Engineering Department



LOCKPORT MUNICIPAL BUILDING ONE LOCKS PLAZA LOCKPORT, N.Y. 14094 Phone (716) 439-6758 Fax (716) 439-6605

То:			Phone (716) 439-6758 Fax (716) 439-6605	
10.	Brian Sadowski		Date: April 30, 2010	
	New York State Enviornmental (Job No. Re: Site No. B00154	
3	Division of Enviornmental Remediation] Region 9 Michigan Ave Buffalo, NY 14203-2999		From: Norman Allen	
	Enclosed And Number of Copies	re: Drawing Number	Description	
	1 I		Letter to Jeffery Konsella dated 4/30/10	
			NYS DEC SMP Review Report IC/ EC Cert. Form	
Plea	ase take action a	s indicated below	N:	
_	Note andNote and	File Return to Me	Please Reply Promptly X Take Appropriate Action	
Con	mments: William F File		n. Dev. St. SMP RECEIVED NYSDEC - REGION 9 MAY 0 3 2010 FOIL UNRE	



Engineering Department

LOCKPORT MUNICIPAL BUILDING ONE LOCKS PLAZA LOCKPORT, N.Y. 14094 Ph. (716) 439-6750 Fax (716) 439-6605

April 30, 2010

Mr. Jeffery Konsella 270 Michigan Avenue Buffalo, New York 14203-2999 Albany, NY 12201-0189

SUBJECT:

Richmond Avenue Project (Canal Street) Annual Report

Site No. B00154

RECEIVED NYSDEC - REGION 9 MAY 0 3 2010

Dear Ms. Konsella:

As per the requirements of the Institutional and Environmental Controls for the above referenced Project I hereby offer this report for your review.

All Institutional / Environmental Controls are in place pursuant to the NYSDEC Record of Decision with no known violations.

The City of Lockport did excavate for a cast in place concrete foundation for a small gazebo measuring approximately eighteen feet in diameter. I witnessed the work and did not observe any visual or olfactory evidence of contamination. Clean run of crusher stone was used to backfill both sides of the foundation. Clean topsoil was used to landscape the perimeter of the gazebo.

In closing, I certify that the institutional controls put in place are unchanged and nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of the control to protect public health or the environment or constitute a violation or failure to comply with any operation and maintenance or soil management plan.

Sincerely, Mormon D. aller

Norman D. Allen

Director of Engineering



Enclosure 1 NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Site Management Periodic Review Report Notice Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form



Site	Site Details Bo e No. B00154	x 1		
Sit	e Name Richmond Avenue Project		,	
City Cor Allo Site	Site Address: Canal, Church, and Niagara Streets Zip Code: 14094 City/Town: Lockport County: Niagara Allowable Use(s) (if applicable, does not address local zoning): Commercial and Industrial Site Acreage: 2.0 Owner: City of Lockport 1 Locks Plaza, Lockport, NY 14094			
Re	porting Period: May 31, 2004 to August 17, 2009			
	Verification of Site Details	Во	x 2	
		YES	NO	
1.	Is the information in Box 1 correct?	W.		
	If NO, are changes handwritten above or included on a separate sheet?			
2.	Has some or all of the site property been sold, subdivided, merged, or undergone a tax map amendment during this Reporting Period?		√	
	If YES, is documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted included with this certification?			
3.	Have any federal, state, and/or local permits (e.g., building, discharge) been issued for or at the property during this Reporting Period?		✓	
	If YES, is documentation (or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted) included with this certification?			
4.	If use of the site is restricted, is the current use of the site consistent with those restrictions?			
	If NO, is an explanation included with this certification?			
5.	For non-significant-threat Brownfield Cleanup Program Sites subject to ECL 27-1415 has any new information revealed that assumptions made in the Qualitative Exposure Assessment regarding offsite contamination are no longer valid?		_ <i>N//</i>	
	If YES, is the new information or evidence that new information has been previously submitted included with this Certification?			
6.	For non-significant-threat Brownfield Cleanup Program Sites subject to ECL 27-1415 are the assumptions in the Qualitative Exposure Assessment still valid (must be certified every five years)?	.7(c), □	_ N/A	
	If NO, are changes in the assessment included with this certification?			

SITE NO. B00154		Box 3
Description of Institutional Controls		
<u>Parcel</u>	Institutional Control	
S B L Image: 109.54-2-14		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L Image: 109.54-2-15		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L Image: 109.54-2-16		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L lmage: 109.54-2-19		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L Image: 109.54-2-24		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L Image: 109.54-2-30		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L Image: 109.54-2-17		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L Image: 109.54-2-18		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
S_B_L Image: 109.54-2-21		
	Landuse Restriction	
	Soil Management Plan	
		Pay 4

Box 4

Description of Engineering Controls

None Required

Attach documentation if IC/ECs cannot be certified or why IC/ECs are no longer applicable. (See instructions)

Control Description for Site No. B00154

Parcel: 109.54-2-14

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Parcel: 109.54-2-15

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Control Description for Site No. B00154

Parcel: 109.54-2-16

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Parcel: 109.54-2-17

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Parcel: 109.54-2-18

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Parcel: 109.54-2-19

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Parcel: 109.54-2-21

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Parcel: 109.54-2-24

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

Parcel: 109.54-2-30

This property is one of nine adjacent properties that made up the Richmond Avenue ERP project. In accordance with the 2004 ROD, an environmental easement was recorded with Niagara County on May 12, 2009. Additional controls identified include compliance with the approved Soils Management Plan, and periodic certification of remedy effectiveness. The land use restriction in the easement specifies that the property may be used for commercial and industrial uses. The easement also specifies that the existing site surfaces (soils, asphalt, concrete, buildings, etc) be maintained and function as a cover system on the property.

R	OV	5
	UA	-

Periodic Review Report (PRR) Certification Statements

1. I certify by checking "YES" below that: a) the Periodic Review report and all attachments were prepared under the direction of, and reviewed by, the party making the certification; b) to the best of my knowledge and belief, the work and conclusions described in this certificatic are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and generally accepted engineering practices; and the information presented is accurate and compete. YES NO If this site has an IC/EC Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document), for each Institution or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, I certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true: (a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged sin the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health and the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the Decision Document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO (I fithis site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.		Periodic Review Report (PRR) Certification Statements		
reviewed by, the party making the certification; b) to the best of my knowledge and belief, the work and conclusions described in this certification are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and generally accepted engineering practices; and the information presented is accurate and compete. YES NO If this site has an IC/EC Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document), for each Institution or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, I certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true: (a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged sin the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health and the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO 1 certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO 1 certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); being met.	1.	I certify by checking "YES" below that:		
are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and generally accepted engineering practices; and the information presented is accurate and compete. YES NO If this site has an IC/EC Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document), for each Institution or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, 1 certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true: (a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged sin the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health an the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO Compared to the Decision Document of this site has an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.			ction of,	and
2. If this site has an IC/EC Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document), for each Institution or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, I certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true: (a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged sin the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health an the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO 1 certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO 1 certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.		are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and gener		
or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, i certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true: (a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged sin the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health an the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO 3. If this site has an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO 4. If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.		engineering practices, and the information presented is accurate and compete.	YES	NO
or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, i certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true: (a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged sin the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health an the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO 3. If this site has an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO 4. If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.				
the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health an the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO 1 certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO 1 certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.	2.	or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, I certify by checking "YES" below that		
the environment; (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO TES				nged since
including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO TES			public h	ealth and
Management Plan for this Control; and (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO TES NO If this site has an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO			the ren	nedy,
mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. YES NO If this site has an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO I this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.			th the Si	ite
3. If this site has an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO I this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO				
3. If this site has an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO I this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met.			YES	NO
Document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the O&M Plan Requirements (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) are being met. YES NO If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO				
Decision Document) are being met. YES NO If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO YES NO	3.		ı the De	cision
YES NO If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO YES NO			uired in	the
4. If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection document); I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO		Decision Document) are being met.	YES	NO
I certify by checking "YES" below that the requirements of the Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO				
in the Decision Document) is being met. YES NO	4.	If this site has a Monitoring Plan (or equivalent as required in the remedy selection doc	:ument);	
V/A YES NO			ilent as	required
/V / / 7		in the Decision Document) is being met.	YES	NO
		/ / / / 7		
	_			

IC CERTIFICATIONS SITE NO. B00154

Box 6

SITE OWNER OR DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

I certify that all information and statements in Boxes 2 and/or 3 are true. I understand that a false statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law.

Print name Device at 1 Locks print name print pr	t business address
am certifying as	(Owner or Remedial Party)
for the Site named in the Site Details Section of this form	
Signature of Owner or Remedial Party Rendering Certific	eation 4/30/10 Date
IC/EC CERTIFICA	TIONS
QUALIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL PROF I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. I upunishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Second Information D. Aller at Over Local print name print name print print name print nam	nderstand that a false statement made herein is ction 210.45 of the Penal Law.
(Owner or Remedial Party) for the Site named in the Site	Details Section of this form.
Signature of Qualified Environmental Professional, for the Owner or Remedial Party, Rendering Certification	Stamp (if Required) 4/29/10 Date