SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM (SSDS) BI-ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT

520 Albany Avenue Kingston, Ulster County, New York

August 24, 2016

1291 Old Post Road Ulster Park, New York 12487 (845) 658-3484 phone/(845) 658-3320 fax dtconsulting@hvc.rr.com

August 24, 2016

Krista Scibelli 111 Whalesback Road Red Hook, New York 12571

RE: SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM BI-ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT

520 Albany Avenue Kingston, Ulster County, New York

Dear Mrs. Scibelli:

DT Consulting Services, Inc. (DTCS) is pleased to present the attached Sub-slab Depressurization System (SSDS) Bi-Annual Monitoring Report as generated for the above referenced site. As required, a copy of this report will be forwarded to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) for their review and comment. The necessity for further action is at the discretion of the NYSDEC.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please feel free to contact me at (845) 658-3484. DTCS thanks you for the opportunity to work with you on this project.

Sincerely, **DT CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.**

Deborah J. Thompson

Deborah J. Thompson Senior Geologist / Project Manager

Cc: E. Moore, P.E./NYSDEC Region III

<u>SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM (SSDS)</u> <u>BI-ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT</u>

Pertaining to:

520 Albany Avenue Kingston, Ulster County, New York

Prepared for:

Krista Scibelli 111 Whalesback Road Red Hook, New York 12571

Prepared by:

Ms. Deborah J. Thompson Senior Geologist/Project Manager DT CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. 1291 Old Post Road Ulster Park, New York 12487

Date: August 24, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION/SITE INFORMATION	1
2.0	SITE BACKGROUND/SSDS INATLLATION	2-3
3.0	SSDS OPERATION	3-4
4.0	AIR QUALITY SAMPLING	4-6
	4.1 FINDINGS	5-6
5.0	OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND MONITORING	6
6.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	7
7.0	LIMITATIONS	7

FIGURES

SITE LOCATION PLAN	.1
SITE (BASE) MAP	.2
HISTORICAL PERC CONTAMINANT GRAPH	.3

TABLES

ATTACHMENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION/SITE INFORMATION

DT Consulting Services, Inc. (DTCS) was initially contracted by Krista Scibelli, property owner of 520 Albany Avenue, Kingston, Ulster County, New York (heretofore referenced as the Site or subject property) to perform investigative-remedial actions on-Site to quantify subsurface conditions and remediate previously detected soil contamination, respectively. Historically, the subject property was utilized as a dry cleaning establishment from the late 1950s – 1980s. The Site was renovated in 2004, having been completely updated as a used car service and sales outlet. According to facility representatives, no known underground storage tanks have ever been employed on-Site. A Site location map and a Site (base) plan (Figures 1 and 2, respectively) are included for your reference.

The approximate 0.66-acre property was improved with a single-story masonry construction office/retail building with approximately 2,579 square feet of space with paved parking areas. Up until its recent closure (November 1, 2013), the property was utilized by Eastchester Auto for retail automobile sales and service. April Between and August 2014 the property was sold, site improvements/additions conducted, and is currently operating as Artcraft Camera & Digital and Fast Signs. Artcraft Camera & Digital and Fast Signs provide printing/photography services along with sign and graphic products.

The site is bounded by Albany Avenue and Quick Check Gasoline/Convenience Store the north-northwest, single family residences directly to the south, Wrentham Street and L. T. Begnal Motor Company to the east, while Tri-Star Auto Sales, Inc. - Auto Tech is present to the west. Town roadways adjoining the site include Albany Avenue to the north-northwest and Wrentham Street to the east. Site topography is generally level and at grade with Albany Avenue. Potable water and wastewater disposal are reportedly provided by the local municipality.

2.0 SITE BACKGROUND/SSDS INSTALLATION

On February 4, 2013, DTCS was on-Site to perform a subsurface investigation. While performing the field survey, soil contamination was encountered as displayed by stained soils, a petroleum film and positive field readings with a Photoionization Detector or PID. This material was documented along the southwest corner of the site structure, directly down gradient of several 55-gallon drums utilized by the historical tenant, Eastchester Auto, to store waste oil. On account of the contamination encountered, DTCS notified the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and Spill Number 12-15279 was generated for the site. Upon review of field data with the NYSDEC, the Department requested remediation of the petroleum contaminated soils documented during the February 2013 survey. Subsequent remediation (April 22, 2013) of the source materials has been performed to remedy the impacts to soil and groundwater quality. The removal and ultimate off-site disposal of 34.82 tons of contaminated soils and 1,241 gallons of captured groundwater appears to have remediated this Site impact. The Department concurred, and officially closed the spill number on September 4, 2013.

While conducting further investigation on the subject parcel in June 2013, it became apparent that historical dry cleaning operations have had an impact to the subsurface environment. To further delineate and quantify the compounds of concern, additional borings were advanced for the purpose of defining the chlorinated solvent plume within subsurface materials including soil, soil gas and groundwater beneath the site. Based upon the results of this investigation, DTCS proposed and received approval from the Department for the installation of a Sub Slab Depressurization System or SSDS within the open garage space of the above referenced site (DTCS, SSDS Pilot Study and Design Report, December 17, 2013). Designed to create a negative pressure field directly beneath the site structure, two extraction points were installed below the concrete floor slab on January 7 & 8, 2014 (see Figure 2 for locations). These extraction points were

created by cutting through the concrete slab and excavating a six inch diameter hole, two feet deep. A two-inch diameter ten slot screen, eighteen inches long, connected to an appropriate length of solid riser pipe was then installed in the excavation. To provide the suction necessary to maintain the required vacuum under the floor slab, the two extraction points were interconnected to a single, sub-slab two-inch pipe traversing down the center of the garage. Vapor discharge piping was then attached to the suction side of a Rotron DR454M Regenerative Blower which was mounted to the outside of the building on the back wall. The exhaust stack for the sub-slab system was attached to the wall and extended to a height above the roof line of the building. Sampling ports and a fresh air bleed valve were also installed to facilitate the collection of air quality samples, routine monitoring of the system and to allow the introduction of diluted air into the system (as necessary). Note that all sub-slab excavations (extraction piping and discharge trench) were backfilled with $\frac{1}{4}$ washed stone and at completion, disturbed cement flooring was restored to create a tight seal. To finalize the SSDS installation, an alarm light was installed within the garage area of the site structure for a quick visual determination as to whether the system is operating. DTCS initiated the Sub-Slab Depressurization Remedial System on January 23, 2014.

3.0 SSDS OPERATION

As part of Site monitoring procedures, DTCS records vacuum measurements, PID readings, and performs analysis of indoor ambient air (annually) and SSDS soil vapor discharge (quarterly). Collected system information is as follows:

Date	Vacuum - Blower Discharge (cfm)	Vapor Concentrations (ppm)
January 23, 2014	110	115
March 7, 2014	121	1.2
April 25, 2014	115	65
August 8, 2014	112	12
November 22, 2014	111	1.4
March 13, 2015	112	1.2
June 18, 2015	112	2.1
October 3, 2015	110	0.5
January 17, 2016	111	0.4
April 25, 2016	112	0.2
July 30, 2016	110	0.3

During SSDS operation, the soil gas concentrations typically start at a maximum concentration and decrease asymptotically to steady state conditions. On account of the initial tetrachloroethylene or PERC soil gas concentration reported from the system effluent (20,000 μ g/m³ on January 23, 2014); the fresh air bleed valve was opened 25% to allow the introduction of diluted air into the system prior to discharge to the atmosphere. All system components were running within acceptable thresholds during this reporting period.

4.0 AIR QUALITY SAMPLING

DTCS performed the quarterly SSDS effluent sampling events at the Site on April 25 and July 20, 2016 during normal operating hours. All vapor sampling performed during this monitoring period was collected employing a six liter SUMMA canister equipped with a laboratory-calibrated flow control device to facilitate the collection of the samples for a 2-hour sample duration time. During both purging and sampling, the flow rate was restricted to less than (<) 0.2 liters per minute and connected directly to the dedicated tubing. Samples collected in Summa canisters were certified clean by the laboratory and analyzed by using

USEPA Method TO-15. A sample log sheet was maintained summarizing sample identification, date and time of sample collection, identity of samplers, sampling methods and devices, soil vapor purge volumes, volume of the soil vapor extracted, vacuum of canisters before and after the samples are collected, and chain of custody protocols. Samples submitted for laboratory analyses were denoted as follows:

<u>April 25, 2016 & July 30, 2016</u> Sample No. 001 = SSDS Effluent

The complete laboratory packages may be found in Attachment A for your review.

4.1 Findings

The results of vapor sampling indicate that ten volatile organic compounds or VOCs are present within the samples collected on-Site during this monitoring period. A summary table of data for all chemical analytical work is included as Table 1. Based upon the comparison of reported sample concentrations verses the USEPA OSWER Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance) November 2002 and the NYS Department of Health (DOH) Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York, October 2006; none of the reported compounds exceeded regulatory guidelines within the vapor collected from the system effluent vapor stream.

The VOC of concern, namely Tetrachloroethylene, was reported at a concentration of 4 and 6 μ g/m³ within the SSDS effluent air stream during the April and July 2016 monitoring events, respectively. This result is a significant reduction from a high of 20,000 μ g/m³ as recorded during the January 2014 effluent sampling period. The SSDS has been efficient at mitigating the intrusion

of potential vapors as the PERC concentrations recorded in the effluent air have decreased over time (see Figure 3 for comparison graph).

PERC and to a lesser extent trichloroethylene or TCE, are the main contaminants of concern. The estimated PERC removal rate was determined by multiplying a conversion factor, the measured VOC concentration, the flow rate, and the molecular weight (see equation). The actual removal rate is quantified by using the following equation:

 $R_{act} = MWQC_{act}$

Where:

R _{act}	=	actual rate of removal (lb/hr),
MW	=	contaminant molecular weight (lb/lb-mole),
Q	=	vapor flow rate (ft ³ /min),
$1.581 \mathrm{x} \ 10^{-7}$	=	conversion factor (lb-mole-min./ft ³ -ppmv-hr)C _{act}
	=	measured vapor concentration (ppmv).

Vapor Contaminant	Total Mass Removed To Date(pounds)			
VOCs by USEPA TO-15				
Tetrachloroethylene	7.700			

5.0 OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND MONITORING

Historical evaluation of vapor data suggested that the SSDS effluent could potentially exceed the maximum permitted level for VOCs. As such; the fresh air bleed was utilized to dilute the airstream until the initial purge of the system is complete and discharge levels are within acceptable regulatory limitations. Based upon recent sampling events, contaminant concentrations have decreased significantly which warranted discontinuing the dilution of the SSDS air stream.

6.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**


On account of continued satisfaction of reported SSDS effluent vapor concentrations when compared to regulatory standards, DTCS is recommending the following:

- Temporarily suspending the operation of the SSDS on-Site;
- Reinitiating the SSDS on a quarterly basis to monitor for potential rebound of vapor phase contaminants. Provided exceedances are not detected, DTCS would recommend permanent termination of the SSDS after two additional quarterly sampling events.

7.0 LIMITATIONS

DTCS has prepared this assessment using reasonable efforts in each phase of its work to determine the extent of contamination within the locations of potential environmental concern. This report is not definitive, and should not be assumed to be a complete or specific definition of all conditions above or below grade. The conclusions/recommendations set forth herein are applicable only to the facts and conditions described at the time of this report.

FIGURES








FIGURE 3 <u>Historical PERC Comparison Graph - SSDS Effluent</u> 520 Albany Avenue, Kingston, New York

DT Consulting Services, Inc.

TABLES

TABLE 1:

SUMMARY OF TO-15 VOLATILES IN AIR SAMPLES

Site: Krista Scibelli Address: 520 Albany Avenue, Kingston, New York NYSDEC Spill Number N/A

Client: Krista Scibelli Address: 111 Whalesback Road Red Hook, New York 12571

Contractor:	DT Consulting Services, Inc.
Laboratory:	York Analytical Laboratories, Inc.
	Stratford, CT 06615

	NYSDOH	USEPA	SSDS Effluent	SSDS Effluent
Sample ID:	Also Could allow a	TARGET SHALLOW GAS		
Location:	Air Guideline	CONCENTRATIONS(¹)	Discharge	Distance
Depth (ft.):	Values		Discharge	Discharge
Date:			4/25/2016	7/30/2016
Lab Sample ID:			16D0929	16H0036
Units: Analysis: EPA Method TO-15 Volatiles in A	µg/m ³		µg/m³	µg/m³
Analysis: EPA Method 10-15 volatiles in A	ar -			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NS	22000	ND	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	NS	42	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	NS	NS	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	NS	150	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	NS	5000	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethylene	NS	NS	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	NS	2000	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	NS	60	1.8	ND
1.2-Dibromoethane	NS	2	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	NS	2000	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	NS	94	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	NS	40	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	NS	NS	ND	ND
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	NS	60	ND	ND
1,3-Butadiene	NS	8.7	ND	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	NS	1100	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	NS	8000	ND	ND
1,4-Dioxane	NS	NS	ND	ND
2-Butanone	NS	10000	1.2	0.97
2-Hexanone	NS	NS	ND	ND
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	NS	800	ND	ND
Acetone	NS	3500	8.3	9.9
Benzene	NS	310	ND	ND
Benzyl chloride	NS	50	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	NS	140	ND	ND
Bromoform	NS	2200	ND	ND
Bromomethane	NS	NS	ND	ND
Carbon Disulfide	NS	7000	ND	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	NS	160	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	NS	600	ND	ND
Chloroethane	NS	10000	ND	ND
Chloroform	NS	110	ND	ND
Chloromethane	NS	NS	1.8	1.3
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	NS	350	ND	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	NS	200	ND	ND
Cyclohexane	NS	NS	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NS	100	ND	1.9
Dichlorodifluoromethane	NS	2000	2.4	ND
Ethyl acetate	NS	32000	ND	ND
Ethyl Benzene	NS	2200	ND	ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	NS	110	ND	ND
Isopropanol	NS	NS	ND	ND
MTBE Mathylene sklaride	NS	30000	ND	ND
Methylene chloride n-Heptane	60 NS	5200 NS	ND ND	ND ND
n-Heptane n-Hexane	NS	NS 2000	ND ND	0.75
o-Xylene	NS	70000	ND ND	0.75 ND
p-&m- Xylenes	NS	70000	ND ND	ND ND
p-Ethyltoluene	NS	NS	1.1	ND
Propylene	NS	NS	ND	ND
Styrene	NS	NS	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethylene	100	810	4	6.6
Tetrahydrofuran	NS	NS	ND	ND
Toluene	NS	4000	0.69	0.95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	NS	700	ND	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	NS	200	ND	ND
Trichloroethylene	5	200	ND	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	NS	7000	1.3	1.2
Vinyl acetate	NS	200	ND	ND
Vinyl Chloride	NS	280	ND	ND
				1.12
Notes:				

Notes:
1. Those analytes which exceeded NYSDOH Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York, October 2006 are presented in bold type as such: <u>100</u>, 2. USEPA OSWER Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance) November 2002: Table 2A Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration - Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.1.

3. ND = Non-detect.
 4. NS = No Standard.

ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A



Technical Report

prepared for:

DT Consulting Services

1291 Old Post Road Ulster Park NY, 12487 Attention: Deborah Thompson

Report Date: 04/28/2016 Client Project ID: 520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY York Project (SDG) No.: 16D0929



New Jersey Cert. No. CT-005



New York Cert. No. 10854

PA Cert. No. 68-04440

STRATFORD, CT 06615

(203) 325-1371

FAX (203) 357-0166

Report Date: 04/28/2016 Client Project ID: 520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY York Project (SDG) No.: 16D0929

DT Consulting Services 1291 Old Post Road Ulster Park NY, 12487 Attention: Deborah Thompson

Purpose and Results

This report contains the analytical data for the sample(s) identified on the attached chain-of-custody received in our laboratory on April 26, 2016 and listed below. The project was identified as your project: **520** Albany Ave Kingston, NY.

The analyses were conducted utilizing appropriate EPA, Standard Methods, and ASTM methods as detailed in the data summary tables.

All samples were received in proper condition meeting the customary acceptance requirements for environmental samples except those indicated under the Notes section of this report.

All analyses met the method and laboratory standard operating procedure requirements except as indicated by any data flags, the meaning of which are explained in the attachment to this report, and case narrative if applicable.

The results of the analyses, which are all reported on dry weight basis (soils) unless otherwise noted, are detailed in the following pages.

Please contact Client Services at 203.325.1371 with any questions regarding this report.

York Sample ID	<u>Client Sample ID</u>	<u>Matrix</u>	Date Collected	Date Received
16D0929-01	SSDS Effluent	Vapor Extraction	04/25/2016	04/26/2016

General Notes for York Project (SDG) No.: 16D0929

- 1. The RLs and MDLs (Reporting Limit and Method Detection Limit respectively) reported are adjusted for any dilution necessary due to the levels of target and/or non-target analytes and matrix interference. The RL(REPORTING LIMIT) is based upon the lowest standard utilized for the calibration where applicable.
- 2. Samples are retained for a period of thirty days after submittal of report, unless other arrangements are made.
- 3. York's liability for the above data is limited to the dollar value paid to York for the referenced project.
- 4. This report shall not be reproduced without the written approval of York Analytical Laboratories, Inc.
- 5. All samples were received in proper condition for analysis with proper documentation, unless otherwise noted.
- 6. All analyses conducted met method or Laboratory SOP requirements. See the Qualifiers and/or Narrative sections for further information.
- 7. It is noted that no analyses reported herein were subcontracted to another laboratory, unless noted in the report.
- 8. This report reflects results that relate only to the samples submitted on the attached chain-of-custody form(s) received by York.

Approved By:

Date: 04/28/2016



Benjamin Gulizia Laboratory Director



Client Sample ID:	SSDS Effluent

York Project (SDG) No.	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16D0929	520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	April 25, 2016 3:00 pm	04/26/2016

Volatile Organics, EPA TO15 Full List					Log-in Notes: <u>Sample Notes:</u>													
	Sample Prepared by Method: EPA TO15 PREP CAS No. Parameter		Result Flag I		Result Flag Univ				by Method: EPA TO15 PREP Parameter Result Flag Units		LOD/MDL	Reported to LOD/MDL LOQ Dilution		Date/Time n Reference Method Prepared			Date/Time Analyzed	Analyst
630-20-6	* 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.833	EPA TO-15		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.0	1.0	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
76-13-1	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (Freon 113)	ND		ug/m³	1.4	1.4	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.0	1.0	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	0.74	0.74	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.73	0.73	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.4	1.4	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.8		ug/m³	0.90	0.90	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
106-93-4	1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/m³	1.4	1.4	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	0.74	0.74	1.833	EPA TO-15		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		ug/m³	0.85	0.85	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15		Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
76-14-2	1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15		Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
108-67-8	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		ug/m³	0.90	0.90	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15		Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
106-99-0	1,3-Butadiene	ND		ug/m³	1.2	1.2	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15		Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15		Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
142-28-9	* 1,3-Dichloropropane	ND		ug/m³	0.85	0.85	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15	NELAC-N	Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15	NELAC-N	Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						
78-93-3	2-Butanone	1.2		ug/m³	0.54	0.54	1.833	Certifications: EPA TO-15		Y10854,NJDEP 04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854 NIDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS						

Certifications: NELAC-NY10854,NJDEP

16D0929-01

York Sample ID:



<u>Client Sample ID:</u> SSDS Effluent			<u>York Sample ID:</u>	16D0929-01
York Project (SDG) No.	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16D0929	520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	April 25, 2016 3:00 pm	04/26/2016

	rganics, EPA TO15 Full List				<u>Log-in</u>	Notes:		<u>Sam</u>	<u>ple Note</u>	<u>es:</u>		
CAS No	d by Method: EPA TO15 PREP . Parameter	Result	Flag	Units	LOD/MDL	Reported to LOQ	Dilution	Reference	Method	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	Analyst
591-78-6	* 2-Hexanone	ND		ug/m³	1.5	1.5	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
107-05-1	3-Chloropropene	ND		ug/m³	2.9	2.9	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND		ug/m³	0.75	0.75	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
67-64-1	Acetone	8.3		ug/m³	0.87	0.87	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	ND		ug/m³	0.40	0.40	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
71-43-2	Benzene	ND		ug/m³	0.59	0.59	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
100-44-7	Benzyl chloride	ND		ug/m³	0.95	0.95	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	ND		ug/m³	1.2	1.2	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
75-25-2	Bromoform	ND		ug/m³	1.9	1.9	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
74-83-9	Bromomethane	ND		ug/m³	0.71	0.71	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	ND		ug/m³	0.57	0.57	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
56-23-5	Carbon tetrachloride	ND		ug/m³	0.29	0.29	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	0.84	0.84	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
75-00-3	Chloroethane	ND		ug/m³	0.48	0.48	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
67-66-3	Chloroform	ND		ug/m³	0.89	0.89	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
74-87-3	Chloromethane	1.8		ug/m³	0.38	0.38	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.73	0.73	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND		ug/m³	0.83	0.83	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
110-82-7	Cyclohexane	ND		ug/m³	0.63	0.63	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	ND		ug/m³	1.6	1.6	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.4		ug/m³	0.91	0.91	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
141-78-6	* Ethyl acetate	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS



Client Sample ID:	SSDS Effluent			York Sample ID:	16D0929-01
York Project (SDG) N	<u>lo.</u>	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16D0929		520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	April 25, 2016 3:00 pm	04/26/2016

	rganics, EPA TO15 Full List				<u>Log-in</u>	Notes:		Sam	ple Note	<u>s:</u>		
CAS No.	d by Method: EPA TO15 PREP . Parameter	Result	Flag	Units	LOD/MDL	Reported to LOQ	Dilution	Reference	Method	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	Analyst
00-41-4	Ethyl Benzene	ND		ug/m³	0.80	0.80	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
37-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		ug/m³	2.0	2.0	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
67-63-0	Isopropanol	ND		ug/m³	0.90	0.90	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
80-62-6	Methyl Methacrylate	ND		ug/m³	0.75	0.75	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
634-04-4	Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		ug/m³	0.66	0.66	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
42-82-5	n-Heptane	ND		ug/m³	0.75	0.75	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
10-54-3	n-Hexane	ND		ug/m³	0.65	0.65	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
5-47-6	o-Xylene	ND		ug/m³	0.80	0.80	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
79601-23-1	p- & m- Xylenes	ND		ug/m³	1.6	1.6	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
522-96-8	* p-Ethyltoluene	1.1		ug/m³	0.90	0.90	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
15-07-1	* Propylene	ND		ug/m³	0.32	0.32	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
00-42-5	Styrene	ND		ug/m³	0.78	0.78	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethylene	4.0		ug/m³	0.31	0.31	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
09-99-9	* Tetrahydrofuran	ND		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
108-88-3	Toluene	0.69		ug/m³	0.69	0.69	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
56-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.73	0.73	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
0061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND		ug/m³	0.83	0.83	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 /10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
79-01-6	Trichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.25	0.25	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	1.3		ug/m³	1.0	1.0	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
08-05-4	Vinyl acetate	ND		ug/m³	0.65	0.65	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
93-60-2	Vinyl bromide	ND		ug/m³	0.80	0.80	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		04/27/2016 11:21 (10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS



<u>Client Sample ID:</u> SSDS Effluent			York Sample ID:	16D0929-01
York Project (SDG) No.	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16D0929	520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	April 25, 2016 3:00 pm	04/26/2016

<u>Volatile O</u>	Organics, EPA TO15 Full List				<u>Log-in</u>	Notes:		San	<u>iple Note</u>	<u>s:</u>		
Sample Prepare	ed by Method: EPA TO15 PREP											
CAS N	o. Parameter	Result	Flag	Units	LOD/MDL	Reported to LOQ	Dilution	Reference	e Method	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	Analyst
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/m³	0.47	0.47	1.833	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	04/27/2016 11:21 Y10854,NJDEP	04/27/2016 21:26	LDS
	Surrogate Recoveries	Result		Acc	eptance Ran	ge						
460-00-4	Surrogate: p-Bromofluorobenzene	99.7 %			72-118							



Notes and Definitions

- QL-03 This LCS analyte recovered outside of acceptance limits. The LCS contains approximately 70 compounds, a limited number of which may be outside acceptance windows. CCV-A The value reported is ESTIMATED. The value is estimated due to its behavior during continuing calibration verification (>30% Difference for average Rf). This applies to dectected analytes only. Analyte is not certified or the state of the samples origination does not offer certification for the Analyte. NOT DETECTED - the analyte is not detected at the Reported to level (LOQ/RL or LOD/MDL) ND RL. REPORTING LIMIT - the minimum reportable value based upon the lowest point in the analyte calibration curve. LOQ LIMIT OF QUANTITATION - the minimum concentration of a target analyte that can be reported within a specified degree of confidence. This is the lowest point in an analyte calibration curve that has been subjected to all steps of the processing/analysis and verified to meet defined criteria. This is based upon NELAC 2009 Standards and applies to all analyses. LOD LIMIT OF DETECTION - a verified estimate of the minimum concentration of a substance in a given matrix that an analytical process can reliably detect. This is based upon NELAC 2009 Standards and applies to all analyses conducted under the auspices of EPA SW-846. METHOD DETECTION LIMIT - a statistically derived estimate of the minimum amount of a substance an analytical system can reliably detect with a MDL 99% confidence that the concentration of the substance is greater than zero. This is based upon 40 CFR Part 136 Appendix B and applies only to EPA 600 and 200 series methods. This indicates that the data for a particular analysis is reported to either the LOD/MDL, or the LOQ/RL. In cases where the "Reported to" is located Reported to above the LOD/MDL, any value between this and the LOQ represents an estimated value which is "J" flagged accordingly. This applies to volatile and semi-volatile target compounds only. Not reported NR RPD Relative Percent Difference The data has been reported on an as-received (wet weight) basis Wet Low Bias Low Bias flag indicates that the recovery of the flagged analyte is below the laboratory or regulatory lower control limit. The data user should take note that this analyte may be biased low but should evaluate multiple lines of evidence including the LCS and site-specific MS/MSD data to draw bias conclusions. In cases where no site-specific MS/MSD was requested, only the LCS data can be used to evaluate such bias. High Bias High Bias flag indicates that the recovery of the flagged analyte is above the laboratory or regulatory upper control limit. The data user should take note that this analyte may be biased high but should evaluate multiple lines of evidence including the LCS and site-specific MS/MSD data to draw bias conclusions. In cases where no site-specific MS/MSD was requested, only the LCS data can be used to evaluate such bias.
 - Non-Dir. Non-dir. flag (Non-Directional Bias) indicates that the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) (a measure of precision) among the MS and MSD data is outside the laboratory or regulatory control limit. This alerts the data user where the MS and MSD are from site-specific samples that the RPD is high due to either non-homogeneous distribution of target analyte between the MS/MSD or indicates poor reproducibility for other reasons.

If EPA SW-846 method 8270 is included herein it is noted that the target compound N-nitrosodiphenylamine (NDPA) decomposes in the gas chromatographic inlet and cannot be separated from diphenylamine (DPA). These results could actually represent 100% DPA, 100% NDPA or some combination of the two. For this reason, York reports the combined result for n-nitrosodiphenylamine and diphenylamine for either of these compounds as a combined concentration as Diphenylamine.

If Total PCBs are detected and the target aroclors reported are "Not detected", the Total PCB value is reported due to the presence of either or both Aroclors 1262 and 1268 which are non-target aroclors for some regulatory lists.

2-chloroethylvinyl ether readily breaks down under acidic conditions. Samples that are acid preserved, including standards will exhibit breakdown. The data user should take note.

Certification for pH is no longer offered by NYDOH ELAP.

Semi-Volatile and Volatile analyses are reported down to the LOD/MDL, with values between the LOD/MDL and the LOQ being "J" flagged as estimated results.

For analyses by EPA SW-846-8270D, the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) reported for benzidine is based upon the lowest standard used for calibration and is not a verified LOQ due to this compound's propensity for oxidative losses during extraction/concentration procedures and non-reproducible chromatographic performance.



		Field Ch	ain-of-C	usto	ain-of-Custody Record - AIR	d - AIR	Pageof/
YORK ANATORIA LANALANDIA	This dc	NOTE: York's Std ocument serves as your v signature binds you to Y	. Terms & Conditions are written authorization to Y fork's Std. Terms & Cond	the second se	NOTE: York's Std. Terms & Conditions are listed on the back side of this document. This document serves as your written authorization to York to proceed with the analyses requested and your signature binds you to York's Std. Terms & Conditions unless superseded by written contract.		York Project No. 16 DU 929
YOUR Information company: DT Conscillight Address: Sewil Cos	Company: Son	o: Company: Address:	Invoice To:	250 Ring	YOUR Project ID 20 Albary Ave ingsten, nud	Turn-Around Time RUSH - Same Day RUSH - Next Day RIISH - Two Day	Report Type/Deliverables Summary Report Summary w/ QA Summary CT RCP Package NY ASP A Package
Phone No. Contact Person: U. D. C. D. Mail Address: The Ann Statess	Phone No	Phone No	ddress:	Purchase	Purchase Order No.	RUSH - Three Day RUSH - Four Day Standard(5-7 Days)	NY ASP B/CLP Pkg NJDEP Reduced Electronic Deliverables: EDD (Specify Type) Standard Excel
arly and Legibly. will NOT be log not begin until a	All Information mi ged in and the tu my questions by Yo Ai	must be complete turn-around time York are resolved. Air Matrix Codes INDOOR Ambient Air	Additional Notes:	-		Detection Limits Required ≤1 ug/m ³ NYSDEC VI Limits V ^(VI = spec intrusion) NJDEP low level	Regulatory Comparison Excel Special Instructions
Samples Collected/Authorized By	Signature) A0- 0 BCD AE- 1 AS- 5	OUTDOOR Amb. Air Vapor Extraction Well/ Process Gas/Effluent SOIL Vapor/Sub-Slab	Please enter t	he follow	Please enter the following Field Data	Routine Survey Other	
Sample Identification	Date Sampled	AIR Matrix	Canister Vacuum Can Before Sampling (in. Hg) After	Canister Vacuum After Sampling (m. Hg)	Canister ID Flow Cont.ID	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ESTED Sampling Media
SSDS Effluent	4/25/IL	AE	30	Ø	SI8 F25	10-15	6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag 6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag 6 Liter canister
							Tedlar Bag 6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag 6 Jater canister
							Tedlar Bag 6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag
							o Luter camster Tedlar Bag 6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag
							6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag 6 Liter canister Tedlar Bac
stuering Page 9			Du Lugran In March	B.	11- 4/26/16	Samples Received By	-26-16 (1. cm
of 9			Samples Relinquished By	1	Date/Time	Ramples Received in LAB by	6/1



Technical Report

prepared for:

DT Consulting Services

1291 Old Post Road Ulster Park NY, 12487 Attention: Deborah Thompson

Report Date: 08/04/2016 Client Project ID: 520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY York Project (SDG) No.: 16H0036

CT Cert. No. PH-0723

New Jersey Cert. No. CT-005



New York Cert. No. 10854

PA Cert. No. 68-04440

120 RESEARCH DRIVE

STRATFORD, CT 06615

(203) 325-1371

FAX (203) 357-0166

Report Date: 08/04/2016 Client Project ID: 520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY York Project (SDG) No.: 16H0036

DT Consulting Services 1291 Old Post Road Ulster Park NY, 12487 Attention: Deborah Thompson

Purpose and Results

This report contains the analytical data for the sample(s) identified on the attached chain-of-custody received in our laboratory on August 01, 2016 and listed below. The project was identified as your project: **520** Albany Ave Kingston, NY.

The analyses were conducted utilizing appropriate EPA, Standard Methods, and ASTM methods as detailed in the data summary tables.

All samples were received in proper condition meeting the customary acceptance requirements for environmental samples except those indicated under the Notes section of this report.

All analyses met the method and laboratory standard operating procedure requirements except as indicated by any data flags, the meaning of which are explained in the attachment to this report, and case narrative if applicable.

The results of the analyses, which are all reported on dry weight basis (soils) unless otherwise noted, are detailed in the following pages.

Please contact Client Services at 203.325.1371 with any questions regarding this report.

York Sample ID	<u>Client Sample ID</u>	<u>Matrix</u>	Date Collected	Date Received
16H0036-01	SSDS Effluent	Vapor Extraction	07/30/2016	08/01/2016

General Notes for York Project (SDG) No.: 16H0036

- 1. The RLs and MDLs (Reporting Limit and Method Detection Limit respectively) reported are adjusted for any dilution necessary due to the levels of target and/or non-target analytes and matrix interference. The RL(REPORTING LIMIT) is based upon the lowest standard utilized for the calibration where applicable.
- 2. Samples are retained for a period of thirty days after submittal of report, unless other arrangements are made.
- 3. York's liability for the above data is limited to the dollar value paid to York for the referenced project.
- 4. This report shall not be reproduced without the written approval of York Analytical Laboratories, Inc.
- 5. All samples were received in proper condition for analysis with proper documentation, unless otherwise noted.
- 6. All analyses conducted met method or Laboratory SOP requirements. See the Qualifiers and/or Narrative sections for further information.
- 7. It is noted that no analyses reported herein were subcontracted to another laboratory, unless noted in the report.
- 8. This report reflects results that relate only to the samples submitted on the attached chain-of-custody form(s) received by York.

Approved By:

Date: 08/04/2016



Benjamin Gulizia Laboratory Director



<u>Client Sample ID:</u> SSDS Effluent			York Sample ID:	16H0036-01
York Project (SDG) No.	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16H0036	520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	July 30, 2016 3:00 pm	08/01/2016

Log-in Notes:

volatile Or	ganics, EPA 1015 Full List					110005.		Sam		- <u></u>		
	d by Method: EPA TO15 PREP					Reported to				Date/Time	Date/Time	
CAS No.	. Parameter	Result	Flag	Units	LOD/MDL	LOQ	Dilution	Reference	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
630-20-6	* 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
76-13-1	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (Freon 113)	ND		ug/m³	1.5	1.5	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	0.78	0.78	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.77	0.77	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.4	1.4	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND		ug/m³	0.95	0.95	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
106-93-4	1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/m³	1.5	1.5	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.2	1.2	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/m³	0.78	0.78	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		ug/m³	0.90	0.90	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
76-14-2	1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	ND		ug/m³	1.4	1.4	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
108-67-8	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		ug/m³	0.95	0.95	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
106-99-0	1,3-Butadiene	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.2	1.2	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
142-28-9	* 1,3-Dichloropropane	ND		ug/m³	0.90	0.90	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	1.2	1.2	1.938	EPA TO-15	NEL AC N	08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS

1,4-Dioxane

2-Butanone

123-91-1

78-93-3

Volatile Organics, EPA TO15 Full List

ug/m³

ug/m³

1.4

0.57

1.4

0.57

ND

0.97

1.938

EPA TO-15

Certifications:

Certifications:

1.938 EPA TO-15

08/03/2016 10:01 08/03/2016 17:18

08/03/2016 10:01 08/03/2016 17:18

Certifications: NELAC-NY10854,NJDEP

NELAC-NY10854,NJDEP

NELAC-NY10854,NJDEP

LDS

LDS

Sample Notes:



<u>Client Sample ID:</u> SSDS Efflue	nt		York Sample ID:	16H0036-01
York Project (SDG) No.	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16H0036	520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	July 30, 2016 3:00 pm	08/01/2016

	rganics, EPA TO15 Full List				<u>Log-in</u>	Notes:		<u>Sam</u>	ple Note	<u>'s:</u>		
Sample Prepareo	d by Method: EPA TO15 PREP . Parameter	Result	Flag	Units	LOD/MDL	Reported to LOQ	Dilution	Reference	Method	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	Analyst
591-78-6	* 2-Hexanone	ND		ug/m³	1.6	1.6	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
107-05-1	3-Chloropropene	ND		ug/m³	3.0	3.0	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND		ug/m³	0.79	0.79	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y 10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
67-64-1	Acetone	9.9		ug/m³	0.92	0.92	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	ND		ug/m³	0.42	0.42	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y 10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
71-43-2	Benzene	ND		ug/m³	0.62	0.62	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
100-44-7	Benzyl chloride	ND		ug/m³	1.0	1.0	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-25-2	Bromoform	ND		ug/m³	2.0	2.0	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
74-83-9	Bromomethane	ND		ug/m³	0.75	0.75	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	ND		ug/m³	0.60	0.60	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
56-23-5	Carbon tetrachloride	ND		ug/m³	0.30	0.30	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ND		ug/m³	0.89	0.89	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-00-3	Chloroethane	ND		ug/m³	0.51	0.51	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
67-66-3	Chloroform	ND		ug/m³	0.95	0.95	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
74-87-3	Chloromethane	1.3		ug/m³	0.40	0.40	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.77	0.77	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND		ug/m³	0.88	0.88	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
110-82-7	Cyclohexane	ND		ug/m³	0.67	0.67	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	ND		ug/m³	1.7	1.7	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.9		ug/m³	0.96	0.96	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
141-78-6	* Ethyl acetate	ND		ug/m³	1.4	1.4	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS

Page 4 of 9



<u>Client Sample ID:</u> SS	DS Effluent		York Sample ID:	16H0036-01
York Project (SDG) No.	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16H0036	520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	July 30, 2016 3:00 pm	08/01/2016

	rganics, EPA TO15 Full List				<u>Log-in</u>	Notes:		<u>Sam</u>	ple Note	<u>s:</u>		
CAS No.	d by Method: EPA TO15 PREP . Parameter	Result	Flag	Units	LOD/MDL	Reported to LOQ	Dilution	Reference	Method	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	Analyst
100-41-4	Ethyl Benzene	ND		ug/m³	0.84	0.84	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NEL AC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y 10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
37-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		ug/m³	2.1	2.1	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
57-63-0	Isopropanol	ND		ug/m³	0.95	0.95	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 ¥10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
80-62-6	Methyl Methacrylate	ND		ug/m³	0.79	0.79	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
634-04-4	Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		ug/m³	0.70	0.70	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	ND		ug/m³	1.3	1.3	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
42-82-5	n-Heptane	ND		ug/m³	0.79	0.79	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
110-54-3	n-Hexane	0.75		ug/m³	0.68	0.68	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND		ug/m³	0.84	0.84	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
79601-23-1	p- & m- Xylenes	ND		ug/m³	1.7	1.7	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	08/03/2016 10:01 ¥10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
522-96-8	* p-Ethyltoluene	ND		ug/m³	0.95	0.95	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
15-07-1	* Propylene	ND		ug/m³	0.33	0.33	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
00-42-5	Styrene	ND		ug/m³	0.83	0.83	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	08/03/2016 10:01 ¥10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethylene	6.6		ug/m³	0.33	0.33	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
09-99-9	* Tetrahydrofuran	ND		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
108-88-3	Toluene	0.95		ug/m³	0.73	0.73	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
56-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.77	0.77	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
0061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND		ug/m³	0.88	0.88	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
79-01-6	Trichloroethylene	ND		ug/m³	0.26	0.26	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-NY	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	1.2		ug/m³	1.1	1.1	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
08-05-4	Vinyl acetate	ND		ug/m³	0.68	0.68	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
593-60-2	Vinyl bromide	ND		ug/m³	0.85	0.85	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:		08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS



<u>Client Sample ID:</u> SSDS Effluent			York Sample ID:	16H0036-01
York Project (SDG) No.	Client Project ID	Matrix	Collection Date/Time	Date Received
16H0036	520 Albany Ave Kingston, NY	Vapor Extraction	July 30, 2016 3:00 pm	08/01/2016

<u>Volatile O</u>	organics, EPA TO15 Full List				<u>Log-in</u>	Notes:		San	nple Note	<u>es:</u>		
Sample Prepare	ed by Method: EPA TO15 PREP											
CAS N	o. Parameter	Result	Flag	Units	LOD/MDL	Reported to LOQ	Dilution	Reference	e Method	Date/Time Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	Analyst
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/m³	0.50	0.50	1.938	EPA TO-15 Certifications:	NELAC-N	08/03/2016 10:01 Y10854,NJDEP	08/03/2016 17:18	LDS
	Surrogate Recoveries	Result		Acc	eptance Ran	ge						
460-00-4	Surrogate: p-Bromofluorobenzene	101 %			72-118							



Notes and Definitions

- QL-03 This LCS analyte recovered outside of acceptance limits. The LCS contains approximately 70 compounds, a limited number of which may be outside acceptance windows.
- CCV-A The value reported is ESTIMATED. The value is estimated due to its behavior during continuing calibration verification (>30% Difference for average Rf). This applies to dectected analytes only.

* Analyte is not certified or the state of the samples origination does not offer certification for the Analyte.

- ND NOT DETECTED the analyte is not detected at the Reported to level (LOQ/RL or LOD/MDL)
- RL REPORTING LIMIT the minimum reportable value based upon the lowest point in the analyte calibration curve.
- LOQ LIMIT OF QUANTITATION the minimum concentration of a target analyte that can be reported within a specified degree of confidence. This is the lowest point in an analyte calibration curve that has been subjected to all steps of the processing/analysis and verified to meet defined criteria. This is based upon NELAC 2009 Standards and applies to all analyses.
- LOD LIMIT OF DETECTION a verified estimate of the minimum concentration of a substance in a given matrix that an analytical process can reliably detect. This is based upon NELAC 2009 Standards and applies to all analyses conducted under the auspices of EPA SW-846.
- MDL METHOD DETECTION LIMIT a statistically derived estimate of the minimum amount of a substance an analytical system can reliably detect with a 99% confidence that the concentration of the substance is greater than zero. This is based upon 40 CFR Part 136 Appendix B and applies only to EPA 600 and 200 series methods.
- Reported to This indicates that the data for a particular analysis is reported to either the LOD/MDL, or the LOQ/RL. In cases where the "Reported to" is located above the LOD/MDL, any value between this and the LOQ represents an estimated value which is "J" flagged accordingly. This applies to volatile and semi-volatile target compounds only.
- NR Not reported
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- Wet The data has been reported on an as-received (wet weight) basis
- Low Bias Low Bias flag indicates that the recovery of the flagged analyte is below the laboratory or regulatory lower control limit. The data user should take note that this analyte may be biased low but should evaluate multiple lines of evidence including the LCS and site-specific MS/MSD data to draw bias conclusions. In cases where no site-specific MS/MSD was requested, only the LCS data can be used to evaluate such bias.
- High Bias High Bias flag indicates that the recovery of the flagged analyte is above the laboratory or regulatory upper control limit. The data user should take note that this analyte may be biased high but should evaluate multiple lines of evidence including the LCS and site-specific MS/MSD data to draw bias conclusions. In cases where no site-specific MS/MSD was requested, only the LCS data can be used to evaluate such bias.
- Non-Dir. Non-dir. flag (Non-Directional Bias) indicates that the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) (a measure of precision) among the MS and MSD data is outside the laboratory or regulatory control limit. This alerts the data user where the MS and MSD are from site-specific samples that the RPD is high due to either non-homogeneous distribution of target analyte between the MS/MSD or indicates poor reproducibility for other reasons.

If EPA SW-846 method 8270 is included herein it is noted that the target compound N-nitrosodiphenylamine (NDPA) decomposes in the gas chromatographic inlet and cannot be separated from diphenylamine (DPA). These results could actually represent 100% DPA, 100% NDPA or some combination of the two. For this reason, York reports the combined result for n-nitrosodiphenylamine and diphenylamine for either of these compounds as a combined concentration as Diphenylamine.

If Total PCBs are detected and the target aroclors reported are "Not detected", the Total PCB value is reported due to the presence of either or both Aroclors 1262 and 1268 which are non-target aroclors for some regulatory lists.

2-chloroethylvinyl ether readily breaks down under acidic conditions. Samples that are acid preserved, including standards will exhibit breakdown. The data user should take note.

Certification for pH is no longer offered by NYDOH ELAP.

Semi-Volatile and Volatile analyses are reported down to the LOD/MDL, with values between the LOD/MDL and the LOQ being "J" flagged as estimated results.

For analyses by EPA SW-846-8270D, the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) reported for benzidine is based upon the lowest standard used for calibration and is not a verified LOQ due to this compound's propensity for oxidative losses during extraction/concentration procedures and non-reproducible chromatographic performance.



			Field Chain-of-Custody Record - AIR	ain-of-(Custo	dy Re	cora	I - AIR	Pageof	4
ANNA.	YORLK	This d	NOTE: York's Std. Terms & Conditions are listed on the back side of this document. This document serves as your written authorization to York to proceed with the analyses requested and your signature binds you to York's Std. Terms & Conditions unless superseded by written contract.	NOTE: York's Std. Terms & Conditions are listed on the back side of this document. nent serves as your written authorization to York to proceed with the analyses requeste aature binds you to York's Std. Terms & Conditions unless superseded by written contr	ure listed on the be York to proceed v nditions unless su	ick side of this de vith the analyses perseded by writ	scument. requested and ten contract.		York Project No. J6 H 0 0 36	36
	YOUR Information	Report To:	To:	Invoice To:	S	YOUR Project ID	.iD	Turn-Around Time	Report Type/Deliverables	ables
Com	na	Company:	AMC Company:	Sine	S20	Alpuny	Lev I	RUSH - Same Day	Summary w/ QA Summary	2
Address:	Services 1	Address:	Address:) -	Kir	igston,	57	RUSH - Next Day	CT RCP Package	
	Inc				Pur	Purchase Order No.	No.	RUSH - Two Day	NY ASP A Fackage NY ASP B/CLP Pkg	
Phon	Phone No.	Phone No.	Phone No.		Ĭ			RUSH - Three Day	NJDEP Reduced	
Cont	Contact Person: Ledderof	Attention:	Attention:					KUSH - FOUT DAY	Electronic Deliverables:	
E-M	0000	E-Mail Address:	E-Mail Address:	ddress:	Samples from: CT	rom: CTNY	R	Standard(5-7 Days)	Standard Excel	
Pri	riv and Legibly.	All Information must be complete.	ust be complete.	Additional Notes:				Detection Limits Required	Regulatory Comparison Excel	el
Sa	56	l in and the t	urn-around time					< 1 11@/m ³	Special Instructions	ions
clo	clock will not begin until any	until any questions by York are resolved.	ork are resolved.							
	M M M	¥ (Air Matrix Codes					NYSDEC VI Limits		0
	Leber K Munch	- IA	INDOOR Ambient Air					NJDEP low level		
5	Amples Collected/Authorized By ((Signature) AO-	OUTDOOR Amb. Air Vapor Extraction Well/	Please enter the following Field Data	the follov	ving Field	Data	Routine Survey		
T	UNDON Name (printed)	SC/ AS-	Process Gas/Effluent SOIL Vapor/Sub-Slab					Other		
	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	AIR Matrix	Canister Vacuum C Before Sampling (in. Hg) A	Canister Vacuum After Sampling (in. Hg)	Canister ID Flow Cont.ID		ANALYSES REQUESTED	1000	Media
C	rns & Blint	7/30/16	AF	30	0	167 F	F21	70-15	6 Liter canister _ Tedlar Bag	4
Ď	and the man					-			6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag	H
									6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag	
									6 Liter canister Tedlar Bao	
									6 Liter canister Tadlar Ban	
									6 Liter canister	
									6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag	
									6 Liter canister Tedlar Bao	
									6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag	
				6	00				6 Liter canister Tedlar Bag	
Pag	Dmments				Al M	in el.	1.	n. in	5-1-11	
e 9 of 9				Samples Relinquished By	X .	Date/Time	2	Samples Received By		
9				Samples Relinquished By		Date/Time	0	Samples Received in LAB by	Date/Time	21
				and the second se	and the second se	And the second se	State of the second state			The second se