

*SM*

Inactive Hazardous Waste Site Operations and Maintenance Review Report

Form Date 96

Site Name: General Instrument Corporation		Class: <b>4</b>	Number: 709010
O&M Funding Source: <input type="checkbox"/> State Superfund <input type="checkbox"/> Federal Superfund <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsible Party			
O&M Information:    O&M Start: March 1999		End:	Annual Cost:    Estima
Interim Remedial <b>Measures/Operable</b> Units in <b>O&amp;M</b> Phase:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Drum Removal	<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Removal (follow up inspection)	<input type="checkbox"/> Tank Removal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Cap/Cover	<input type="checkbox"/> Containment Structure	<input type="checkbox"/> Fence/Security	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Groundwater Recovery/Treatment	<input type="checkbox"/> Leachate Collection/Treatment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vapor Extraction/Treatment	
<input type="checkbox"/> Air Sparging/Stripper System	<input type="checkbox"/> Treatment/Filtration Plant/System	<input type="checkbox"/> Potable Water Supply/System	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: permeable reaction wall    funnel & gate system			
Institutional Controls: <input type="checkbox"/> Deed Restriction <input type="checkbox"/> Discharge Permit <input type="checkbox"/> Department of Health Sampling			
<input type="checkbox"/> Other: NONE			
O&M Review Information:			
Reports: Oct - Dec 1999 Quarterly Operating Status; July - Dec 2000 Semiannual Operating Status; May 2001 GW Monitoring Report			
Inspection: DEC did not inspect the site in 2000 or 2001			
Sampling: By RP: Nov 1999, Feb 2000, May 2000, Dec 2000, May 2001			
Other:			
Conclusions:			
Remedy Effective? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No: apparent > 98% removal by the PRB. Only question is if GW is starting to pond behind PRB or to circumvent to the north.			
ROD Compliance? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No: Important to note ROD contains 'backup" in case of failure of PRB (RP contests this)			
Consent Order Compliance? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No: Exception: RP does not appear to be giving required 10 days notice of field activities			
Other:			
Remedial Activities: SVE and groundwater recovery systems decommissioned summer of 2000; at this time reporting & monitoring frequency decreased to semiannual. MW-22 was damaged prior to November 1999; repaired and re-surveyed ea 2001. MW-18 apparently decommissioned sometime in 2001. No prior notification or documentation found.			
ROD/Consent Order Modifications? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (per above)    Reclassify the Site? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes → Class:			
Comments: Above 3 reports received in an untimely manner; receipt required persistent prompting of RP. Review complete and comment letter sent 10/25/01. RP requested to explain    justify decommissioning of MW-18. Also requested to substantiate contention that mounding or ponding is not occurring behind the PRB; suggested installation of sampling point(s) (minimum of 1 piezometer) north of PRB. Also asked to discuss levels of inorganics as they relate to potential fouling of the PRB.			
Site visit needs to be scheduled <b>ASAP</b> .			
Next review due: Dec 2002			
Project Manager:		Reviewer:	
<i>Cynthia A. Whitfield</i> Signature		<i>Thomas A. Scanlon</i> Signature	
10/26/01 Date		10/29 Date	
Cynthia A. Whitfield	CO	402-9564	
Name	Region or Bureau	Telephone	