranged survey pattern. Although EMFLUX ${ }^{8}$ Collectors require only one person for emplacement and retrieval, the specific number of field personnel required depends upon the scope and schedule of the project. Each Collector emplacement generally takes less than two minutes.
B. For those sample locations covered with soils or vegetation, a field technician clears vegetation and debris exposing the ground surface. Using a hammer and a ${ }^{3 / 4}$ "-diameter pointed metal stake, the technician creates a hole approximately three inches deep. For those locations covered with an asphalt or concrete cap, the field technician dritls a $11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$-diameter hole through the cap to the soils beneath. (If necessary, the Collector can be sleeved with a $34^{\prime \prime}$ i.d. copper pipe for either capped or uncapped locations).
C. The technician then removes the solid plastic cap from an EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Collector (a glass vial containing an adsorbent cartridge with a length of wire attached to the vial for retrieval) and replaces it with a Sampling Cap (a plastic cap with a hole covered by screen meshing). The technician inserts the Collector, with the Sampling Cap end facing down, into the hole (see attached figure). The Collector is then covered with either local soils for uncapped locations or, for capped locations, aluminum foil and a concrete patch. The Collector's location, time and date of emplacement, and other relevant information are recorded on the Field Deployment Form.
D. One or more trip blanks are included as part of the quality-control procedures.
E. Once all EMFLUX Collectors have been deployed, field personnel schedule Collector recovery (approximately 72 hours after emplacement) and depart, taking all no-longer-needed equipment and materials with them).
F. Field personnel retrieve the Collectors at the end of the 72 -hour exposure period. At each location, a field technician withdraws the Collector from its hole and wipes the outside of the vial clean using gauze cloth; following removal of the Sampling Cap, the threads of the vial are also cleaned. A solid plastic cap is screwed onto the vial and the sample location number is written on the label. The technician then records sample-point location, date, time, etc. on the Field Deployment Form.
G. Sampling holes are refilled with soil, sand, or other suitable material. If Collectors have been installed through asphalt or concrete, the hole if filled to grade with a plug of cold patch or cement.
H. Following retrieval, field personnel ship or carry the EMFLUX Collectors to a specified analytical laboratory. The remaining equipment is returned to BEACON's preparation facility.

## EMFLUX ${ }^{\text {® }}$ COLLECTOR

## DEPLOYMENT THROUGH SOILS



SOILS

## DEPLOYMENT THROUGH AN ASPHALT/CONCRETE CAP


$\qquad$ Miw DATE $\qquad$ 6114100 BERGMANN PROU. No. $\qquad$ SHT_ 1 $\qquad$ 2 CKD $\qquad$ DATE $\qquad$ ASSOCIATES PROU NAME DASNY - Govianar CIMR
On-site - 8am, し́essica Moeller ; Mirheuewinters
Met with - larry, don otel, larry, facilities manager
Jim andersen - mainitianie
WEATHER - HOT \&HUNID, TEMPERATIRE MID-DAY REACHED $96^{\circ}$
PLAN-INSTALLATION OF 35 SULLGAS COLLECTURS. MCLST OF
bIILDING is SIRROUNDED WITH AShALT-LISE OF ROTARY HAMMER DRILL REQUIRED



[^0]:    200 First Federal Plaza. 24 East Main Street / Rochester. New York 1+614-1909 $716.232 .5135 / 716.232 .4652$ fax

[^1]:    B-Detected in lab blank. U-Below reported quantitation level. J - Estimated value

[^2]:    B - Detected in lab blank. U-Below reported quantitation level. $j$ - Estimated vaiue

