

MY COMPUTER\L:\DER\EDOCS\SITE-SPECIFIC

REGION COUNTY TOWN NAME

PROGRAM CODE Document Image Video Nonfoil

DOCUMENT TYPE • PROGRAM • SITE CODE[OU] • DOCUMENT DATE • DESCRIPTION • EXT
circle circle YYYYY-MM-DD circle

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| agreement | | | | | |
| application | <input checked="" type="radio"/> kw | <input type="text" value="645013"/> | <input type="text" value="2006"/> | <input type="text" value="02"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> pdf |
| brief | <input type="radio"/> v | | | | <input type="radio"/> jpg |
| consent | <input type="radio"/> cbs | | | <input type="text" value="FINAL"/> | <input type="radio"/> mpeg |
| email | <input type="radio"/> mosf | | | <input type="text" value="BIO MONITORING"/> | |
| factsheet | <input type="radio"/> sp | | | | |
| image | | | | | |
| isr | | | | | |
| letter | | | | | |
| memo | | | | | |
| nov | | | | | |
| prap | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> report | | | | | |
| rod | | | | | |
| siteplan | | | | | |
| spcc | | | | | |
| stip | | | | | |
| var | | | | | |
| video | | | | | |
| workplan | | | | | |

my letter not needed
right now.

**FINAL
BIOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT**

**NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP
NORTH LAWRENCE, NY**

SITE NO. 6-45-013

Submitted to:

**New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Albany, New York**

Submitted by:

**MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, P.C.
Portland, Maine
MACTEC Number: 56955-3**

FEBRUARY 2006

This document was prepared for the sole use of New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, the only intended beneficiary of our work. No other party shall rely on the information contained herein without prior written consent of MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, P.C.

**FINAL
BIOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT**

**NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP
NORTH LAWRENCE, NY**

SITE NO. 6-45-013


Submitted to:

**New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Albany, New York**


Submitted by:

**MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, P.C.
Portland, Maine
MACTEC Number: 56955-3**

FEBRUARY 2006



**William J. Weber, P.E.
Project Manager**



**Andrea L. Fogg
Senior Environmental Scientist**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | FIELD ACTIVITIES | 1-2 |
| 1.2 | IDENTIFICATION OF THE REFERENCE WETLAND (1996) | 1-2 |
| 1.3 | DESCRIPTION OF HABITAT IN REMEDIATED PORTION OF WETLAND (2002)..... | 1-3 |
| 1.4 | ESTABLISHMENT OF TRANSECTS (1996 AND 2002)..... | 1-3 |
| 1.4.1 | 1996 | 1-3 |
| 1.4.2 | 2002 | 1-4 |
| 1.5 | SAMPLE COLLECTION (1996 AND 2002)..... | 1-4 |
| 1.5.1 | Sediment | 1-5 |
| 1.5.2 | Small Mammals | 1-5 |
| 1.5.2.1 | 1996 | 1-5 |
| 1.5.2.2 | 2002 | 1-6 |
| 1.5.3 | Invertebrates | 1-7 |
| 1.5.3.1 | 1996 | 1-7 |
| 1.5.3.2 | 2002 | 1-7 |
| 1.5.4 | Plants | 1-8 |
| 1.5.4.1 | 1996 | 1-8 |
| 1.5.4.2 | 2002 | 1-8 |
| 1.5.5 | Amphibians | 1-8 |
| 1.5.5.1 | 1996 | 1-9 |
| 1.5.5.2 | 2002 | 1-9 |
| 2.0 | SAMPLE HANDLING, PREPARATION, AND ANALYSIS..... | 2-1 |
| 3.0 | ANALYTICAL RESULTS | 3-1 |
| 3.1 | SEDIMENT | 3-1 |
| 3.1.1 | 1996 | 3-1 |
| 3.1.2 | 2002 | 3-1 |
| 3.2 | SMALL MAMMALS..... | 3-2 |
| 3.2.1 | 1996 | 3-2 |
| 3.2.2 | 2002 | 3-3 |
| 3.3 | INVERTEBRATES..... | 3-3 |
| 3.3.1 | 1996 | 3-3 |
| 3.3.2 | 2002 | 3-4 |
| 3.4 | PLANTS..... | 3-4 |
| 3.4.1 | 1996 | 3-4 |
| 3.4.2 | 2002 | 3-5 |
| 3.5 | AMPHIBIANS..... | 3-5 |
| 3.5.1 | 1996 | 3-5 |
| 3.5.2 | 2002 | 3-5 |
| 4.0 | RISK EVALUATION | 4-1 |

| | | |
|-----|--|------------|
| 4.1 | 1996 | 4-2 |
| 4.2 | 2002 | 4-3 |
| 5.0 | DATA TRENDS – 1996 VS 2002 IN REMEDIATED AND UNREMIEDIATED WETLANDS | 5-1 |
| 5.1 | PCBS | 5-1 |
| 5.2 | LEAD | 5-2 |
| 6.0 | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION..... | 6-1 |
| 7.0 | REFERENCES | 7-1 |

APPENDICES

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| APPENDIX A - | FIELD NOTES |
| APPENDIX B - | SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORDS |
| APPENDIX C - | ANALYTICAL RESULTS/DATA |
| APPENDIX D - | DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT FOR 2002 DATA |

LIST OF TABLES

- 1 Summary of Small Mammals Collected in 1996 at the NLODS and Reference Wetlands
- 1A Summary of Small Mammals Collected in 2002 at the NLODS Wetland
- 2 Summary of Worms, Plants, and Frogs Collected in 1996 at the NLODS and Reference Wetlands
- 2A Summary of Worms and Plants Collected in 2002 at the NLODS Wetland
- 3 Sample Numbers and Compositing of Biological Samples Collected in 1996 at the NLODS and Reference Wetlands
- 3A Sample Numbers and Compositing of Biological Samples Collected in 2002 at the NLODS Wetland
- 4 Small Mammal Tissue Analysis Results
- 5 Earthworm Tissue Analysis Results
- 6 Plant Tissue Analysis Results
- 7 Frog Tissue Analysis Results
- 8 Sediment Analytical Results
- 9A Estimated Risk: Calculated with 1996 Pre-Remediation Tissue Data Unremediated Wetland
- 9B Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Post-Remediation Tissue Data, Unremediated Wetland
- 9C Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Post-Remediation Tissue Data Remediated Wetland
- 10A Estimated Risk: Calculated with 1996 Reference Location Tissue Data
- 10B Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Reference Location Sediment Data (and 1996 Tissue Data)
- 10C Summary of Estimated Risks to Wildlife – Unremediated and Remediated Wetlands and Reference Location, in 1996 and 2002
- 11 Summary of Sediment and Tissue Data – Unremediated, Remediated, and Reference Wetlands, in 1996 and 2002

LIST OF FIGURES

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Biological Sample Locations-NLODS Wetland
- 2a Sediment Sample Locations
- 3 Sample Locations-Reference Wetland
- 4 Trend Analysis – PCBs in Sediment
- 5 Trend Analysis – Lead in Sediment

ACRONYMS

| | |
|--------|---|
| ASP | Analytical Service Protocol |
| FDRs | field data records |
| HLA | Harding Lawson Associates |
| HQs | hazard quotients |
| LOAEL | Lowest Observed Adverse Effects Level |
| MACTEC | MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, P.C. |
| µg/kg | micrograms per kilogram |
| µg/L | microgram per liter |
| mg/kg | milligrams per kilogram |
| NLODS | North Lawrence Oil Dump Site |
| NOAEL | No Observed Adverse Effects Level |
| NYSDEC | New York State Department of Environmental Conservation |
| NYSDOH | New York State Department of Health |
| PCB | polychlorinated biphenyl |
| RI/FS | remedial investigation/feasibility study |
| RTV | reference toxicity value |
| TBD | to be determined |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report is a revision of the April 24, 2003 letter report prepared by Harding Lawson Associates (now MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, P.C. [MACTEC]). It incorporates comments and changes requested by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) in a letter to Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), dated May 11, 2005.

Presented in this report are the results of the post-remediation biological monitoring program conducted during the period of 5-9 November 2002, and the baseline biological monitoring program conducted at the North Lawrence Oil Dump Site (NLODS) during the period of 4-8 November 1996. This report was prepared to compare post-remediation biomonitoring results in the remediated and unremediated portions of the wetland with the 1997 baseline biomonitoring results, and to provide recommendations for potential future biological monitoring to determine the success of the remedial actions.

The 1996 biological sampling was administered following the tasks and objectives presented in the Biological Sampling Plan (ABB-ES, 1996). The purpose of the sampling program was to determine a baseline level of contamination in biota within the proposed unremediated area of the NLODS wetland prior to remediation, focusing on lead and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) concentrations in biota at three trophic levels. Samples were collected from the proposed unremediated area of the NLODS wetland and an offsite reference area. Biota sampled included small mammals, terrestrial invertebrates, plants and amphibians.

The 2002 biological sampling was administered following the tasks and objectives presented in the Work Plan (HLA, 2002). The purpose of the sampling program was to determine post-remediation levels of contamination in biota within the remediated and unremediated NLODS wetlands, focusing on lead and PCB contamination in biota at three trophic levels. Biota sampled included small mammals, terrestrial invertebrates, and plants. Amphibians were not observed in the wetlands; however, weather conditions during this sampling event were much more seasonal (colder) than during the 1996 sampling event, and the ponded water in the remediated wetland was frozen.

This report contains a discussion of field activities, sample handling and analytical procedures, and analytical results.

1.1 FIELD ACTIVITIES

Field activities performed as part of the biomonitoring program include:

- identification of a suitable reference wetland (1996 program),
- description of the habitat in the remediated portion of the NLODS wetland (2002 program),
- establishment of transects (1996 program for NLODS unremediated wetland, and 2002 program for NLODS remediated wetland), and
- sample collection (1996 and 2002 program).

Each of these activities is discussed below.

1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF THE REFERENCE WETLAND (1996)

In 1996 a suitable location for the reference wetland was identified from information provided in a report titled *Contamination Pathways Characterization, Summary Report, Contamination Pathways RI/FS, York Oil Superfund Site, Moira, New York* (Blasland, Bouck & Lee, Inc, 1995). The actual location was identified in the field by ABB-ES and NYSDEC personnel. The location of this wetland relative to the NLODS wetland is shown in Figure 1.

Although this site is a suitable reference location, there were some differences in hydrological conditions and dominant vegetation forms between the reference and NLODS wetland. At the NLODS wetland, water was ponded and at or near the surface throughout the site, whereas at the reference wetland, most of the dry land within the reference wetland was associated with microrelief around the shrubs and trees present at the site. The NLODS wetland is a forested wetland with a well-developed shrub-dominated understory. The reference wetland is a forested/scrub-shrub wetland, dominated by shrubs and saplings (< 30 feet tall), with emergent grasses dominating the understory/ground cover at the site in distinct tussocks with mound and pool microrelief. The lower-

lying areas of the NLODS wetland, containing more emergent plants, were more consistent with the reference wetland.

1.3 DESCRIPTION OF HABITAT IN REMEDIATED PORTION OF WETLAND (2002)

In 2002 site conditions in the remediated portion of the NLODS wetland were documented. Figure 2 shows the approximate area and layout of the remediated portion of the wetland. It is a low-lying area surrounded by a mix of forested wetland and upland to the north and north west, forested wetland to the west and south, and open upland associated with the former lagoon area and disposal cell to the east. The entire area is well vegetated with a variety of herbaceous species. The remediated portion of the wetland is an emergent wetland dominated by sedges of the genus *Carex* and cattails (*Typha* sp.). Other species observed included water plantain (*Alisma plantago-aquatica*), woolly sedge (*Scirpus cyperinus*), rush (*Juncus* sp.), and bulrush (*Scirpus* sp.). Standing water was present throughout much of the remediated wetland, ranging from two inches to greater than one foot deep, with the deepest standing water located at the eastern end. The water depth through the majority of the wetland was three to six inches.

1.4 ESTABLISHMENT OF TRANSECTS (1996 AND 2002)

Transects were established during both the 1996 and the 2002 field programs, as described below.

1.4.1 1996

In 1996, transects were established at both the NLODS and reference wetlands. These transects were primarily established for purpose of small mammal trapping, but plant and invertebrate samples were also collected from these areas. At the NLODS wetland, five transects (Transects NLODS-1 through -5) were established, each one beginning at the edge of the area to be excavated and radiating out, as shown in Figure 2. At the reference wetland, five transects (Transects REF-1 through -5) were established roughly perpendicular to Route 11, beginning at the edge of the scrub-shrub habitat and extending south. Because of the shape of the wetland, Transects REF-4 and REF-5 were angled to the southeast and east, respectively (Figure 3). The transects at both the NLODS and reference

wetlands were marked at the beginning and end with grade stakes. The stakes were clearly labeled with the transect numbers and locations.

1.4.2 2002

In 2002, transects were re-established in the unremediated portion of the NLODS wetland (Transects NLODS-1 through -5), and new transects were established in the remediated portion of the wetland (Transects NLODS-6 through -10). Transects NLODS-1 through -5 were set in the same locations as in 1996; previous flagging and stakes allowed for re-establishment of the same transects. Transects NLODS-6 through -10 were set as shown in Figure 2. Due to wet conditions, traps in the remediated wetland were set in clusters of two to three in drier locations in the vicinity of each transect, rather than in a single straight line.

1.5 SAMPLE COLLECTION (1996 AND 2002)

In 1996, species at three different trophic levels were sampled to evaluate biotransfer of lead and PCBs throughout the food chain. The three trophic levels included plants, invertebrates, and amphibians and small mammals. A total of ten samples each of earthworms, small mammals, and plants were collected (five from the NLODS wetland and five from the reference wetland). In addition, one amphibian sample was collected from each of the wetlands. A summary of small mammals collected is presented in Table 1. Table 2 contains a summary of the earthworms, plants and amphibians collected. A copy of the field notes are presented in Appendix A.

Sample collection in 2002 included collection of sediment samples from the reference wetland and from the remediated portion of the NLODS wetland (shown on Figures 3 and 2, respectively), and collection of biological samples from the remediated and unremediated portions of the NLODS wetland. No additional biological sampling was conducted in the reference wetland and biota concentrations in this area would not be expected to have changed greatly over time.

The three trophic levels sampled in 2002 included plants, invertebrates, and small mammals. A total of 10 plant samples were collected (five from the unremediated wetland, and five from the remediated wetland). A total of 6 earthworm samples were collected (three from the unremediated

wetland, and three from the remediated wetland). No amphibian samples were collected. A summary of small mammals collected is presented in Table 1A. Table 2A contains a summary of the earthworms and plants collected. A copy of the field notes are presented in Appendix A.

During the 2002 field effort, field notes and other sample collection data were recorded in the site field notebook in a manner similar to that described for the 1996 field effort. Field data records (FDRs) are included in Appendix B.

Additional details regarding sample collection sediment, small mammals, invertebrates, plants, and amphibians are presented in the following subsections.

1.5.1 Sediment

Three samples (and one duplicate) were collected from the remediated wetland. Three samples were also collected from the reference wetland, in order to document sediment concentrations associated with the biological samples collected from there in 1996 (no sediment samples were collected from the reference wetland in 1996).

1.5.2 Small Mammals

This subsection discusses the small mammal sampling methods used in 1996 and 2002.

1.5.2.1 1996

Small mammals were collected using snap traps placed in both the NLODS and reference wetlands. A total of fifty traps were set in the NLODS wetland over a four day period, to give a total of 200 trap nights, from 4-8 November 1996 (Figure 2). In the reference area, fifty traps were set on 6 November 1996. An additional 50 traps were set in the reference area on 7 November 1996, to increase the number of trap nights at the reference area to 150. In the NLODS wetland snap traps were placed in transects roughly coinciding with existing sediment sample locations from outside the area to be excavated. In the reference wetland snap traps were set in five transects of ten traps each set in parallel lines spaced approximately 30 feet apart, within a scrub-shrub habitat. The snap traps were

baited with a mixture of rolled oats and peanut butter. The approximate location of the transects are shown in Figure 3. A total of 28 small mammals from four separate taxa were collected from the NLODS wetland. The white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) was the most common small mammal species collected at the NLODS and reference wetlands. Other small mammal species collected at the NLODS and reference wetlands included the short-tailed shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*), meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*), and masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*). In the reference wetland a total of 8 small mammals were collected. These included the white-footed mouse, short-tailed shrew, and masked shrew. No meadow voles were collected from the reference wetland.

A subset of the small mammals collected from the NLODS and reference wetlands were composited into five separate samples, respectively (Table 3). In the NLODS wetland each sample was a composite of two to six individuals, because of their small size relative to the sample quantity requested by the laboratory for the chemical analyses (25 g for PCBs, 15 g for percent lipid, and 10 g for lead). Femur bones were removed, composited, and analyzed for lead; the remainder of the organisms were composited and analyzed for PCBs and percent lipid. In the reference wetland, three of the five samples consisted of a composite of two individuals, the remaining two samples were composed of a single individual.

1.5.2.2 2002

In 2002, small mammals were collected using snap traps placed in both the remediated and unremediated wetlands. Small mammals were not collected from the reference wetland, as those concentrations would not be expected to have changed significantly. A total of fifty traps were set in the remediated and unremediated wetlands over a four day period, to give a total of 200 trap nights from 5-9 November, 2002 (Figure 2). In the unremediated wetland, the 1996 transects were identified, and traps were set in line with those transects, spaced roughly 20 feet apart. The snap traps were baited with a mixture of rolled oats and peanut butter. Conditions in the remediated wetland were extremely wet, with standing water present throughout much of the central portion of the wetland. Transects were established in areas of highest ground, biased towards the outer edges which were most dry and therefore most likely to provide small mammal habitat. The approximate location of the transects in the remediated wetland are shown in Figure 2.

A total of seven small mammals from three separate taxa were collected from the remediated NLODS wetland. The meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*) was the most common small mammal species collected at the remediated wetland. A total of 18 small mammals from four separate taxa were collected from the unremediated NLODS wetland. The white footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) was the most common small mammal collected at the unremediated wetland. Other small mammal species collected in 2002 at the NLODS wetlands included the short-tailed shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*), and masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*).

The small mammals collected from the remediated and unremediated wetlands were composited into five separate samples, respectively (Table 3A). Each sample was a composite of one to five individuals.

1.5.3 Invertebrates

This subsection discusses the invertebrate sampling methods used in 1996 and 2002.

1.5.3.1 1996

In 1996, earthworms were collected from five locations within the NLODS and reference wetlands. An area of roughly one meter square was sampled in order to gather enough sample quantity to conduct the requested chemical analysis. A hand shovel was used to dig worms, each shovel full was sorted and any earthworms present were composited. Approximately 50 grams of earthworms were collected at each location. Earthworm sample locations are indicated in Figures 2 and 3 for the NLODS wetland and reference wetland, respectively.

1.5.3.2 2002

In 2002, earthworms were collected from three locations within the remediated portion of the wetland and from three locations in the unremediated portion of the wetland. Due to the wet conditions in the remediated wetland, earthworm samples were collected along the northern edge where conditions were drier. Sampling methods were the same as those used in the 1996 field effort. Earthworm sample locations are indicated on Figure 2.

1.5.4 Plants

This subsection discusses the plant sampling methods used in 1996 and 2002.

1.5.4.1 1996

In 1996, herbaceous plants were collected from five locations in the NLODS and reference wetlands. The targeted species, identified in the field, was from the sedge family *Cyperaceae*. All of the plants collected were of the genus *Carex*. Plant species from this genus are a common food source for birds and small mammals and they were present in abundance at both the NLODS and reference wetlands. Plant samples consisted of a composite of the edible portions of several plants. Samples were collected with clippers. Plant sample locations are indicated in Figures 2 and 3 for the NLODS wetland and reference wetland. Although five samples were collected only four were analyzed, as one of the plant samples from both locations was eliminated in order to allow the analysis of the amphibian samples.

1.5.4.2 2002

In 2002, herbaceous plants were collected from five locations in the remediated wetland and five locations in the unremediated wetland. The targeted species was from the sedge family *Cyperaceae*. All of the plants collected were of the genus *Carex*. Plant samples consisted of a composite of the edible (above-ground) portions of several plants. Samples were collected using clippers. Plant sample locations are indicated on Figure 2.

1.5.5 Amphibians

This subsection discusses the amphibian sampling methods used in 1996 and 2002.

1.5.5.1 1996

During the sampling program, frogs of the genus *Rana* were observed throughout the NLODS wetland. A decision was made in the field, to collect an amphibian sample, as they would likely represent a primary food source for medium size mammals and wading birds (e.g., raccoons and great blue herons). Six green frogs (*Rana clamitans melanota*) were collected from the NLODS wetland and retained for chemical analysis. However, in the reference wetland amphibians seemed to be less prevalent. This was probably due to the denser ground cover present at the reference wetland. One northern leopard frog (*Rana pipens*) was collected reference wetland during the sampling program, and retained for chemical analysis.

1.5.5.2 2002

No frogs were observed in the NLODS wetland during the 2002 sampling event; weather conditions during this sampling event were much more seasonal (colder) than during the 1996 sampling event. The ponded water in the remediated wetland was frozen.

2.0 SAMPLE HANDLING, PREPARATION, AND ANALYSIS

Samples were shipped to the analytical laboratory at 4° C, and analyzed within the required sample holding times. Biological samples were homogenized to a uniform consistency by chopping into pieces and blending with liquid nitrogen into a powder. Plant, invertebrate, and amphibian samples were analyzed for percent lipid, total PCBs, and lead. For small mammals, femur bones were removed and analyzed for lead only; and the remainder of the body was analyzed for percent lipid and total PCBs.

For total lead, samples were digested using Method 3050 and analyzed using Method 6010 (ICP Trace). For PCBs, samples were extracted using Method 3540 and analyzed using Method 8080. Cleanup of PCB samples included florisil (Method 3620). Percent lipid was measured using Method 9071 for Modified Oil and Grease.

Sediment samples were analyzed for lead and PCBs using the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) Analytical Service Protocol (ASP) method 239.2 CLP-M and 8080, respectively.

3.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical results for sediments, small mammals, invertebrates, plants, and amphibians are discussed below. A copy of the 2002 data package, as received from the analytical laboratory, is presented in Appendix C. The 1996 data package was presented in the original 1997 letter report (ABB-ES, 1997). A copy of the Data Usability Summary Report for the 2002 sampling event is included as Appendix D.

3.1 SEDIMENT

This subsection discusses the sediment analytical results for 1996 and 2002.

3.1.1 1996

No sediment samples were collected in 1996. Sediment data collected in 1995 as part of pre-remediation sediment toxicity testing were used to represent baseline sediment conditions in the unremediated and remediated wetlands (ABB-ES, 1995). Eleven samples were collected from the unremediated wetland and eight samples (including one field duplicate) were collected from the remediated wetland (ABB-ES, 1995) (Figure 2A). Sediment concentrations from the reference area [identified as part of the York Oil Superfund Site Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study (RI/FS) (Blasland, Bouck, and Lee, Inc. 1995)] were used to represent reference (background) sediment concentrations. Reference sediment samples were collected by Blasland, Bouck, and Lee in 1993 from three locations (Figure 3).

3.1.2 2002

Three sediment samples were collected from the reference wetland to obtain comparable sediment results from this previously unsampled area (Figure 3). Three sediment samples were collected from the remediated wetland to document post-remediation sediment concentrations (Figure 2A). Samples were analyzed for PCBs and lead. Sediment samples were not collected from the unremediated wetland as sediment concentrations in this area are not expected to have changed.

In the NLODS remediated wetland, Aroclors 1254 and 1260 were detected in one of three samples (SD-603) at concentrations of 260 and 250 micrograms per kilogram ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$), respectively (note: these concentrations represent an average of the sample and its duplicate). Lead was detected in all samples at concentrations ranging from 22.7 to 179.5 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). The maximum concentration of lead was also detected at SD-603. As shown on Figure 2, SD-603 is located near the outlet of the former lagoon. PCBs were non-detect in the other two sediment samples from the middle and western end of the wetland, and lead concentrations in those two samples (34.9 and 22.7 mg/kg) were comparable to reference levels (ranging from 22.7 to 30.8 mg/kg).

In the reference wetland, PCBs were non-detect in all three samples. Lead was detected at concentrations ranging from 22.7 to 30.8 mg/kg . These levels are comparable to the average and maximum concentrations of 24.4 and 37.1 mg/kg previously reported at the reference wetland (Blasland, Bouck, and Lee, 1995).

3.2 SMALL MAMMALS

This subsection discusses the small mammal analytical results for 1996 and 2002.

3.2.1 1996

The small mammals collected at the NLODS and reference wetlands were analyzed for PCBs, percent lipids, and lead (Table 4). The following PCB congeners were analyzed for: Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248, -1254, and -1260. In small mammals, Aroclor-1254 and -1260 were the only PCBs detected. In the NLODS wetland, Aroclor-1260 was detected in two short-tailed shrews at 91 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ and 250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. In the reference wetland Aroclor-1254 was detected in a white-footed mouse at 120 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. The percent lipids measured in small mammals having detected concentrations of PCBs were 4.42 and 5.46 percent (NLODS wetland) and 7.44 percent (reference wetland). Lead concentrations detected in femurs in small mammals collected in the NLODS wetland ranged from 1.3 to 11.5 mg/kg . In the reference wetland detected lead concentrations ranged from 0.59 to 5.5 mg/kg . The average and maximum detected concentrations of lead in femurs of small mammals from the NLODS wetland were greater than two times those detected in the reference wetland.

3.2.2 2002

The small mammals collected from the remediated and unremediated NLODS wetlands were analyzed for PCBs, percent lipids, and lead (Table 4). The PCB congeners analyzed for were the same as those analyzed for in 1996. PCBs were all non-detect in the unremediated wetland. Aroclor-1260 was the only congener detected in the remediated wetland, and it was detected in only one sample (BIO-710) at a concentration of 0.110 mg/kg. The percent lipids measured in this sample was 3.96 percent; those in the small mammals where PCBs were non-detect ranged from 2.1 to 7.0 percent. Lead was detected in two of the four small mammal femur samples from the remediated wetland at concentrations of 0.92 and 1.3 mg/kg. Lead was detected in 5 of 6 small mammal femur samples from the unremediated wetland at concentrations ranging from 0.32 to 1.9 mg/kg.

3.3 INVERTEBRATES

This subsection discusses the invertebrate (earthworm) analytical results for 1996 and 2002.

3.3.1 1996

The earthworms collected in 1996 at the NLODS and reference wetlands were analyzed for PCBs, percent lipids, and lead (Table 5). PCBs were not detected in earthworms collected from the NLODS or reference wetlands. The percent lipids measured in earthworms ranged from 1.22 to 1.66 and 1.08 and 1.61 in the NLODS and reference wetlands, respectively. Lead was detected in all of the invertebrate samples collected in the NLODS and reference wetlands. Lead concentrations detected in earthworms from the NLODS wetland ranged from 0.98 to 2.4 mg/kg. In the reference wetland, lead was detected in earthworms at concentrations that ranged from 0.35 to 1.2 mg/kg. Similar to results for the small mammals, average and maximum detected concentrations of lead in earthworms from the NLODS wetland were greater than two times those detected concentrations in the reference wetland.

3.3.2 2002

The earthworms collected in 2002 from the remediated and unremediated NLODS wetlands were analyzed for the same analytes as those in 1996 (Table 5). PCBs were not detected in either of the two earthworm samples analyzed from the unremediated wetland; a third earthworm sample collected from the unremediated wetland (Bio 721) was not analyzed for PCBs due to insufficient sample quantity. Aroclor 1260 was detected in two of the three earthworm samples from the remediated wetland at concentrations at 0.089 and 0.069 mg/kg. Lead was detected in all of the earthworm samples collected. Lead concentrations detected in earthworms from the remediated wetland ranged from 4.6 to 21 mg/kg, while those in earthworms from the unremediated wetland ranged from 1.7 to 5.1 mg/kg.

3.4 PLANTS

This subsection discusses the plant analytical results for 1996 and 2002.

3.4.1 1996

Plants collected in 1996 at the NLODS and reference wetlands were analyzed for PCBs, percent lipids, and lead (Table 6). PCBs were not detected in plants collected from the NLODS or reference wetlands. The percent lipids measured in plants ranged from 0.45 to 1.24 percent and 0.74 to 1.10 percent in the NLODS and reference wetlands, respectively. Lead was detected in all of the plants sampled from the NLODS and reference wetlands. In the NLODS wetland, detected concentrations of lead ranged from 0.25 to 1.8 mg/kg. In the reference wetland, lead concentrations ranged from 0.17 to 0.35 mg/kg. Similar to results for the small mammals and invertebrates, lead was detected at higher concentrations in the NLODS wetland. Average and maximum detected concentrations of lead were three and six times greater, respectively, in the NLODS wetland as compared to the reference wetland.

3.4.2 2002

The plants collected in 2002 from the remediated and unremediated NLODS wetland were analyzed for the same analytes as those in 1996. Neither PCBs nor lead were detected in plants from either the remediated or unremediated wetland. The percent lipids measured in plants ranged from 0.50 to 0.79 percent in the unremediated wetland, and from 0.3 to 0.65 percent in the remediated wetland.

3.5 AMPHIBIANS

This subsection discusses the amphibian analytical results for 1996 and 2002.

3.5.1 1996

In 1996, frogs (*Rana sp.*) collected at the NLODS and reference wetlands were analyzed for PCBs, percent lipids, and lead (Table 7). PCBs were not detected in frogs collected from the NLODS or reference wetlands. The percent lipids measured in frogs were slightly higher in the reference wetland as compared to the NLODS wetland (2.13 and 1.46 percent, respectively). Lead was detected in frog samples collected from both the NLODS and reference wetlands (0.07 and 0.28 mg/kg, respectively). Unlike the other biota, lead was detected at a higher concentration in the frog collected from the reference wetland.

3.5.2 2002

No frogs were collected during the 2002 sampling event.

4.0 RISK EVALUATION

This section contains a brief discussion and interpretation of these measured tissue concentrations relative to baseline ecological risks. In the 1990 and 1992 ecological risk assessments (E.C. Jordan, 1990; 1992), risks to ecological receptors from PCBs and lead in the NLODS wetland were evaluated through the use of a food web model. Potential risks for certain receptors (shrew, woodcock, and garter snake) were identified, based on an $HI > 1$.

In 1996, risks were recalculated using PCB and lead concentrations measured in small mammals, invertebrates (earthworms), amphibians (frogs), and plants collected from the NLODS wetland and the reference wetland. In the NLODS wetland, the contribution of sediment was evaluated by considering only the concentrations from samples that would remain following remediation (i.e., from outside of the area to be remediated); these were identified in the Sediment Toxicity Characterization Report (ABB-ES, 1995).

In 2002, risk were recalculated using PCB and lead concentrations from 2002 measured in small mammals, invertebrates (earthworms), and plants collected from remediated and unremediated portions of the NLODS wetland. Risks for the reference wetland were also recalculated to incorporate the 2002 sediment sample results from this area.

Sediment sample locations are identified in Figure 2, and the analytical results are presented in Table 8. The risk calculations for the NLODS wetlands and reference wetland are presented in the following tables:

- Table 9A – unremediated wetland risks, using 1996 data,
- Table 9B – unremediated wetland risks, using 2002 data,
- Table 9C – remediated wetland risks, using 2002 data,
- Table 10A – reference wetland risks, using pre-1996 sediment data/1996 tissue data, and
- Table 10B – reference wetland risks, using 2002 sediment data/1996 tissue data.

The exposure assumptions presented in the baseline ecological risk assessment (E.C. Jordan, 1992) were used to recalculate risks. Exposure routes for the shrew and woodcock included direct sediment ingestion, ingestion of contaminated invertebrates, and ingestion of contaminated plants.

For the garter snake, exposure routes included direct ingestion of contaminated sediment, invertebrates, small mammals, and herptofauna. The assumed dietary composition for the garter snake was changed slightly in the 1996 assessment (from that used previously) to include herptofauna instead of small birds, as site-specific chemical data on this prey species was available in 1996. For the 2002 calculations, the dietary composition was shifted to assume no herptofauna. The assumed dietary composition was not shifted back to include small birds, as there are no tissue data for small birds; instead, that portion of the diet was shifted to small mammals.

Risks were quantitatively evaluated using hazard quotients (HQs), which were calculated by dividing the estimated exposure level [to be determined (TBD)] by the reference toxicity value (RTV). Acute exposure HQs were calculated by dividing the dose based on the maximum concentration of PCBs and lead in sediment and prey species by the acute RTVs; chronic exposure HQs were calculated by dividing the dose based on average concentrations of PCBs and lead in sediment and prey species by the chronic RTV. This is consistent with the approach used in the two existing ecological risk assessments for NLODS (E.C. Jordan, 1990; and 1992).

4.1 1996

The findings presented in Table 9A suggest that small mammals that forage exclusively within the unremediated NLODS wetland could potentially be at risk as a result of lead concentrations, although acute and chronic HQs were only slightly greater than 1 (HQs of 1.37 and 9.33, respectively). Incidental ingestion of sediment containing lead is the pathway contributing to the majority of predicted exposure and risk. PCB HQs for the shrew, woodcock, and garter snake were all well below 1, indicating that PCBs do not appear to present a risk to ecological receptors in the NLODS wetland. The results of the risk evaluation of the reference wetland (Table 10A) indicate that small mammals that forage exclusively within the reference wetland could potentially be at risk from chronic exposure to lead, although the chronic exposure HQ for small mammals only slightly exceeded 1 (HQ of 1.24). None of the other wildlife HQs calculated for the reference area exceeded 1.

4.2 2002

The findings presented in Table 9B suggest that small mammals that forage exclusively within the unremediated NLODS wetland could still potentially be at risk as a result of lead concentrations. Although acute risks were only slightly greater than one (HQ of 1.43), chronic risks were an order of magnitude higher (HQ of 10.1). This HQ is slightly higher than the pre-remediation HQ of 9.33, and is attributable to the higher concentrations detected in earthworms collected in 2002 (average of 3.1 mg/kg) relative to those in worms collected in 1996 (average of 1.7 mg/kg).

Examination of the lead concentrations in the small mammals themselves, however, indicates that actual exposures for small mammals have decreased. The average lead concentration in 2002 was 1.03 mg/kg, compared to an average of 4.56 mg/kg in 1996.

The findings presented in Table 9C suggest that small mammals that forage exclusively within the remediated NLODS wetland could also potentially be at risk as a result of lead concentrations. Although acute risks were less than one, chronic risks were greater than one (HQ of 15.4). Although this HQ is higher than the HQ in the unremediated wetland, it likely represents a substantial decrease from the HQ that would have been calculated under pre-remediation conditions.

PCB HQs for the shrew, woodcock, and garter snake were all well below 1, indicating that PCBs do not appear to present a risk to ecological receptors in the NLODS wetland.

The results of the risk evaluation of the reference wetland that incorporate the 2002 sediment data (Table 10B) are similar to those from 1996, indicating that small mammals that forage exclusively within the reference wetland could potentially be at risk from chronic exposure to lead, although the chronic exposure HQ for small mammals only slightly exceeded 1 (HQ of 1.29). None of the other wildlife HQs calculated for the reference area exceeded 1.

There are uncertainties associated with the RTVs used in the assessment (from the 1992 risk assessment). More recent compilations of RTVs (e.g., done by Oak Ridge National Laboratories in 1996 (Sample et al., 1996), suggests that this value may be overly conservative. Sample et al. report LOAEL and NOAEL values for lead of 80 mg/kg-day and 8.0 mg/kg-day, respectively, based on a 3-

generation study using laboratory rats, in which decreased offspring weight and kidney damage were reported. If the NOAEL value of 8.0 mg/kg-day was used (rather than the RTV of 2.5 mg/kg-day), the HQ for lead in the unremediated wetland would be 4.8; if the LOAEL value were used, the HQ for lead would be 0.48. This comparison demonstrates the uncertainties associated with the selection and use of RTVs, and potential influence on the risk conclusions.

5.0 DATA TRENDS – 1996 VS 2002 IN REMEDIATED AND UNREMIEDIATED WETLANDS

Table 11 contains a presentation of sediment and biological tissue results from 1996 and 2002 field programs for the unremediated, remediated, and reference wetlands. Analytical results for PCBs and lead between sampling events and areas are discussed below.

5.1 PCBS

Figure 4 presents a graphical presentation of PCB sediment concentrations in the unremediated, remediated, and reference wetlands in 1996 and 2002. For PCBs, the average sediment concentration in the unremediated wetland was 642 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ (0.642 mg/kg). The average sediment concentration in the area of the NLODS wetland targeted for remediation in 1996 (prior to remediation) was 9400 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. The average PCB sediment concentration in the remediated area measured in 2002 was 188 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ (0.188 mg/kg), demonstrating that PCB sediment concentrations in the remediated wetlands have decreased substantially and are now lower than those in the surrounding unremediated wetlands.

In 1996, PCB concentrations in biological tissue from the NLODS wetland were non-detect except in small mammals, where the average PCB concentration in unremediated areas was 0.123 mg/kg. In 2002, PCB concentrations in biological tissue from the unremediated wetland non-detect in all samples, including small mammals. PCB concentrations in small mammals from the remediated wetland in 2002 (average 96 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) were lower than those in small mammals from the unremediated wetland in 1996 (average 123 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$).

For PCBs, the biological results do not appear to have changed significantly despite a decrease in average sediment concentration to 0.188 mg/kg in the remediated wetland in 2002.

However, PCB concentrations in small mammals from both the remediated and unremediated wetland in 2002 (average 96 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ and non-detect, respectively) are below those from the reference wetland (average 112 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$).

5.2 LEAD

Figure 5 presents a graphical presentation of lead sediment concentrations in the unremediated, remediated, and reference wetlands in 1996 and 2002. For lead, the average sediment concentration in unremediated areas was 234 mg/kg. The average sediment concentration in the area of the NLODS wetland targeted for remediation in 1996 (prior to remediation) was 1040 mg/kg. The average lead sediment concentration in sediment samples from the remediated area collected in 2002 was 79 mg/kg, again demonstrating that lead sediment concentrations in the remediated wetlands have decreased substantially and are now lower than those in the surrounding unremediated wetlands.

Lead concentrations in biological tissue from the NLODS wetland from 2002 differed slightly from those in 1996. In the unremediated wetland, lead concentrations in earthworms in 2002 were slightly higher than those from 1996 (average concentration of 1.7 mg/kg in 1996 vs. 3.1 mg/kg in 2002). Lead concentrations in plants in both the remediated and unremediated wetlands were non-detect, but detection limits are slightly higher than those from 1996.

In the unremediated wetland, lead concentrations in small mammal femur bones in 2002 were lower than those from 1996 (average concentration of 4.5 mg/kg in 1996 vs. 1 mg/kg in 2002). Lead concentrations in small mammal femur bones from the remediated area (average of 1.31 mg/kg) are comparable to those in small mammal femurs from the unremediated areas (average of 1.03 mg/kg).

The lead concentrations in small mammal femurs from the unremediated wetland have decreased, but lead concentrations in worms have increased. Lead concentrations in the remediated wetland sediment have decreased to an average of 79 mg/kg. Lead concentrations in small mammal femurs from both the remediated and unremediated wetland are now below those from the reference wetland.

6.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The results of the 1996 baseline biomonitoring program indicate that PCB and lead concentrations in biota within the NLODS wetland were lower than the levels assumed in the Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment (E.C. Jordan, 1990; 1992). With the exception of the frog samples, lead concentrations in biological tissue samples collected from the NLODS wetland appeared to be slightly elevated relative to those from the reference wetland. The results of the risk evaluation indicated that lead may pose a potential risk to small mammals in both the NLODS and reference wetland; however, the primary contributing pathway was direct sediment ingestion, not food chain exposure. The lead HQs for both reference and NLODS wetlands exceeded one (HQs of 1.24 and 9.33, respectively).

The results of the 2002 post-remediation biomonitoring program indicate that lead concentrations in earthworm tissue samples collected from the NLODS wetland are slightly elevated relative to those from the reference wetland, but that lead concentrations in small mammal femurs collected from both the remediated and unremediated NLODS wetlands are comparable to those from the reference wetland. The results of the risk evaluation indicate that the risks posed by lead in both the remediated and unremediated NLODS wetlands are higher than those in the reference area, but the primary contributing pathway is still direct sediment ingestion, not food chain exposure.

Lead concentrations in sediments in both the remediated and unremediated wetland sediments are higher than concentrations from the reference wetland. The concentrations in small mammal femurs in organisms from both the remediated and unremediated wetland (average of 1.31 and 1.03 mg/kg, respectively) are less than those from the reference wetland (average of 2.0 mg/kg), indicating that the lead in sediment at the site does not appear to be as bioavailable to small mammals.

The overall conclusions of this investigation are as follows:

- 1) Lead and PCB concentrations in remediated area sediments have been substantially reduced as a result of the remediation activities at the site.
- 2) PCB concentrations in small mammals in the unremediated wetland appear to be unchanged, but they are comparable to those from the reference location.
- 3) Results of the food chain model indicate that PCBs do not appear to pose a risk to ecological receptors.

- 4) The results of the food chain model indicate potential risks to small mammals such as the shrew due to lead, with HQs greater than those from the reference location. There is uncertainty associated with the toxicity benchmarks used to calculate these HQs, with more recent benchmarks indicating that risks could be significantly lower than predicted.
- 5) In addition, lead concentrations in small mammal tissue are now lower than those from the reference location, indicating that regardless of the concentrations in sediment and earthworms, the small mammal body burden, and therefore overall exposures of the small mammals, are not significantly different from that at the reference wetland, and
- 6) These results indicate that additional post-remediation biomonitoring does not appear to be warranted.

7.0 REFERENCES

- ABB-ES, 1995. *Sediment Toxicity Characterization Report*; prepared for New York Department of Environmental Conservation; December, 1995.
- ABB-ES, 1996. *Biological Sampling Plan*; prepared for New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; September, 1996.
- ABB-ES, 1997. *Baseline Biological Monitoring Report*; prepared for New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; July, 1997
- Blasland, Bouck, and Lee, Inc., 1995. *Contamination Pathways Characterization Summary Report, Contamination Pathways RI/FS, Volume I*; prepared for Steering Committee of the York Oil Superfund Site; January, 1995.
- E.C. Jordan, 1990. *Baseline Public Health and Ecological Risk Assessment, First Phase*; prepared for New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; February, 1990.
- E.C. Jordan, 1992. *Baseline Ecological and Human Health Risk Assessment*; prepared for New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; December, 1992.
- Harding Lawson Associates, (HLA), 2002. *Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Services Work Plan*; prepared prepared for New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; October, 2002.
- Sample, B.E., Opresko, D.M., and Suter, G.W. 1996. *Toxicological Benchmarks for Wildlife: 1996 Revision*. Prepared by the Risk Assessment Program, Health Sciences Research Division, Oak Ridge, TN. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Management. ES/ER/TM-86/R3. June 1996.

TABLES

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF SMALL MAMMALS COLLECTED IN 1996 AT THE NLODS AND REFERENCE WETLANDS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SITE | TRANSECT | TRAP # | SPECIES | WEIGHT (g) | DATE | TIME |
|-------|----------|--------|---------|------------|---------|------|
| NLODS | 1 | 4 | STS | 17 | 11/5/96 | 755 |
| NLODS | 1 | 9 | WFM | 15 | 11/5/96 | 804 |
| NLODS | 1 | 10 | WFM | 12 | 11/5/96 | 812 |
| NLODS | 2 | 3 | WFM | 12 | 11/5/96 | 825 |
| NLODS | 3 | 6 | MV | 13 | 11/5/96 | 834 |
| NLODS | 5 | 5 | WFM | 19 | 11/5/96 | 851 |
| NLODS | 5 | 1 | STS | 20 | 11/5/96 | 858 |
| NLODS | 5 | 1 | STS | 20 | 11/5/96 | 1134 |
| NLODS | 1 | 2 | MS | 1 | 11/6/96 | 718 |
| NLODS | 1 | 9 | WFM | 12 | 11/6/96 | 731 |
| NLODS | 1 | 10 | WFM | 20 | 11/6/96 | 735 |
| NLODS | 2 | 3 | WFM | 16 | 11/6/96 | 746 |
| NLODS | 2 | 2 | WFM | 14 | 11/6/96 | 752 |
| NLODS | 3 | 1 | WFM | 13 | 11/6/96 | 759 |
| NLODS | 3 | 6 | MV | 11 | 11/6/96 | 805 |
| NLODS | 5 | 1 | STS | 18 | 11/6/96 | 823 |
| NLODS | 5 | 1 | STS | 17 | 11/6/96 | 1515 |
| NLODS | 1 | 4 | STS | 25.5 | 11/7/96 | 705 |
| NLODS | 1 | 9 | WFM | 24 | 11/7/96 | 715 |
| NLODS | 4 | 2 | STS | 23 | 11/7/96 | 730 |
| NLODS | 1 | 4 | MV | 20 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| NLODS | 1 | 6 | MS | 4.5 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| NLODS | 1 | 9 | WFM | 19 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| NLODS | 2 | 8 | WFM | 17.5 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| NLODS | 3 | 8 | WFM | 25 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| NLODS | 3 | 4 | WFM | 20.5 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| NLODS | 4 | 6 | MV | 26 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| NLODS | 5 | 1 | MV | 34.5 | 11/8/96 | 800 |
| REF | 2 | 7 | WFM | 15.5 | 11/7/96 | 820 |
| REF | 3 | 10 | MS | 4.5 | 11/7/96 | 835 |
| REF | 3 | 5 | WFM | 15 | 11/7/96 | 840 |
| REF | 5 | 8 | MS | 5 | 11/7/96 | 845 |
| REF | 1 | 8 | WFM | 17 | 11/7/96 | 850 |
| REF | 2 | ? | STS | 22 | 11/8/96 | 1000 |
| REF | 1 | 1 | WFM | 20 | 11/8/96 | 1000 |
| REF | 1 | 2 | WFM | 21 | 11/8/96 | 1000 |

NOTES:

WFM = white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*)STS = short-tailed shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*)MS = masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*)MV = meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*)

TABLE 1A

SUMMARY OF SMALL MAMMALS COLLECTED IN 2002 AT THE NLODS WETLAND

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SITE | TRANSECT | TRAP # | SPECIES | WEIGHT (g) | DATE | TIME |
|-------|----------|--------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|
| UNREM | 3 | 8 | MS | 3.5 | 11/6/2002 | 7:45 |
| UNREM | 4 | 8 | WFM | 17 | 11/6/2002 | 8:55 |
| UNREM | 4 | 9 | STS | 15 | 11/6/2002 | 9:01 |
| UNREM | 5 | 8 | MS | 4 | 11/6/2002 | 9:45 |
| UNREM | 1 | 1 | STS | 21 | 11/7/2002 | 7:54 |
| UNREM | 1 | 4 | STS | 20 | 11/7/2002 | 8:07 |
| UNREM | 2 | 10 | STS | 28 | 11/7/2002 | 8:22 |
| UNREM | 2 | 8 | WFM | 21 | 11/7/2002 | 8:29 |
| UNREM | 5 | 2 | MS | 3.5 | 11/7/2002 | 10:23 |
| UNREM | 5 | 3 | STS | 19 | 11/7/2002 | 15:15 |
| UNREM | 1 | 4 | WFM | 11 ^a | 11/8/2002 | 8:05 |
| UNREM | 2 | 9 | WFM | 20 | 11/8/2002 | 8:20 |
| UNREM | 3 | 7 | MS | 3 | 11/8/2002 | 8:25 |
| UNREM | 4 | 2 | WFM | 14.5 | 11/8/2002 | 8:45 ^b |
| UNREM | 4 | 2 | WFM | 22.5 | 11/8/2002 | 8:45 ^c |
| UNREM | 4 | 5 | WFM | 16 | 11/8/2002 | 8:50 |
| UNREM | 4 | 6 | MV | 28 | 11/8/2002 | 8:50 |
| UNREM | 4 | 8 | MS | 3.5 | 11/8/2002 | 8:52 |
| REM | 7 | 3 | STS | 18.5 | 11/5/2002 | 8:45 |
| REM | 8 | 7 | MS | 4 | 11/6/2002 | 11:00 |
| REM | 9 | 9 | MV | 28 | 11/6/2002 | 14:40 |
| REM | 10 | 7 | MV | 30 | 11/7/2002 | 8:05 |
| REM | 6 | 1 | MV | 23 | 11/7/2002 | 8:15 |
| REM | 6 | 8 | MV | 21 | 11/7/2002 | 15:11 |
| REM | 8 | 6 | MV | 31 | 11/8/2002 | 9:20 |

NOTES:

^a = mouse was half-eaten prior to collection - femurs still intact.^b = one of 2 traps at this trap location^c = one of 2 traps at this trap location

UNREM = Unremediated wetland

REM = Remediated wetland

WFM = white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*)STS = short-tailed shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*)MS = masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*)MV = meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*)

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF WORMS, PLANTS, AND FROGS
COLLECTED IN 1996 AT THE NLODS AND REFERENCE WETLANDS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SITE | TRANSECT | ORGANISM | WEIGHT (g) | DATE | TIME |
|-------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|------|
| NLODS | T1-W | WORM | 46 | 11/5/96 | 1500 |
| NLODS | T2-W | WORM | 50+ | 11/5/96 | 1530 |
| NLODS | T5-W | WORM | 50+ | 11/6/96 | 1510 |
| NLODS | T5(N)-W | WORM | 50+ | 11/6/96 | 1542 |
| NLODS | T1(N)-W | WORM | 50+ | 11/6/96 | 1630 |
| REF | R1-W | WORM | NA | 11/7/1996 | 930 |
| REF | R2-W | WORM | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1000 |
| REF | R3-W | WORM | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1220 |
| REF | R4-W | WORM | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1630 |
| REF | R5-W | WORM | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1700 |
| NLODS | T1-P | PLANT | NA | 11/6/96 | 1415 |
| NLODS | T2-P | PLANT | NA | 11/6/96 | 1430 |
| NLODS | T3-P | PLANT | NA | 11/6/96 | 1440 |
| NLODS | T4-P | PLANT | NA | 11/6/96 | 1450 |
| NLODS | T5-P | PLANT | NA | 11/6/96 | 1500 |
| REF | R1-P | PLANT | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1100 |
| REF | R2-P | PLANT | NA | 11/7/1996 | 945 |
| REF | R3-P | PLANT | NA | 11/7/1996 | 955 |
| REF | R4-P | PLANT | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1025 |
| REF | R5-P | PLANT | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1020 |
| NLODS | T2-F | FROG | > 50 | 11/6/1996 | 1700 |
| REF | R5-F | FROG | NA | 11/7/1996 | 1020 |

TABLE 2A

SUMMARY OF WORMS AND PLANTS COLLECTED IN 2002 AT THE NLODS WETLAND

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SAMPLE# | SITE | TRANSECT | TRAP # | SPECIES | WEIGHT (g) | SAMPLE DATE | TIME |
|-------------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|------------|-------------|-------|
| Plants | | | | | | | |
| BIO-711 | UNREM | T1-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:05 |
| BIO-712 | UNREM | T2-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:20 |
| BIO-713 | UNREM | T3-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:35 |
| BIO-714 | UNREM | T4-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:45 |
| BIO-715 | UNREM | T5-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 10:00 |
| | | | | | | | |
| BIO-716 | REM | T6-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:00 |
| BIO-717 | REM | T7-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:10 |
| BIO-718 | REM | T8-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:15 |
| BIO-719 | REM | T9-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:25 |
| BIO-720 | REM | T10-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:40 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Earthworms | | | | | | | |
| BIO-721 | UNREM | T1-W | --- | WORM | | 11/8/2002 | 12:00 |
| BIO-722 | UNREM | T2-W | --- | WORM | | 11/7/2002 | 14:45 |
| BIO-723 | UNREM | T5-W | --- | WORM | | 11/7/2002 | 16:30 |
| | | | | | | | |
| BIO-724 | REM | T6-W | --- | WORM | | 11/8/2002 | 10:00 |
| BIO-725 | REM | T8-W | --- | WORM | | 11/6/2002 | 17:00 |
| BIO-726 | REM | T10-W | --- | WORM | | 11/6/2002 | 15:00 |

NOTES:

UNREM = Unremediated wetland

REM = Remediated wetland

TABLE 3

SAMPLE NUMBERS AND COMPOSITING OF BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN 1996 AT THE NLODS AND REFERENCE WETLANDS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SAMPLE # | SITE | TRANSECT | TRAP # | DATE | TIME | SPECIES | WEIGHT (g) | SHIPPED |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Small Mammals | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-601 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | NLODS | 1 | 9 | 11/5/96 | 804 | WFM | 15 | 11/6/96 |
| | NLODS | 1 | 10 | 11/5/96 | 812 | WFM | 12 | 11/6/96 |
| | NLODS | 1 | 9 | 11/6/96 | 731 | WFM | 12 | 11/6/96 |
| | NLODS | 1 | 10 | 11/6/96 | 735 | WFM | 20 | 11/6/96 |
| | NLODS | 1 | 9 | 11/7/96 | 715 | WFM | 24 | 11/7/1996 |
| | NLODS | 1 | 9 | 11/8/96 | 800 | WFM | 19 | 11/8/1996 |
| BIO-602 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | NLODS | 2 | 3 | 11/5/96 | 825 | WFM | 12 | 11/6/96 |
| | NLODS | 2 | 3 | 11/6/96 | 746 | WFM | 16 | 11/6/96 |
| | NLODS | 2 | 2 | 11/6/96 | 752 | WFM | 14 | 11/6/96 |
| | NLODS | 2 | 8 | 11/8/96 | 800 | WFM | 17.5 | 11/8/1996 |
| BIO-603 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | NLODS | 1 | 4 | 11/5/96 | 755 | STS | 17 | 11/6/1996 |
| | NLODS | 1 | 4 | 11/7/96 | 700 | STS | 25.5 | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-604 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | NLODS | 1 | 2 | 11/6/96 | 718 | MS | 1 | 11/6/1996 |
| | NLODS | 1 | 6 | 11/8/96 | 800 | MS | 4.5 | 11/8/1996 |
| BIO-605 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | NLODS | 5 | 1 | 11/5/96 | 858 | STS | 20 | 11/6/1996 |
| | NLODS | 5 | 1 | 11/5/96 | 1134 | STS | 20 | 11/6/1996 |
| | NLODS | 5 | 1 | 11/6/96 | 823 | STS | 18 | 11/6/1996 |
| | NLODS | 5 | 1 | 11/6/96 | 1515 | STS | 17 | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-606 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | REF | 1 | 1 | 11/8/96 | 1000 | WFM | 20 | 11/8/1996 |
| | REF | 1 | 2 | 11/8/96 | 1000 | WFM | 21 | 11/8/1996 |
| BIO-607 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | REF | 1 | 8 | 11/7/96 | 850 | WFM | 17 | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-608 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | REF | 3 | 10 | 11/7/96 | 835 | MS | 4.5 | 11/7/1996 |
| | REF | 5 | 8 | 11/7/96 | 845 | MS | 5 | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-609 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | REF | 2 | ? | 11/8/96 | 1000 | STS | 22 | 11/8/1996 |
| BIO-610 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | | |
| | REF | 2 | 7 | 11/7/96 | 820 | WFM | 15.5 | 11/7/1996 |

TABLE 3

SAMPLE NUMBERS AND COMPOSITING OF BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN 1996 AT THE NLODS AND REFERENCE WETLANDS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SAMPLE # | SITE | TRANSECT | TRAP # | DATE | TIME | SPECIES | WEIGHT (g) | SHIPPED |
|-------------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|------|---------|------------|-----------|
| | REF | 3 | 5 | 11/7/96 | 840 | WFM | 15 | 11/7/1996 |
| Earthworms | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-611 | NLODS | T1-W | | 11/5/96 | 1500 | WORM | 46 | 11/6/1996 |
| BIO-612 | NLODS | T2-W | | 11/5/96 | 1530 | WORM | 50+ | 11/6/1996 |
| BIO-613 | NLODS | T5-W | | 11/6/96 | 1510 | WORM | 50+ | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-614 | NLODS | T5(N)-W | | 11/6/96 | 1542 | WORM | 50+ | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-615 | NLODS | T1(N)-W | | 11/6/96 | 1630 | WORM | 50+ | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-616 | REF | R1-W | | 11/7/96 | 930 | WORM | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-617 | REF | R2-W | | 11/7/96 | 1000 | WORM | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-618 | REF | R3-W | | 11/7/96 | 1220 | WORM | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-619 | REF | R4-W | | 11/7/96 | 1630 | WORM | --- | 11/8/1996 |
| BIO-620 | REF | R5-W | | 11/7/96 | 1700 | WORM | --- | 11/8/1996 |
| Plants | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-621 | NLODS | T1-P | | 11/6/96 | 1415 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-622 | NLODS | T2-P | | 11/6/96 | 1430 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-623 | NLODS | T3-P | | 11/6/96 | 1440 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-624 | NLODS | T4-P | | 11/6/96 | 1450 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-625 | REF | R1-P | | 11/7/96 | 1100 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-626 | REF | R2-P | | 11/7/96 | 945 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-627 | REF | R3-P | | 11/7/96 | 955 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-628 | REF | R4-P | | 11/7/96 | 1025 | PLANT | --- | 11/7/1996 |
| Frogs | | | | | | | | |
| BIO-629 | NLODS | T2-F | | 11/6/96 | 1700 | FROG | > 50 | 11/7/1996 |
| BIO-630 | REF | R5-F | | 11/7/96 | 1020 | FROG | --- | 11/7/1996 |

NLODS = North Lawrence Oil Dump Site

REF = Reference Wetland

Species abbreviations:

WFM = white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*)

STS = short-tailed shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*)

MS = masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*)

MV = meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*)

TABLE 3A
 SAMPLE NUMBERS AND COMPOSITING OF BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES COLLECTED IN 2002 AT THE NLODS WETLAND
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SAMPLE# | SITE | TRANSECT | TRAP # | SPECIES | WEIGHT (g) | SAMPLE DATE | TIME |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------------|-------------|-------|
| Small Mammals | | | | | | | |
| BIO-701 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | UNREM | 1 | 1 | STS | 21 | 11/7/2002 | 7:54 |
| | UNREM | 1 | 4 | STS | 20 | 11/7/2002 | 8:07 |
| | UNREM | 2 | 10 | STS | 28 | 11/7/2002 | 8:22 |
| BIO-702 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | UNREM | 1 | 4 | WFM | 11 ^a | 11/8/2002 | 8:05 |
| | UNREM | 2 | 8 | WFM | 21 | 11/7/2002 | 8:29 |
| | UNREM | 2 | 9 | WFM | 20 | 11/8/2002 | 8:20 |
| BIO-703 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | UNREM | 4 | 2 | WFM | 14.5 | 11/8/2002 | 8:45 |
| | UNREM | 4 | 2 | WFM | 22.5 | 11/8/2002 | 8:45 |
| | UNREM | 4 | 5 | WFM | 16 | 11/8/2002 | 8:50 |
| | UNREM | 4 | 8 | WFM | 17 | 11/6/2002 | 8:55 |
| BIO-704 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | UNREM | 4 | 6 | MV | 28 | 11/8/2002 | 8:50 |
| BIO-705 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | UNREM | 3 | 7 | MS | 3 | 11/8/2002 | 8:25 |
| | UNREM | 3 | 8 | MS | 3.5 | 11/6/2002 | 7:45 |
| | UNREM | 4 | 8 | MS | 3.5 | 11/8/2002 | 8:52 |
| | UNREM | 5 | 2 | MS | 3.5 | 11/7/2002 | 10:23 |
| | UNREM | 5 | 8 | MS | 4 | 11/6/2002 | 9:45 |
| BIO-706 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | UNREM | 4 | 9 | STS | 15 | 11/6/2002 | 9:01 |
| | UNREM | 5 | 3 | STS | 19 | 11/7/2002 | 15:15 |
| BIO-707 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | REM | 8 | 7 | MS | 4 | 11/6/2002 | 11:00 |
| BIO-708 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | REM | 6 | 1 | MV | 23 | 11/7/2002 | 8:15 |
| | REM | 6 | 8 | MV | 21 | 11/7/2002 | 15:11 |
| BIO-709 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | REM | 8 | 6 | MV | 31 | 11/8/2002 | 9:20 |
| | REM | 9 | 9 | MV | 28 | 11/6/2002 | 14:40 |
| | REM | 10 | 7 | MV | 30 | 11/7/2002 | 8:05 |
| BIO-710 | included the following organisms: | | | | | | |
| | REM | 7 | 3 | STS | 18.5 | 11/5/2002 | 8:45 |

NOTES:

^a = mouse was half-eaten prior to collection - femurs still intact.

UNREM = Unremediated wetland

REM = Remediated wetland

WFM = white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*)

STS = short-tailed shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*)

MS = masked shrew (*Sorex cinereus*)

MV = meadow vole (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*)

TABLE 3A
 SAMPLE NUMBERS AND COMPOSITING OF BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES COLLECTED IN 2002 AT THE NLODS WETLAND
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| SAMPLE# | SITE | TRANSECT | TRAP # | SPECIES | WEIGHT (g) | SAMPLE DATE | TIME |
|-------------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|------------|-------------|-------|
| Plants | | | | | | | |
| BIO-711 | UNREM | T1-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:05 |
| BIO-712 | UNREM | T2-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:20 |
| BIO-713 | UNREM | T3-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:35 |
| BIO-714 | UNREM | T4-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 9:45 |
| BIO-715 | UNREM | T5-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 10:00 |
| | | | | | | | |
| BIO-716 | REM | T6-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:00 |
| BIO-717 | REM | T7-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:10 |
| BIO-718 | REM | T8-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:15 |
| BIO-719 | REM | T9-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:25 |
| BIO-720 | REM | T10-P | --- | PLANT | --- | 11/7/2002 | 14:40 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Earthworms | | | | | | | |
| BIO-721 | UNREM | T1-W | --- | WORM | | 11/8/2002 | 12:00 |
| BIO-722 | UNREM | T2-W | --- | WORM | | 11/7/2002 | 14:45 |
| BIO-723 | UNREM | T5-W | --- | WORM | | 11/7/2002 | 16:30 |
| | | | | | | | |
| BIO-724 | REM | T6-W | --- | WORM | | 11/8/2002 | 10:00 |
| BIO-725 | REM | T8-W | --- | WORM | | 11/6/2002 | 17:00 |
| BIO-726 | REM | T10-W | --- | WORM | | 11/6/2002 | 15:00 |

NOTES:

UNREM = Unremediated wetland
 REM = Remediated wetland

TABLE 4

SMALL MAMMAL TISSUE ANALYSIS RESULTS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

SAMPLES COLLECTED NOVEMBER 1996

| Media | Sample ID | % Lipid | Aroclor-1254 ug/kg wet wt. | Aroclor-1260 ug/kg wet wt. | Total PCB ug/kg wet wt. [a] | Lead mg/kg wet wt. [b] | % Moisture | Total PCB ug/kg dry wt. | Lead mg/kg dry wt. |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| UNREMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | | | |
| WFM | BIO601 | 5.50 | < 50 | < 50 | < 50 | 1.3 | 0.649 | < 50 | 4 |
| WFM | BIO602 | 3.68 | < 50 | < 50 | < 50 | 1.7 | 0.742 | < 50 | 7 |
| STS | BIO603 | 4.42 | < 52 | 91 | 91 | 3.4 | 0.561 | 207 | 8 |
| MS | BIO604 | 8.70 | < 450 | < 450 | < 450 | 4.9 | 0.35 | < 450 | 8 |
| STS | BIO605 | 5.46 | < 50 | 250 | 250 | 11.5 | 0.531 | 533 | 25 |
| | | | | Average [c] | 123 | 4.56 | | 203 | 10 |
| | | | | Maximum | 250 | 11.5 | | 533 | 25 |
| REFERENCE LOCATIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| WFM | BIO606 | 5.21 | < 73 | < 73 | < 73 | 0.59 | 0.61 | < 73 | 2 |
| WFM | BIO607 | 8.31 | < 250 | < 250 | < 250 | 1.3 | 0.508 | < 250 | 3 |
| MS | BIO608 | 6.96 | < 430 | < 430 | < 430 | 1.9 | 0.546 | < 430 | 4 |
| STS | BIO609 | 5.88 | < 130 | < 130 | < 130 | 5.5 | 0.543 | < 130 | 12 |
| WFM | BIO610 | 7.44 | 120 | < 83 | 120 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 267 | 2 |
| | | | | Average [c] | 112 | 2.0 | | 142 | 4 |
| | | | | Maximum | 120 | 5.5 | | 267 | 12 |

[a] The following PCBs were analyzed for and not detected in the small mammals:
Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248. Sample Quantitation Levels (SQLs)
ranged from 50 to 450 ppb.

[b] Lead analysis was conducted on femur bones only.

[c] Averages were calculated using half the detection limits for non-detects.

WFM = White-footed mouse

STS = Short-tailed shrew

MS = Masked shrew

MV = meadow vole

SAMPLES COLLECTED NOVEMBER 2002

| Media | Sample ID | % Lipid | Aroclor-1260 ug/kg wet wt. | Total PCB ug/kg wet wt. [a] | Lead mg/kg wet wt. [b] | % Moisture | Total PCB ug/kg dry wt. | Lead mg/kg dry wt. |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| UNREMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | | |
| STS | BIO-701 | 2.92 | < 83 | < 83 | 1.9 | 0.733 | < 83 | 7.1 |
| WFM | BIO-702 | 3.60 | < 50 | < 50 | 0.7 | 0.757 | < 50 | 2.9 |
| WFM | BIO-703 | 4.00 | < 50 | < 50 | 0.32 | 0.792 | < 50 | 1.5 |
| MV | BIO-704 | 6.04 | < 50 | < 50 | < 0.73 | 0.782 | < 50 | NA |
| MS | BIO-705 | 5.12 | < 120 | < 120 | 1.4 | 0.574 | < 120 | 3.3 |
| STS | BIO-706 | 2.09 | < 50 | < 50 | 0.84 | 0.799 | < 50 | 4.2 |
| | | | Average [c] | ND | 1.032 | | 34 | 3.8 |
| | | | Maximum | ND | 1.9 | | NA | 7.1 |
| REMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | | |
| MS | BIO-707 | 7.02 | < 550 | < 550 | < 5.3 | 0.433 | < 550 | < 5.3 |
| MV | BIO-708 | 3.45 | < 50 | < 50 | < 0.76 | 0.764 | < 50 | < 0.76 |
| MV | BIO-709 | 2.82 | < 50 | < 50 | 0.92 | 0.807 | < 50 | 4.8 |
| STS | BIO-710 | 3.96 | 110 | 110 | 1.3 | 0.667 | 330.3 | 3.9 |
| | | | Average [c] | 96 | 1.313 | | 110 | 4.3 |
| | | | Maximum | 110 | 1.3 | | 533 | 4.8 |

[a] The following PCBs were analyzed for and not detected in the small mammals:
Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248, -1254. Sample Quantitation Levels (SQLs)
ranged from 50 to 550 ppb.

[b] Lead analysis was conducted on femur bones only.

[c] Averages were calculated using half the detection limits for non-detects.

WFM = White-footed mouse

STS = Short-tailed shrew

MS = Masked shrew

MV = meadow vole

TABLE 5

EARTHWORM TISSUE ANALYSIS RESULTS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

SAMPLES COLLECTED NOVEMBER 1996

| Media | Sample ID | % Lipid | Total PCB ug/kg wet wt. [a] | Lead mg/kg wet wt. | % Moisture | Total PCB ug/kg dry wt. | Lead mg/kg dry wt. |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| UNREMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | |
| EW | BIO611 | 1.22 | < 50 | 2.4 | 0.861 | < 50 | 17 |
| EW | BIO612 | 1.26 | < 50 | 2.3 | 0.866 | < 50 | 17 |
| EW | BIO613 | 1.66 | < 50 | 0.99 | 0.841 | < 50 | 6 |
| EW | BIO614 | 1.38 | < 50 | 0.98 | 0.852 | < 50 | 7 |
| EW | BIO615 | 1.49 | < 130 | 1.7 | 0.897 | < 130 | 17 |
| | | Average | ND | 1.7 | | ND | 13 |
| | | Maximum | ND | 2.4 | | ND | 17 |
| REFERENCE LOCATIONS | | | | | | | |
| EW | BIO616 | 1.23 | < 99 | 0.35 | 0.872 | < 99 | 2.7 |
| EW | BIO617 | 1.33 | < 67 | 0.6 | 0.857 | < 67 | 4.2 |
| EW | BIO618 | 1.08 | < 50 | 0.52 | 0.859 | < 50 | 3.7 |
| EW | BIO619 | 1.34 | < 50 | 1.2 | 0.854 | < 50 | 8.2 |
| EW | BIO620 | 1.61 | < 100 | 0.37 | 0.848 | < 100 | 2.4 |
| | | Average | ND | 0.61 | | ND | 4.3 |
| | | Maximum | ND | 1.2 | | ND | 8.2 |

[a] The following PCBs were analyzed for and not detected:
Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248, -1254, and 1260. Sample Quantitation Levels (SQLs) ranged from 50 to 130 ppb.
EW = Earthworms
ND = Not Detected

SAMPLES COLLECTED NOVEMBER 2002

| Media | Sample ID | % Lipid | Aroclor-1260 ug/kg wet wt. | Total PCB ug/kg wet wt. [a] | Lead mg/kg wet wt. | % Moisture | Total PCB ug/kg dry wt. | Lead mg/kg dry wt. |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| UNREMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | | |
| EW | BIO-721 | NA ¹ | NA ¹ | NA ¹ | 2.6 | NA ¹ | NA ¹ | NC |
| EW | BIO-722 | 1.47 | < 50 | < 50 | 5.1 | 0.811 | < 50 | 27 |
| EW | BIO-723 | 1.72 | < 56 | < 56 | 1.7 | 0.766 | < 56 | 7 |
| | | Average | | ND | 3.1 | | NC | 17 |
| | | Maximum | | ND | 5.1 | | NC | 38 |
| REMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | | |
| EW | BIO-724 | 2.24 | 89 | 89 | 21 | 0.763 | 376 | 88.6 |
| EW | BIO-725 | 1.90 | < 82 | < 67 | 4.6 | 0.792 | < 67 | 22.1 |
| EW | BIO-726 | 1.74 | 69 | 69 | 17 | 0.826 | 397 | 97.7 |
| | | Average | | 64 | 14 | | 269 | 69.5 |
| | | Maximum | | 89 | 21 | | 397 | 97.7 |

[a] The following PCBs were analyzed for and not detected:
Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248 and -1254. Sample Quantitation Levels (SQLs) ranged from 50 to 82 ppb.
EW = Earthworms
NA¹ = not analyzed due to insufficient sample mass.
NC = not calculated
ND = Not Detected

TABLE 6

PLANT TISSUE ANALYSIS RESULTS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK**SAMPLES COLLECTED NOVEMBER 1996**

| Media | Sample ID | % Lipid | Total PCB ug/kg wet wt. [a] | Lead mg/kg wet wt. | % Moisture | Total PCB ug/kg dry wt. | Lead mg/kg dry wt. |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| UNREMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | |
| PL | BIO621 | 0.45 | < 50 | 1 | 0.822 | < 50 | 6 |
| PL | BIO622 | 0.54 | < 50 | 0.25 | 0.754 | < 50 | 1 |
| PL | BIO623 | 0.56 | < 50 | 0.56 | 0.789 | < 50 | 3 |
| PL | BIO624 | 1.24 | < 50 | 1.8 | 0.575 | < 50 | 4 |
| | Average | | ND | 0.9 | | ND | 3.4 |
| | Maximum | | ND | 1.8 | | ND | 6.0 |
| REFERENCE LOCATIONS | | | | | | | |
| PL | BIO625 | 1.10 | < 50 | 0.17 | 0.492 | < 50 | 0.33 |
| PL | BIO626 | 1.00 | < 50 | 0.33 | 0.568 | < 50 | 0.76 |
| PL | BIO627 | 0.94 | < 50 | 0.35 | 0.455 | < 50 | 0.64 |
| PL | BIO628 | 0.74 | < 50 | 0.25 | 0.529 | < 50 | 0.53 |
| | Average | | ND | 0.28 | | ND | 0.57 |
| | Maximum | | ND | 0.35 | | ND | 0.76 |

[a] The following PCBs were analyzed for and not detected:

Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248, -1254, and -1260. Sample Quantitation Level (SQL) was 50 ppb for all samples.

PL = Plants

ND = Not Detected

SAMPLES COLLECTED NOVEMBER 2002

| Media | Sample ID | % Lipid | Total PCB ug/kg wet wt. [a] | Lead mg/kg wet wt. | % Moisture | Total PCB ug/kg dry wt. | Lead mg/kg dry wt. |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| UNREMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | |
| PL | BIO-711 | 0.54 | < 50 | < 1 | 0.704 | < 50 | < 1 |
| PL | BIO-712 | 0.72 | < 50 | < 0.99 | 0.653 | < 50 | < 0.99 |
| PL | BIO-713 | 0.50 | < 50 | < 1 | 0.777 | < 50 | < 1 |
| PL | BIO-714 | 0.70 | < 50 | < 1 | 0.618 | < 50 | < 1 |
| PL | BIO-715 | 0.79 | < 50 | < 1 | 0.629 | < 50 | < 1 |
| | Average | | ND | ND | | ND | ND |
| | Maximum | | ND | ND | | ND | ND |
| REMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | |
| PL | BIO-716 | 0.47 | < 50 | < 1 | 0.64 | < 50 | < 1 |
| PL | BIO-717 | 0.30 | < 50 | < .94 | 0.65 | < 50 | < .94 |
| PL | BIO-718 | 0.65 | < 50 | < .95 | 0.52 | < 50 | < .95 |
| PL | BIO-719 | 0.61 | < 50 | < .98 | 0.59 | < 50 | < .98 |
| PL | BIO-720 | 0.45 | < 50 | < .92 | 0.69 | < 50 | < .92 |
| | Average | | ND | ND | | ND | ND |
| | Maximum | | ND | ND | | ND | ND |

[a] The following PCBs were analyzed for and not detected:

Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248, -1254, and -1260. Sample Quantitation Level (SQL) was 50 ppb for all samples.

PL = Plants

ND = Not Detected

TABLE 7

FROG TISSUE ANALYSIS RESULTS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| Media | Sample ID | % Lipid | Total PCB ug/kg wet wt. [a] | Lead mg/kg wet wt. | % Moisture | Total PCB ug/kg dry wt. | Lead mg/kg dry wt. |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Unremediated Wetland | | | | | | | |
| FR | BIO629 | 1.46 | < 50 | 0.07 | 0.808 | < 50 | 0.36 |
| Reference Wetland | | | | | | | |
| FR | BIO630 | 2.13 | < 130 | 0.28 | 0.741 | < 130 | 1.08 |

[a] The following PCBs were analyzed for and not detected
Aroclor-1016, -1221, -1232, -1242, -1248, -1254, and -1260. Sample Quantitation
Levels (SQLs) ranged from 50 to 130 ppb.
FR = Frog

TABLE 8

SEDIMENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

| Date/Area | Sample Location | PCBs (ug/kg) [a] | Lead (mg/kg) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|
| Pre-1996/Unremediated Wetland [b] | 501 | 210 U | 16.4 |
| | 502 | 480 J | 318 |
| | 503 | 510 J | 888 |
| | 504 | 1300 | 708 |
| | 507 | 530 U | 131 |
| | 508 | 370 J | 203 |
| | 509 | 3800 | 282 |
| | 513 | 93 U | 1.7 |
| | 514 | 130 U | 17 |
| | 516 | 120 U | 3 |
| | 517 | 110 U | 5.4 |
| | | Average [c] | 642 |
| | Maximum | 3800 | 888 |
| Pre-1996/Remediated Wetland [b] | 505 | 25000 [d] | 2200 |
| | 506 | 14600 [d] | 2670 |
| | 510 | 16250 [d],[e] | 1315 [e] |
| | 511 | 1500 | 492 |
| | 512 | 2380 [f] | 216 |
| | 515 | 5400 [d] | 279 |
| | 518 | 730 | 111 |
| | | Average | 9409 |
| | Maximum | 25000 | 2670 |
| 2002/Remediated Wetland [g] | 601 | 49 U | 34.9 |
| | 602 | 56 U | 22.7 |
| | 603 | 510 [e] | 179.5 [e] |
| | | Average [c] | 187.5 |
| | Maximum | 510 | 179.5 |
| Pre-1996/Reference Wetland [h] | Y2-SD-01 | 0.18 UJ [e], [i] | 13.7 J [e] |
| | Y2-SD-02 | 0.3 UJ [i] | 22.4 J |
| | Y2-SD-03 | 0.16 UJ [i] | 37.1 J |
| | Average | ND | 24.4 |
| | Maximum | ND | 37.1 |
| 2002/Reference Wetland | REF1 | 200-410 U [j] | 30.8 |
| | REF2 | 100-210 U [j] | 22.7 |
| | REF3 | 110-220 U [j] | 23.5 |
| | Average | ND | 25.7 |
| | Maximum | ND | 30.8 |

[a] Detected concentrations are of Aroclor-1260 except as indicated.

[b] Pre-remediation sediment bioassay data are presented (samples collected in 1995)

TABLE 8

SEDIMENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS

NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK

(ABB-ES, 1995).

- [c] Average calculated using half the SQL for non-detects.
- [d] Sum of concentrations of Aroclor-1254 and Aroclor-1260.
- [e] Average of sample and duplicate.
- [f] Sum of concentrations of Aroclor-1248 and Aroclor-1260.
- [g] Sediment samples were not collected from the Unremediated Wetland in 2002.
- [h] Source: Tables 4-2 and 4-5, Contamination Pathways Characterization Summary Report (RI/FS)(Blasland, Bouck & Lee, 1995). Samples collected in 1993.
- [i] Aroclor-1254 and Aroclor-1260 were analyzed for and not detected. Detection limit provided is the same for Aroclor-1254 and Aroclor-1260.
- [j] Detection limit range presented for Aroclors 1016, 1221, 1232, 1242, 1248, 1254, and 1260.

J = Value is estimated.

U = Undetected; detection limit is provided.

ND = Not detected.

SQL = Sample Quantitation Limit

Sediment data for PCBs and lead are plotted in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.

TABLE 9A

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 1996 Pre-Remediation Tissue Data
Unremediated Wetland

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

| I. Site-Specific Concentration | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|
| | | PCBs mg/kg | Lead mg/kg |
| Sediment [a] | Max. | 3.8 | 888 |
| | Avg. | 0.642 | 234 |
| Small | | | |
| Mammals [b] | Max. | 0.25 | 11.5[c] |
| | Avg. | 0.123 | 4.5[c] |
| Earthworms [b] | Max. | ND | 2.4 |
| | Avg. | ND | 1.7 |
| Plants [b] | Max. | ND | 1.8 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.9 |
| Amphibians [b] | Max. | ND | 0.07 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.07 |

| II. Exposure Assumptions [c] | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Receptors: | Inverts | Plants | Percent Prey in Diet | | Soil | Site Foraging Frequency | Ingestion Rate (kg/day) | Body Weight (kg) |
| | | | Small Mammals | Herpeto- fauna | | | | |
| Shrew | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 1 | 0.037 | 0.021 |
| Woodcock | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Snake | 85% | 0% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 0.3 | 0.023 | 0.27 |

| III. Risk Calculations | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Receptors: | | Total Body Dose | | RTVs [d] | | Hazard Quotient | | |
| | | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead | |
| | | Shrew | Acute | 3.35E-01 | 8.21E+01 | 100 | 60 | 3.35E-03 |
| | Chronic | 5.66E-02 | 2.33E+01 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 8.84E-03 | 9.33E+00 | |
| Woodcock | Acute | 5.70E-03 | 1.40E+00 | 9.1 | 75 | 6.26E-04 | 1.86E-02 | |
| | Chronic | 9.63E-04 | 3.97E-01 | 5 | 6.25 | 1.93E-04 | 6.35E-02 | |
| Snake | Acute | 5.18E-03 | 1.20E+00 | 100 | 60 | 4.86E-05 | 1.98E-02 | |
| | Chronic | 9.78E-04 | 3.42E-01 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 1.53E-04 | 1.37E-01 | |

revised Table 9ABC_10ABC.xls site96

TABLE 9A

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 1996 Pre-Remediation Tissue Data
Unremediated Wetland

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

NOTES:

- [a] Average and maximum sediment concentrations were calculated from all sample locations that would remain after excavation (Table 8).
 - [b] Site specific PCB and lead tissue concentrations (wet weight).
 - [c] Lead was analyzed for in the femur bones of small mammals only.
 - [d] RTVs for PCBs are presented in the Draft Final Baseline Ecological and Public Health Risk Assessment (E.C.Jordan, 1992). Lead RTVs were selected from the following sources:
 - 60= LOAEL, based on mortality in guinea pigs (Sax,1984)
 - 2.5= LOAEL, based on increased fetal resorption;decreased fetal body weight (McClain and Becker, 1972).
 - 75= LOAEL, based on mortality in rock doves (Kendall and Scanlon, 1985).
 - 6.25= LOAEL, based on kidney pathology; learning deficiencies (Anders et al., 1982 and Dietz et al., 1979).
- ND = Not Detected
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value

TABLE 9B

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Post Remediation Tissue Data,
Unremediated Wetland

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

| I. Site-Specific Concentration | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|
| | | PCBs mg/kg | Lead mg/kg |
| Sediment [a] | Max. | 3.8 | 888 |
| | Avg. | 0.642 | 234 |
| Small Mammals [b] | Max. | ND | 1.9 |
| | Avg. | ND | 1 |
| Earthworms [b] | Max. | ND | 5.1 |
| | Avg. | ND | 3.1 |
| Plants [b] | Max. | ND | ND |
| | Avg. | ND | ND |

| II. Exposure Assumptions [c] | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|----|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Receptors: | Inverts | Plants | Percent Prey in Diet | | | Soil | Site Foraging Frequency | Ingestion Rate (kg/day) | Body Weight (kg) |
| | | | Small Mammals | Herpeto- fauna | | | | | |
| Shrew | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 1 | 0.037 | 0.021 | |
| Woodcock | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 | |
| Snake | 85% | 0% | 10% | 0% | 5% | 0.3 | 0.023 | 0.27 | |

| III. Risk Calculations | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Receptors: | | Total Body Dose | | RTVs [d] | | Hazard Quotient | |
| | | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead |
| | | Shrew | Acute | 3.35E-01 | 8.59E+01 | 100 | 60 |
| | Chronic | 5.66E-02 | 2.53E+01 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 8.84E-03 | 1.01E+01 |
| Woodcock | Acute | 5.70E-03 | 1.46E+00 | 9.1 | 75 | 6.26E-04 | 1.95E-02 |
| | Chronic | 9.63E-04 | 4.30E-01 | 5 | 6.25 | 1.93E-04 | 6.88E-02 |
| Snake | Acute | 4.86E-03 | 1.25E+00 | 100 | 60 | 4.86E-05 | 2.08E-02 |
| | Chronic | 8.20E-04 | 3.69E-01 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 1.28E-04 | 1.48E-01 |

TABLE 9B

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Post Remediation Tissue Data,
Unremediated Wetland

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

NOTES:

- [a] Average and maximum sediment concentrations were calculated from all sample locations that would remain after excavation. Source: Table 8, Sediment Toxicity Characterization Reprot (ABB-ES, 1995).
- [b] Site specific PCB and lead tissue concentrations (wet weight).
- [c] Lead was analyzed for in the femur bones of small mammals only.
- [d] RTVs for PCBs are presented in the Draft Final Baseline Ecological and Public Health Risk Assessment (E.C.Jordan, 1992). Lead RTVs were selected from the following sources:
 - 60= LOAEL, based on mortality in guinea pigs (Sax, 1994)
 - 2.5= LOAEL, based on increased fetal resorption; decreased fetal body weight (McClain and Becker, 1972).
 - 75= LOAEL, based on mortality in rock doves (Kendall and Scanlon, 1985).
 - 6.25= LOAEL, based on kidney pathology; learning deficiencies (Anders et al., 1982) and Dietz et al., 1979).

NA = Not Analysed

NC = Not Calculated

RTV = Reference Toxicity Value

TABLE 9C

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Post-Remediation Tissue Data
Remediated Wetland

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

| I. Site-Specific Concentration | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|
| | | PCBs mg/kg | Lead mg/kg |
| Sediment [a] | Max. | 0.51 | 180 |
| | Avg. | 0.188 | 79 |
| Small Mammals [b] | Max. | 0.11 | 1.3 |
| | Avg. | 0.096 | 1.3 |
| Earthworms [b] | Max. | 0.089 | 14 |
| | Avg. | 0.064 | 21 |
| Plants [b] | Max. | ND | ND |
| | Avg. | ND | ND |

| II. Exposure Assumptions [c] | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|----|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Receptors: | Inverts | Plants | Percent Prey in Diet | | | Soil | Site Foraging Frequency | Ingestion Rate (kg/day) | Body Weight (kg) |
| | | | Small Mammals | Herpeto- fauna | | | | | |
| Shrew | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 1 | 0.037 | 0.021 | |
| Woodcock | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 | |
| Snake | 85% | 0% | 10% | 0% | 5% | 0.3 | 0.023 | 0.27 | |

| III. Risk Calculations | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|------|-----------------|----------|
| Receptors: | | Total Body Dose | | RTVs [d] | | Hazard Quotient | |
| | | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead |
| Shrew | Acute | 1.78E-01 | 3.68E+01 | 100 | 60 | 1.78E-03 | 6.14E-01 |
| | Chronic | 1.12E-01 | 3.84E+01 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 1.76E-02 | 1.54E+01 |
| Woodcock | Acute | 3.03E-03 | 6.27E-01 | 9.1 | 75 | 3.33E-04 | 8.36E-03 |
| | Chronic | 1.91E-03 | 6.54E-01 | 5 | 6.25 | 3.83E-04 | 1.05E-01 |
| Snake | Acute | 2.87E-03 | 5.37E-01 | 100 | 60 | 2.87E-05 | 8.96E-03 |
| | Chronic | 1.88E-03 | 5.60E-01 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.93E-04 | 2.24E-01 |

TABLE 9C

**Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Post-Remediation Tissue Data
Remediated Wetland**

**North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York**

NOTES:

- [a] Average and maximum sediment concentrations were calculated from 3 sediment samples from 2002.
 - [b] Site specific PCB and lead tissue concentrations (wet weight).
 - [c] Lead was analyzed for in the femur bones of small mammals only.
 - [d] RTVs for PCBs are presented in the Draft Final Baseline Ecological and Public Health Risk Assessment (E.C.Jordan, 1992). Lead RTVs were selected from the following sources:
 - 60= LOAEL, based on mortality in guinea pigs (Sax, 1994)
 - 2.5= LOAEL, based on increased fetal resorption; decreased fetal body weight (McClain and Becker, 1972).
 - 75= LOAEL, based on mortality in rock doves (Kendall and Scanlon, 1985).
 - 6.25= LOAEL, based on kidney pathology; learning deficiencies (Anders et al., 1982) and Dietz et al., 1979).
- NA = Not Analysed
NC = Not Calculated
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value

TABLE 10A

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 1996 Reference Location Tissue Data

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

| I. Site-Specific Concentration | | PCBs mg/kg | Lead mg/kg |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|
| Sediment [a] | Max. | ND | 37.1 |
| | Avg. | ND | 24.4 |
| Small Mammals [b] | Max. | 0.12 | 5.5 |
| | Avg. | 0.11 | 2 |
| Earthworms [b] | Max. | ND | 1.2 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.61 |
| Plants [b] | Max. | ND | 0.35 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.3 |
| Amphibians [b] | Max. | ND | 0.28 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.28 |

| II. Exposure Assumptions | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Receptors: | Inverts | Percent Prey in Diet | | | Soil | Site Foraging Frequency | Ingestion Rate (kg/day) | Body Weight (kg) |
| | | Plants | Small Mammals | Herpeto- fauna | | | | |
| Shrew | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 1 | 0.037 | 0.021 |
| Woodcock | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Snake | 85% | 0% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 0.3 | 0.023 | 0.27 |

| III. Risk Calculations | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Receptors: | | Total Body Dose | | RTVs [c] | | Hazard Quotient | |
| | | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead |
| | | Shrew | Acute | NC | 5.13E+00 | 100 | 60 |
| | Chronic | NC | 3.11E+00 | 6.4 | 2.5 | NC | 1.24E+00 |
| Woodcock | Acute | NC | 8.73E-02 | 9.1 | 75 | NC | 1.16E-03 |
| | Chronic | NC | 5.30E-02 | 5 | 6.25 | NC | 8.48E-03 |
| Snake | Acute | 1.53E-04 | 8.09E-02 | 100 | 60 | 1.53E-06 | 1.35E-03 |
| | Chronic | 1.41E-04 | 4.73E-02 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.20E-05 | 1.89E-02 |

revised Table 9ABC_10ABC.xls ref-96

TABLE 10A

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 1996 Reference Location Tissue Data

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

NOTES:

- [a] Source: Table 4-5, Contamination Pathways Characterization Summary Report Contamination Pathways (RI/FS)(Blasland, Bouck & Lee, 1995).
 - [b] Reference location PCB and lead tissue concentrations (wet weight).
 - [c] RTVs for PCBs are presented in the Draft Final Baseline Ecological and Public Health Risk Assessment (E.C.Jordan, 1992). Lead RTVs were selected from the following sources:
 - 60= LOAEL, based on mortality in guinea pigs (Sax, 1994)
 - 2.5= LOAEL, based on increased fetal resorption; decreased fetal body weight (McClain and Becker, 1972).
 - 75= LOAEL, based on mortality in rock doves (Kendall and Scanlon, 1985).
 - 6.25= LOAEL, based on kidney pathology; learning deficiencies (Anders et al., 1982) and Dietz et al., 1979).
- ND = Not Detected
NC = Not Calculated; PCBs were not detected in sediment or prey items for these receptors.
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value

TABLE 10B

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Reference Location Sediment Data (and 1996 Tissue Data)

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

| I. Site-Specific Concentration | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|
| | | PCBs mg/kg | Lead mg/kg |
| Sediment [a] | Max. | ND | 30.8 |
| | Avg. | ND | 25.7 |
| Small Mammals [b] | Max. | 0.12 | 5.5 |
| | Avg. | 0.11 | 2 |
| Earthworms [b] | Max. | ND | 1.2 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.61 |
| Plants [b] | Max. | ND | 0.35 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.3 |
| Amphibians [b] | Max. | ND | 0.28 |
| | Avg. | ND | 0.28 |

| II. Exposure Assumptions | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Receptors: | Inverts | Percent Prey in Diet | | | Soil | Site Foraging Frequency | Ingestion Rate (kg/day) | Body Weight (kg) |
| | | Plants | Small Mammals | Herpeto-fauna | | | | |
| Shrew | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 1 | 0.037 | 0.021 |
| Woodcock | 85% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| Snake | 85% | 0% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 0.3 | 0.023 | 0.27 |

| III. Risk Calculations | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Receptors: | | Total Body Dose | | RTVs [c] | | Hazard Quotient | |
| | | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead | PCBs | Lead |
| | | Shrew | Acute | NC | 4.57E+00 | 100 | 60 |
| | Chronic | NC | 3.23E+00 | 6.4 | 2.5 | NC | 1.29E+00 |
| Woodcock | Acute | NC | 7.79E-02 | 9.1 | 75 | NC | 1.04E-03 |
| | Chronic | NC | 5.49E-02 | 5 | 6.25 | NC | 8.79E-03 |
| Snake | Acute | 1.53E-04 | 7.28E-02 | 100 | 60 | 1.53E-06 | 1.21E-03 |
| | Chronic | 1.41E-04 | 4.90E-02 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.20E-05 | 1.96E-02 |

TABLE 10B

Estimated Risk: Calculated with 2002 Reference Location Sediment Data (and 1996 Tissue Data)

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

NOTES:

[a] Average and maximum sediment concentrations from 3 reference area sediment samples collected in 2002 (Table 8)

[b] Reference location PCB and lead tissue concentrations (wet weight) from 1996.

[c] RTVs for PCBs are presented in the Draft Final Baseline Ecological and Public Health Risk Assessment (E.C.Jordan, 1992). Lead RTVs were selected from the following sources:

60= LOAEL, based on mortality in guinea pigs (Sax, 1994)

2.5= LOAEL, based on increased fetal resorption; decreased fetal body weight (McClain and Becker, 1972).

75= LOAEL, based on mortality in rock doves (Kendall and Scanlon, 1985).

6.25= LOAEL, based on kidney pathology; learning deficiencies (Anders et al., 1982) and Dietz et al., 1979).

NA = Not Analysed

NC = Not Calculated

RTV = Reference Toxicity Value

TABLE 10C

Summary of Estimated Risks to Wildlife - Unremediated and Remediated Wetlands and Reference Location, in 1996 and 2002

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

Hazard Quotients (HQs) - Unremediated Wetland [a]

| Receptors: | PCBs | | | | Lead | | | |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 1996 | | 2002 | | 1996 | | 2002 | |
| | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic |
| Shrew | 3.35E-03 | 8.84E-03 | 3.35E-03 | 8.84E-03 | 1.37E+00 | 9.33E+00 | 1.43E+00 | 1.01E+01 |
| Woodcock | 6.26E-04 | 1.93E-04 | 6.26E-04 | 1.93E-04 | 1.86E-02 | 6.35E-02 | 1.95E-02 | 6.88E-02 |
| Snake | 4.86E-05 | 1.53E-04 | 4.86E-05 | 1.28E-04 | 1.98E-02 | 1.37E-01 | 2.08E-02 | 1.48E-01 |

Hazard Quotients (HQs) - Remediated Wetland [b]

| Receptors: | PCBs | | | | Lead | | | |
|------------|-------|---------|----------|----------|-------|---------|----------|----------|
| | 1996 | | 2002 | | 1996 | | 2002 | |
| | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic |
| Shrew | NE | NE | 1.78E-03 | 1.76E-02 | NE | NE | 6.14E-01 | 1.54E+01 |
| Woodcock | NE | NE | 3.33E-04 | 3.83E-04 | NE | NE | 8.36E-03 | 1.05E-01 |
| Snake | NE | NE | 2.87E-05 | 2.93E-04 | NE | NE | 8.96E-03 | 2.24E-01 |

Hazard Quotients (HQs) - Reference Wetland [c]

| Receptors: | PCBs | | | | Lead | | | |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 1996 | | 2002 | | 1996 | | 2002 | |
| | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic | Acute | Chronic |
| Shrew | NC | NC | NC | NC | 8.55E-02 | 1.24E+00 | 7.62E-02 | 1.29E+00 |
| Woodcock | NC | NC | NC | NC | 1.16E-03 | 8.48E-03 | 1.04E-03 | 8.79E-03 |
| Snake | 1.53E-06 | 2.20E-05 | 1.53E-06 | 2.20E-05 | 1.35E-03 | 1.89E-02 | 1.21E-03 | 1.96E-02 |

[a] Hazard Quotients summarized from Table 9A (1996) and Table 9B (2002).

[b] Hazard Quotients summarized from Table 9C (2002 data). Because this area was remediated, baseline/pre-remediation data (1996) were not evaluated.

[c] Hazard Quotients summarized from Table 10A (pre-1996 sediment data, 1996 tissue data) and Table 10B (2002 sediment data, 1996 tissue data).

NE = Not evaluated.

NC = Not calculated. PCBs were not detected in sediment or prey items for these receptors.

TABLE 11

Summary of Sediment and Tissue Data - Unremediated, Remediated, and Reference Wetlands, in 1996 and 2002

North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, New York

| MEDIA/ORGANISM | PCB concentrations (mg/kg) [a] | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | UNREMIEDIATED | | | | REMIEDIATED | | | | REFERENCE | | | |
| | Pre-1996 [b] | | 2002 | | Pre-1996 [b] | | 2002 | | Pre-1996 | | 2002 | |
| | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max |
| sediment | 0.642 (11) | 3.8 (11) | -- | -- | 9.4 (7) | 25 (7) | 0.188 (3) | 0.51 (3) | ND (4) [c] | ND (4) [c] | ND (3) | ND (3) |
| plant | ND (5) | ND (5) | ND (5) | ND (5) | -- | -- | ND (5) | ND (5) | ND (5) | ND (5) | -- | -- |
| worm | ND (5) | ND (5) | ND (3) | ND (3) | -- | -- | 0.064 (3) | 0.089 (3) | ND (5) | ND (5) | -- | -- |
| frog | ND (1) | ND (1) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | ND (1) | ND (1) | -- | -- |
| smmammal (femurs only) | 0.123 (5) | 0.25 (5) | ND (6) | ND (6) | -- | -- | 0.096 (4) | 0.11 (4) | 0.112 (5) | 0.12 (5) | -- | -- |
| MEDIA/ORGANISM | Lead concentrations (mg/kg) [a] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | UNREMIEDIATED | | | | REMIEDIATED | | | | REFERENCE | | | |
| | Pre-1996 [b] | | 2002 | | Pre-1996 [b] | | 2002 | | Pre-1996 | | 2002 | |
| | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max | avg | max |
| sediment | 234 (11) | 888 (11) | -- | -- | 1040 (7) | 2670 (7) | 79 (3) | 180 (3) | 24.4 (4) [c] | 37.1 (4) [c] | 25.7 (3) | 30.8 (3) |
| plant | 0.9 (5) | 1.8 (5) | ND (5) | ND (5) | -- | -- | ND (5) | ND (5) | 0.28 (5) | 0.35 (5) | -- | -- |
| worm | 1.7 (5) | 2.4 (5) | 3.1 (3) | 5.1 (3) | -- | -- | 14 (3) | 21 (3) | 0.61 (5) | 1.2 (5) | -- | -- |
| frog | 0.07 (1) | 0.07 (1) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.28 (1) | 0.28 (1) | -- | -- |
| smmammal (femurs only) | 4.56 (5) | 11.5 (5) | 1 (6) | 1.9 (6) | -- | -- | 1.3 (4) | 1.3 (4) | 2 (5) | 5.5 (5) | -- | -- |

Notes:

[a] = Number of samples is indicated in parentheses. Sample numbers and information regarding compositing of samples are provided in Tables 1 through 8.

[b] = Pre-remediation sediment bioassay data from 1995 are presented (ABB-ES, 1995).

[c] = Data collected in 1993 by Blasland, Bouck & Lee. Source: Tables 4-2 and 4-5, Contamination Pathways Characterization Summary Report (RI/FS) (Blasland, Bouck & Lee, 1995).


-- = Not sampled.

ND = Not detected.

Sediment data for PCBs and lead are plotted in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.

ABB-ES, 1995. Sediment Toxicity Characterization Report; prepared for New York Department of Environmental Conservation. December.

FIGURES



LEGEND

| | |
|--|---------------|
| | Wetland |
| | Forested Land |
| | Open Land |



MAP LOCATION

SOURCE: DeLorme "Street Atlas USA" Version 3.0 for Windows, Copyright © 1995 CD-ROM.





FIGURE 1
SITE LOCATION MAP
NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NY
 Harding Lawson Associates



LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF SNAP TRAPS
- GENERAL LOCATIONS OF PLANT/INVERT SAMPLES
- WETLAND
- WETLAND BOUNDARY
- SD-602 Δ SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS


NOTE:
 THE BEGINNING AND END OF TRAP TRANSECTS, AND PLANT AND INVERTEBRATE SAMPLE LOCATIONS ARE IDENTIFIED IN THE FIELD WITH LABELED GRADE STAKES.

FIGURE 2
BIOLOGICAL SAMPLE LOCATIONS-NLODS WETLAND
NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

Harding Lawson Associates

P:\Projects\0300-PORT\Projects\0300\0300_0300.dwg Thu, 09 Sep 2005 11:52:59 AM

P:\Projects\CADD-PORT\Projects\56955F2a.DWG Thu, 08 Sep 2005 - 9:26am bgfurey



| | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|------|
| SD-505 | 25 | SD-512 | 2.38 |
| | 2,200 | | 216 |
| SD-506 | 14.6 | SD-515 | 5.4 |
| | 2,670 | | 279 |
| SD-510 | 17.9/14.6 | SD-518 | 0.73 |
| | 1600/1030 | | 111 |
| SD-511 | 1.5 | | |
| | 492 | | |

LEGEND

- SD-602 2002 POST-REMEDIATION SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS
- SD-501 PRE-REMEDIATION SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS (ABB-ES, 1995) (UNREMEDIATED AREA)
- SD-505 PRE-REMEDIATION SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS (ABB-ES, 1995) LOCATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN REMEDIATED
- WETLAND
- WETLAND BOUNDARY


ANALYTICAL DATA

- | | |
|--------|------|
| SD-501 | ND |
| | 16.4 |

 = CONCENTRATION OF PCB (ppm)
- | | |
|--|------|
| | |
| | 16.4 |


 = CONCENTRATION OF LEAD (ppm)
- ND = NOT DETECTED

TO SAMPLE LOCATION APPROXIMATELY 1400' SE OF SD-513



**FIGURE 2A
SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS
NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, N.Y.**

Harding Lawson Associates



LEGEND

ANALYTICAL DATA

| | |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| ND | CONCENTRATION OF PCB (ppm) |
| SD-REF1 | 30.8 |
| ND | CONCENTRATION OF LEAD (ppm) |

- 2002 REFERENCE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS
- PRE-1996 (1993) REFERENCE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATIONS (BLASLAND/BOUCK & LEE, 1995)
- ND NOT DETECTED
- J ESTIMATED RESULT
- APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF SNAP TRAPS
- GENERAL LOCATIONS OF PLANT/INVERT SAMPLES
- APPROXIMATE BORDER OF SCRUB/SHRUB WETLAND

NOTE:


THE BEGINNING AND END OF TRAP TRANSECTS, PLANT, AND INVERTEBRATE SAMPLE LOCATIONS ARE IDENTIFIED IN THE FIELD WITH LABELED GRADE STAKES.

NOT TO SCALE

FIGURE 3
SAMPLE LOCATIONS-REFERENCE WETLAND
NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NY
 Harding Lawson Associates

P:\Projects\G000-F001\Projects\100001\100001.dwg Thu, 03 Sep 2003 10:27am bgl/ajc (PLOT 1-00)

FIGURE 4
Trend Analysis - PCBs In Sediment
North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, NY




Notes:

Pre-remediation sediment-bioassay data from 1995 are used for 1996 for the Unremediated and Remediated wetlands (ABB-ES, 1995). Sediment reference data used for 1996 were collected in 1993 and are from Blasland, Bouck, and Lee, Inc. , 1995. 2002 values are post remediation. Plotted data are summarized in Tables 8 and 11.

(1) Unremediated wetland not sampled in 2002. 1996 values used for 2002 (values assumed to be unchanged).

FIGURE 5
Trend Analysis - Lead In Sediment
North Lawrence Oil Dump Site
North Lawrence, NY



Notes:

Pre-remediation sediment-bioassay data from 1995 are used for 1996 for the Unremediated and Remediated wetlands (ABB-ES, 1995). Sediment reference data used for 1996 were collected in 1993 and are from Blasland, Bouck, and Lee, Inc. 1995. 2002 values are post remediation. Plotted data are summarized in Tables 8 and 11.

(1) Unremediated wetland not sampled in 2002. 1996 values used for 2002 (values assumed to be unchanged).

APPENDIX A

FIELD NOTES

Projects (continued)

11/4/12 Adam A. Fogg + C. Lyman
ARRIVE, OVERCAST, BUSTY/WINDY 45-50°F
MET UP W/ JOHN NADEAU, SKINNED IN
CONTRACTOR'S LOG BOOK
UNPAKED + DROVE TO SITE

[1345] COUNTED OUT TRAPS, GOT
BEARINGS ON SITE. S. PART IS REPORTEDLY
PRETTY WET, SO MAY HAVE TO INCREASE DENSITY
OF TRAPS

[1545] FINISHED SETTING SNAP TRAPS
5 TRANSECTS T1 → T5
10 TRAPS PER TRANSECT

TRANSECT 1 Starts in close approximation (south of)
SD sam location SD-214

2 starts in close approximation (south of)
SD sam location SD 246

3 STARTS AT/NEAR
SD-509/419

4 STARTS AT/NEAR
SD-412

5 SD 409 + west along
wetland boundary

[1550] A FOGG + C. LYMAN LEAVING SITE
BACK TO FIELD TRAILER TO TRACK
DOWN DRY ICE SUPPLIER


②

MIKE COX - DEC CONSTRUCTION

IEM
RE: IEM trailer 389-5080

DEPOT ROAD + IEM ARE SISTER COMPANIES

Wallace Coles - 11:56 PM, H+S



①

③

11/5/96

07151 A. Figg + C. Lyman @ Field
trailer to prep. Off to check traps @ NLDDS.
Cool + H. rain (45°F)

07551 @ T1 #4 shrew short-tailed ✓
wt: 30g (-13g+)
Body: 90mm
Tail: 22mm
Hfoot: 14mm
Sex:

0804 @ T1-9, Wt4 fd. Mouse / Deer mouse
wt: 28g (-13g+)
Body: 80mm
Tail: 80
Hfoot: 20
Sex: Male.

0812 @ T1-10 Wt4 fat mouse / Deer mouse
wt: 25g (-13g+)
Body: 73mm
Tail: 78mm
Hfoot: 15mm
Sex: Male

④

11/4/96

NLODS

0825 @ T2-3, wht foot.

wt: 25g (-13g+)

Body: 85 mm

Tail: 78 mm

Hfoot: 20 mm

Sex: Male

0834 @ T3-6 (Vole) woodland

wt: 26g (-13g+)

Body: 80 mm

Tail: 28 mm

Hfoot: 17 mm

Sex: female

0851 @ T5-5 (wht foot mouse)

wt: 32g (-13g+)

Body: 90 mm

Tail: 90 mm

Hfoot: 20 mm

Sex: female

0858 @ T5-1 (Shrew)

wt 33g (-13g+)

Body: 95 mm

Tail: 25 mm

Hfoot: 15 mm

Sex: female

11/4/96

⑤

NLODS

0900

- Afogg / Clyman Mob back to field trailer after punning trap line.

- DJ: Photocopy maps, get ice.

0920

- Afogg / Clyman mobilize to get ice (for soil mammals) & find and set traps @ Ref. Site

1030

Afogg @ Side trailer, to locate Ref site calling R.M. to determine land owners @ around Ref site & directions on how to get to the Ref. location.

11035 C. LYMAN to site to ~~state~~ MARK Beginning AND END of Biological Sampling transects.

Labels on flagged stake include transect # and "beginning" and "END" (Biological Sampling transects).

⑥

11/5/96
NLODS

[1134] C. LYMAN finished marking
Biological sample transects
collected short tail shrew
@ TS-1 ⇒ 2nd shrew collected
today 11/5
wt: 33g (-13+)
Body: 85mm
Tail: 25mm
H. foot: 15mm
sex: female.

[1140] C. LYMAN MOBILIZE BACK TO
TRAILER to meet Afoffa.

[1200] A. FOGG & CLYMAN mobilize to the
crossroads to get LUNCH & p/u flagging
tapes!

[1300] Afoffa & CLYMAN return to site
trailer & p/u shovels for ^{Koepficus} Earthworms.
Sampling. NYDEC (Rich ~~Sagittary~~) has arrived
on site. Afoffa & Rich proceed on
site walk over, discussing best location
for this field effort. C. LYMAN
proceeds to transect #1 to dig

11/5/96
NLODS

⑦

(cont)

Earthworms.

[1410] A. FOGG and Rich K. walked transects
(primarily outer boundaries). Then took
off in Rich's car to look at old NLODS w/f
location on McAuslin Rd. Walked in + along
NE side - narrow band of forested wetland
type habitat - could run a couple of long
transects there. Walked back out + then
in along the S. side of the wetland. Also
could sample here. Rich worried about lead
contam from site.

[1500] Andrea & Rich back to field trailer
to look at lead data. Decreases as it goes to
McAuslin Rd but still there. Spoke w/ Glenn D
& he said if Rich felt we needed to use
the York reference site, we should.

[1530] Andrea & Rich off to search for the
site & talk to neighbors re: property owner of
the site. Drove around & found access off a
bridge (Rt 11) which was not posted. I
nearby house ~~was~~ - no one was home. Walked
back in - very wet & hummocky. At the bank
was a woody area - shrubs & saplings mostly.
Diff. from our wetland (NLODS) but should

⑧

11/5/90
NLODS

be able to get some small mammals then
 1630 Andrea + Rick back to field trailer
 to see how Chuck is doing. Found out that
 Fed Ex "drop off" is @ Plattsburgh Airport -
 75 miles away. Decided to keep samples
 on ice overnight. A. Fogg + G. Lyman
 offsite @ 1700

Andrea
 11-5-90
 J. J.

11/4/90
NLODS

⑨

0900 A. Fogg + G. Lyman onsite. Cool + mostly
 cloudy mid to upper 40's. Calm.

0717 A. Fogg + G. Lyman proceed to transects
 to check traps.

0718 @ T1-2 (least shrew?) ^{chd} - tail bicolor - dark tip - light underside.
 wt: 13g (-12g+) - MASKED shrew (IMMATURE)
 Body: 47mm
 tail: 35mm
 Hoot: 10mm
 Sex: Male

0731 @ T1-9 (with foot)
 wt: 24 (-12g+)
 Body: 80mm
 tail: 75mm
 Hoot: 20mm
 Sex: Male

0735 @ T1-10 (with foot) deer?
 wt: 32g (-12g+)
 Body: 85mm
 tail: 92mm
 Hoot: 18mm
 Sex: Male

⑩

10746 @ T2-3 (Whitfoot)

Wt: 28g (-12g)

Body: 80mm

Tail: 80mm

H.foot: 16mm

Sex: Male

0752 @ T2-2 (Whitfoot)

Wt: 26g (-12g)

Body: 70mm

Tail: 75mm

H.foot: 19mm

Sex: ~~Male~~ female

0759 @ T3-1 Whitfoot

Wt: 25 (-12g)

Body: 75mm

Tail: 72mm

H.foot: 18mm

Sex: female

0805 @ T3-6 (Male)

Wt: 23g (-12g)

Body: 67mm

Tail: 27mm

H.foot: 18mm

Sex: Male

11/6/96

NLODS

(Whitfoot)

11/6/96

NLODS

0823 @ T5-1 (Shrew)

Wt: 30g (-7g)

Body: 98mm

Tail: 20mm

H.foot: 15mm

Sex: female

0850 | have bagged + labeled all samples bagged up the ice + got bubble wrap.

Wt of earthworm samples:

T# 1 - W 11/5/96 46g

T# 2 - W 11/5/96 50g

1000 | finished chain of custody faxed to HES sealed up fed Ex cooler

1020 | At Refermu location

1205 | Finished flagging 5 transects R1 → R5. 10 traps each. Started w/ R1 @ W. I flagged when Rich K. was here yesterday. The next couple of ~~trap~~ places where we

NOODS
11/6/91

would have put transects in more
further west/wet meadowy

[1210] Along & CLYMAN Brook for
Lunch.

[1330] Along & Clyman Brook on site, to
collect EWS & plants.

[1415]
Transect 1 @ Trap #5 collected
Carex sp. from ponded area.

[1430]
Transect 2 @ Trap #6 collected
Carex sp.

[1440]
Transect 3 @ Trap #4 collected
Carex sp. C. Lyman said he
was having trouble finding worms

[1450]
Transect 4 @ Trap #3 collected
Carex sp.

[1500]
Transect 5 @ Trap #4 collected
Carex sp.

13

[1510] (50+g)
Transect 5 Worm (T5-W) is N ✓
of Transect 5 in a slightly more
upland area - many worms.
Could not find worms anywhere
around Transect 3 - too wet
prob. sp. found worms @ Transect
1 & 2 - closer to source of stream
and up gradient

[1515] A. Fogg to collect specimen just ✓
caught in T5 #1 another B. brevicauda
Short-tailed shrew

wt: 30g (-13T)
body 95mm
tail 20mm
h. foot 15mm
Sex male

[1542]
T5 (NW) 50+g (further
NW from T5-W taken above)

[1630]
T (NORTH) W 33g

[1645] Have seen many frogs in the
water collected adjacent to cleared

(14)

area. C. Lyman off w/ headlamp
and bag to catch - if successful, we
will substitute 1 frog for 1 worm
sample + composite 12 of the worm
samples that were collected - will need
to OK w/ Rich (?) $\$$; consider + see
if we can get one at the other area.

1700 C. Lyman got frogs
Ar. Egger + C. Lyman demob + off site
called Matt makes @ HES + spoke w/ him
he's composing - asked him to write
on worm samples as well as mammals

Anderson L. J.
11/10/96

(15)

11/9/96

0700 A. Foggy + C. Lyman on site

0705

T1 #4 Short-tailed shrew

wt 37.5 g (+T)

body 90 mm

tail 21 mm

h. foot 19 mm

Sex F

T1 #9 WFM

wt 30 g (+T)

body 87 mm

tail 91 mm

h. foot 21 mm

Sex M

T3 #5 missing

T4 #2 short-tailed shrew

wt 35 g (+T)

body 83 mm

tail 23 mm

h. foot 15 mm

Sex M

(16)

Several traps missing - blowing leaves, etc may have obscured them, or they may have been dragged off

[0750]

[124 wt = 13g]

[820] R2 #7 wfm still alive

wt 275g (+T) hf 19

body 82mm sex F

tail 72mm

[835] R3 #10 shrew bistortail

black tip
wt. 16.5g (+D) hf 10mm

body 52mm sex caecal

tail 39mm

[840] R3 #5 w footed mouse

wt 27g (+D) hf 20mm

body 73mm sex M

tail 73mm

[845] R5 #8 shrew

wt 17 hf = 11mm

body 55mm sex = M

tail 35mm

[850] R1 #8
wfm mouse

wt 29g (+T)

body 76mm

tail 32mm but cut off

hf 19mm

sex M

[925] A. Fogg + C. Lyman put out a dozen more traps - 16 around R1 #8 ~ around R2

back to vehicle to get stakes, shovel, baggies for plants & worms, + more traps to put out. C. Lyman digging worms. A. Fogg putting in stakes, collecting plant samples, + putting out more traps

[945] A. Fogg collected R2 - plant sample nr. R2-10, Stake @ R2-1

[955] A. Fogg collected R3 plant sample nr R3-1, Stake @ R3-1

[1020] A. Fogg collected P10 leopard frog @ R5-1, Stake @ R5-1

18

Collected plant sample @ R4 + R5

1025

Stake at R4-1

Collected plant sample @ R1
Went to help chuck w/ getting worm samples

Collected 3 Worm Samples

1230

went to lunch

1320

back at trailer to pack up stuff -

Feed Ex word come today, so John will freight to Plattsburgh Sample cooler

NOTES for cooler

- 10 plant samples

- T3 11/6/96 1440

- T4 11/6/96 1450

T5 11/6/96 1500

T2 11/6/96 1430

T1 11/6/96 1415

R1 11/7/96

R2

R3

R4

R5



19

Samples (cont'd)

11/6 T5-1

T1-4

T1-9

T4-2

R3-10

R5-8

R1-8

R3-2

R2-7

5m. mamm.

worms

R2 W
R3 W
T5(N) W
T5 W
T1 N W

1500

Called Chuck re: where gloves are.

Gloves @

395-1069-306 TRUCKING #

will be delivered by 4:30 pm

1530

finished packing sample cooler for John to take to drop off this pm.

215 McKinley Rd

Thor N. Lawrence NY 12967

pick-up #4

1600

Back to Ref. site to collect last 2 worm samples from R2 Transect R. 1.

1647/1700

Last 2 worm samples collected

A. Fogg + Clayton offsite

11/7/96

(20)

11/8/96 W. Fogg + C. Lyman onsite
heavy rain. Collected samples + traps
labeled, bagged + processing back in

10800 Field trailer?

T1-4 meadow vole
wt. 32g (+T) h. foot 15 mm
body 83 mm sex ?
tail 26 mm

T1-6 masked shrew
wt. 16.5g (+T)
body 55 mm
tail 38 mm
h. foot 10 mm
sex M

T1-9 wh-footed mouse
wt. 31g
body 73 mm
tail 78 mm
h. foot 19 mm
sex F

T2-8 wh footed mouse
wt 29.5g (+T) tail 76 mm sex M
body 76 mm h. foot 20 mm

(21)

T3-8 white footed mouse
wt 37g (+T)
body 82 mm
tail 79 mm
h. foot 19 mm
sex F

T3-4 white footed mouse (w/ fleas)
wt 32.5g
body 78 mm
tail 63 mm
h. foot 20 mm
sex F

T4-6 woodland meadow vole
wt 38g + T
body 97 mm
tail 32 mm
h. foot 18 mm
sex M

T5-1 woodland vole
wt 46.5g (+T) h. foot 20 mm
body 103 mm sex M
tail 35 mm

22

0805

call WW Video - not open yet
off to reference area

0905

done w/ ref-area. Remained
traps - will catalog spp -
when we get back to trailer
after we part from motel

1000

Back to field trailer. C. Lyman
packing up. A. Egg cataloging
rest complete of spp.

R2 - short tailed shrew

wt 34g (4T)

body 85 mm

tail 28 mm

hfoot 15 mm

sex F

R1-1 R1-1
R1 - white footed mouse

wt. 32g (4T)

body 85 mm

tail 65 mm

hfoot 18 mm

sex F

23

R1-2 white footed mouse

wt. 33g (4T)

body 80 mm

tail 76 mm

hfoot 20 mm

sex ?

1045 filling out chain of custody

will fax to Matt Mendel

packed coolers

+ denials.

1100 faxed CWC + called Matt

marks re: Sat. delivery

A. Egg + C. Lyman off-site

~~Amelia J. J.~~
11/8/20

11/4/02 North Lawrence Dump Site 56955-2

0500 leaving Portland, Maine Andrea Fogg Tice Commission

1200 arrived at site N. Lawrence ~~MA~~ ^{NYe}

1210 initial site drive through to establish sample area

1230 lunch

1310 arrived back @ site, unlocked gate w/ key. light rain, temp 50°F, light wind

1320 baiting sample positions on maps to set mouse traps.

Transect Names S = start
E = end

NLODS-1 through 5 - woods, same as sampled mammals

NLODS-6 through 10 - in remnant wetland

Total 50 traps - 10 per transect

1330 Walked woods looking for old stakes.

Found some + worked from them.

Found 80517, 50-9, 50513.

1400 Did line from 80-9 to 50-513 - built transect of NLODS-2 from that

Staked locations about 20 ft. apart.

Grade stakes at start + end + flagging orange pin flags at trap locations.

Using flagging as needed for visibility

NLODS

measured ~80 ft NE of NLODS-2 & started NLODS-1. It looked well aligned w/ old location.

1506 Set traps at transect NLODS-1 on way back out.

1530 TC back to Transect NLODS-2 to set traps there

AF scouted out transect 6 in remediated wetland.

met St. Hillaire - new property owner asked for a gate key (we said no). Wanted to know how long we'd be there, etc b/c he + his elderly father were hunting.

1645 Remediated wetland is very wet. Picked a few higher spots + used clustered traps using headlamps.

AF scouted out transect 7. ~~TC~~ TC finished baiting transect 6.

530 pm - AF/TC opposite

Andrea A. Fogg 11/4/02

11/5/02

NLODS

- 715 arrive onsite AFogg / T Cunningham
cloudy ~ 35°F no wind
Objectives - by noon
- check existing traps
- finish laying out transects
after noon
- dig for worms
- collect plants
- 800 Mike Cox DEL Construction
arrives onsite, told him re:
J. & Hillaire
- 845 M. Cox offsite. AF called Glenn D.
T.C. of to check traps @ 1 & 2
A.F. checked @ 6 & 7. Caught 1
STS @ . All other traps
at all other transects empty ^{TI - 1 missing}
_{TI-2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000}
- 900 AF/TC set Transect 3
- 930 Set Transect 4. Found flags of
previous transects. Verified w/
a couple of sed loco that were
still tagged w/ metal tags
- 1000 set transect 5. Found privi
flags & set accordingly
- 1105 AF to set transects 8, 9 & 10
TC - move transect 6, thank help out

NLODS

~~set~~ Transects in remediated wetland
are not linear. Generally clustered
on dry land, near up grad. edge

1220 AF/TC off site to lunch & ice/PB

1330 DE/TC to Ref. Loc. to get sed.

1400 Road to MTEL to get GPS

RUN INTO MULE KEEPPILLS

AF to REF LOC

FOUND BUDGE/TURNOFF

PLACED MARK IN - COULD NOT FIND ANY

STAKES/FLOWERS

DECIDED TO COLLECT 3 SAMPLES

100 FT. APART N 44.81245°

S.D.PREF - 1 W 074.54925°

2 W 44.81286°

3 W 074.56973°

N 44.81315°

W 074.56936°

S.D.PREF 1 FINE DR BK BCK ORANGE

1455 MULE FINE SALT

found remnant flagging

S.D.PREF 2 FINE DR BK BCK ORANGE

1505

S.D.PREF 3 - 1533 DE BK/BK shallow afternoon

15

guy is ~~the~~ underneath
J. 5. by day

MDDS

11/6/02

200 arrive onsite

1-2" snow 3:20 cloudy
scattered sleet / fr. rain / rain

started checking traps @ MDDS - 1

730 R. Koepficus showed up least w/
us checking traps

None @ Transects MDDS - 1 or - 2

T3-8, masked shrew

T4-8, wfm

T4-9 STS

T5-8 MS

T6-7 MS

1000 R. Koepficus off-site

measurements to take on sm. mammals

sp.

wt

hind foot (L)

body

tail

sex

will record in order collected

T2-3

11/5/02 sp STS

wt. ~~18.5g~~ 18.5g

hfoot 14mm

body 97mm

tail 24mm

sex female

11/6/02 T3-8 745 MS

sp MS

wt 3.5g

hfoot 10mm

body 54mm

tail 37mm

sex female

11/6/02 T4-8

0855 sp. wfm

wt 17.9

hfoot 20mm

body 84mm

tail 82mm

sex male

NUDDS

11/6/02 T5-8 (945)
 sp MS
 wt 4.0 g
 hf 12 mm
 body 47 mm
 tail 38 mm
 sex unkn

11/6/02 T8-7 ♂ (1100)
 sp MS
 wt 4.0 g
 hf 11 mm
 body 53 mm
 tail 42 mm
 sex female

11/6/02 T4-9 (901)
 sp STS
 wt 1.5 g
 hf 13 mm
 body 86 mm
 tail 19 mm
 sex male

11/6/02 T7-4 ♂
 sp STSM
 wt 2.8 g
 hf 18 mm
 body 70 mm
 tail 32 mm
 sex male

11/30/ Spoke w/ G. Dankos - gave him update

1200 helped TC finish bubble mapping
 red samples in prep for shipping
 tomorrow

1220 AF/TC off site for lunch

1320 AF/TC onsite

1400 TC COLLECTING EGNONS

AF COLLECTING PLANTS FROM
 UNKLE REMED WETLAND

1440 AF COLLECTING PLANTS FROM

UNKLE REMED WETLAND
 DECIDED TO PUT OUT MORE TRAPS

PUT OUT 25 MORE TRAPS IN UNKLE WETLAND

(5 per transect) collected w/ existing locations

PUT OUT 25 MORE TRAPS IN EDGE OF REMED

WETLAND.

1500-1700 TC COLLECTED 2 EGNONS

SAMPLES FROM REMED. WETLAND

1700-1800 RANSED OFF EGNONS, STRAIGHTENED

UP VEHICLE ETC.

1810 AF/TC OFF SITE

Andrea L. J. J.
 11/6/02

MLODS

11/7/02 AF/TC onsite 7:10 am
 cold (20°) + clear, H wind
 objective for this am
 — check traps
 — get plants
 — cooler ready to ship

[800] M. Cox arrived onsite

Did rounds of reamed traps up me.
 A couple missing. Most traps w/
 frozen food - will re-bait later when
 it warms up

[835] T10-7 STS MV
 frozen in trap so will thaw to
 remove

[845] T6-1 STS
 also frozen in trap

[830] AF calls labs - having trouble
 w/ STS called AD + left msg
 AF to get plants

[0900] AF finished plants from reamed
 areas

TC got 3 sm. mammals
 [1000] AF finished plants from unream. area
 TC on Transect 14
 down water frozen

Notes on Plants:

T6-1-S (905) had to range
 along/around transect 1 to get
 plants

Sm. mammal MV

T10-7 STS^{af} (805 AM)

wt. 30 g

hf 19 mm

body 120 mm head + neck bent so diff to
 gauge

tail 37 mm

sex female

T6-1 MV^{af} (815 AM)

wt 23 g

hf 18

body 85

tail 25

sex male

[1050] TC back - finished ✓ traps +
 GPSing transects all ID.
 Caught 5 sm. mammals

[1000] AF to get + bag ice to ship seeds

NUODS

[1145] cooler sealed CSC copy in file
will leave @ front desk
bench

[1300] back on site. called for msgs.
Gave Glenn up date
worm samples from

[130-245] T2-W

[3-430] T5-W

~~T1-W if possible~~

F6-W

[1640] back to van to clean up worm
samples

[1700] AF weighing / 11 Dry mammals
TC decont ~~to RE~~

T1-1 (0754)

sp SFS
wt 27 g
hf 14 mm
body 101 mm
tail 21 mm
sex male

T1-4 (0807)

sp SFS
wt 20 g
hf 14 mm
body 90 mm bent/hard to gauge
tail 20 mm
sex male

T2-10 (0822)

sp SFS
wt 28 g
hf 14 mm
body 78 mm
tail 21 mm
sex female

T2-8 (0829)

sp Wfm
wt 21 g
hf 21 mm
body 80 mm
tail 83 mm
sex male

11/7/02

MOOS

TS-2 (1023)

sp MS. (AP)

wt 12.5 g 3.5g

hf 11 mm

body 51 mm

tail 39 mm

sex female

TS-8 (1511)

sp mV

wt 21 g

hf 16 mm

body ~80 mm est - bent/hard to gauge

tail 20 mm

sex male

TS-3 (1515)

sp STS

wt 19 g

hf 15 mm

body 95 mm est bent/hard to gauge

tail 20 mm

sex female

✓ 800/ RE/TC diff site

Andrew
11/7/02

WOODS

11/8/02

- [720] AF/TC onsite
called in hours for this week
objectives
- collect traps
 - log spp.
 - 2 more worm samples
1 remediated
1 unremediated
 - site photos
 - descr. habitat - ^{representative} bag species
to ID
 - prep biota coolers for shipping

[740] AF off to collect traps
TC ~~stop~~ worm samples

[905] 1/2-1" snow in woods
AF got 8 sm. mammals from
woods (T1-T5) ^{2nd}
TC almost done w/ worm sample
in remed. area

[945] AF got 1 sm. mammal from
remed. wetland (T6-T10)
TC still working on worm sample -
slow going this a.m.

2161
sm. mammal, caught today:

T1-4 (805)

sp Wfm

wt 11g - half eaten.

hf 17mm

body 90mm estimate

tail 94mm

sex unk

hind legs still
intact

T2-9 (820)

sp Wfm

wt 20g

hf 19mm

body 85mm

tail 80mm

sex male

T3-7 (825)

sp MS

wt 3.0g

hf 11mm

body 50mm

tail 36mm

sex female

11/8/02

MOSS

T4-2a (2 traps at this
(845) loc - both full)

sp wfm
wt 14.5 g
hf 18 mm
body 50 mm
tail 24 mm
sex female

T4-2b
(845)

sp wfm
wt 22.5 g
hf 20 mm
body 82 mm hard to gauge - bent
tail 42 mm
sex male

T4-5 (890)

sp wfm
wt 16 g
hf 20 mm
body 85 mm hard to gauge - bent
tail 75 mm
sex male

MOSS

T4-6 (850)

sp MV
wt 28 g
hf 17 mm
body 103 mm
tail 34 mm
sex female

T4-8 852

sp MS
wt 3.5 g
hf 11 mm
body ~45 mm hard to gauge
tail 42 mm
sex unknown

T8-6 (920)

sp MV
wt 31 g
hf 20 ~~20~~ mm
body ~120 mm hard to gauge - bent
tail 33 mm
sex male

NWDS

Ambles - 8372-3851-8699 ^{ACEH}

- 8372-3851-8703 ^{PV/EW}

AFTC completed 1 more worm
sample @ Transect 1

back to van to pack samples

1500

AFTC offsite

~~Andrew J. Hoff~~
11/8/12

BIA

IBEN'S

moder
lulus

APPENDIX B

SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORDS

SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORD

Project: N. LAWRENCE OIL DAMP SUE
 Project Number: 56955-2
 Sample Location ID: SDREF-1

Site: REFERENCE LOCATION
 Date: 11-5-02
 Time: Start: 1445 End: 1455
 Signature of Sampler: AJ/TC

SURFACE WATER INFORMATION

TYPE OF SURFACE WATER:
 STREAM RIVER
 POND/LAKE SEEP

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:

ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL / 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

WATER DEPTH AT SAMPLE LOCATION _____ (ft)

DEPTH OF SAMPLE FROM TOP OF WATER _____ (ft)

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:

NONE, GRAB INTO BOTTLE
 BOMB SAMPLER
 PUMP

VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS OBTAINED? YES, SEE FLOW MEASUREMENT DATA RECORD

TEMPERATURE _____ Deg. C. SPEC. COND. _____ umhos/cm pH _____ Units DISS. O₂ _____ ppm

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED

DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLE LOCATION SKETCH:

YES
 NO

METHOD USED:

WINKLER
 PROBE

SEDIMENT INFORMATION

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:

GRAVITY CORER
 S.S. SPLIT SPOON
 DREDGE
 HAND SPOON
 ALUMINUM PANS
 SS BUCKET
 SS BOWL

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:

ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL / 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

DEPTH OF SEDIMENT SAMPLE 0-0.5 (ft)

TYPE OF SAMPLE COLLECTED:

DISCRETE
 COMPOSITE

SEDIMENT TYPE:

CLAY
 SAND
 ORGANIC MUD / FINE SILT
 GRAVEL

SAMPLE OBSERVATIONS:

ODOR _____
 COLOR dk br / blk

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED

DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLES COLLECTED

| ✓ IF REQUIRED AT THIS LOCATION | MATRIX | | ✓ IF PRESERVED WITH ACID-BASE | VOLUME REQUIRED | ✓ IF SAMPLE COLLECTED | SAMPLE BOTTLE IDS |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | SURFACE WATER | SEDIMENT | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SVOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PEST/PCB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>4 DL</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INORGANICS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TPH | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TCLP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> LEAD | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>2 DL</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |

NOTES/SKETCH

N 44.81245°
 W 074.56925°

SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORD

Project: N. LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 Project Number: 56955-2
 Sample Location ID: S P R E F - 2

Site: REFERENCE LOCATION
 Date: 11-8-02
 Time: Start: 1505 End: 1515
 Signature of Sampler: AJ/TC

SURFACE WATER INFORMATION

TYPE OF SURFACE WATER:
 STREAM RIVER
 POND/LAKE SEEP

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

WATER DEPTH AT SAMPLE LOCATION _____ (ft)

DEPTH OF SAMPLE FROM TOP OF WATER _____ (ft)

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 NONE, GRAB INTO BOTTLE
 BOMB SAMPLER
 PUMP

VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS OBTAINED? YES, SEE FLOW MEASUREMENT DATA RECORD

TEMPERATURE _____ Deg. C. SPEC. COND. _____ µmhos/cm pH _____ Units DISS. O₂ _____ ppm

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLE LOCATION SKETCH: YES NO
 METHOD USED: WINKLER PROBE

SEDIMENT INFORMATION

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 GRAVITY CORER
 S.S. SPLIT SPOON
 DREDGE
 HAND SPOON
 ALUMINUM PANS
 SS BUCKET
 SS B.W.

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

DEPTH OF SEDIMENT SAMPLE 0-0.5 (ft)

TYPE OF SAMPLE COLLECTED:
 DISCRETE
 COMPOSITE

SEDIMENT TYPE:
 CLAY
 SAND
 ORGANIC
 GRAVEL

SAMPLE OBSERVATIONS:
 ODOR _____
 COLOR dk brown

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLES COLLECTED

| ✓ IF REQUIRED AT THIS LOCATION | MATRIX | | ✓ IF PRESERVED WITH ACID-BASE | VOLUME REQUIRED | ✓ IF SAMPLE COLLECTED | SAMPLE BOTTLE IDS |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | SURFACE WATER | SEDIMENT | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SVOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PEST/PCB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>40 40 402</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INORGANICS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TPH | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TCLP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Lead</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>2 02</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

NOTES/SKETCH

N 44.81 286
 W 074.56973

SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORD

Project: N. LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 Project Number: 56955-2
 Sample Location ID: 50 REF-3

Site: REFERENCE LOCATION
 Date: 11-9-82
 Time: Start: 1525 End: 1533
 Signature of Sampler: AJ/TC

SURFACE WATER INFORMATION

TYPE OF SURFACE WATER:
 STREAM RIVER
 POND/LAKE SEEP

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

WATER DEPTH AT SAMPLE LOCATION _____ (ft)

DEPTH OF SAMPLE FROM TOP OF WATER _____ (ft)

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 NONE, GRAB INTO BOTTLE
 BOMB SAMPLER
 PUMP

VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS OBTAINED? YES, SEE FLOW MEASUREMENT DATA RECORD

TEMPERATURE _____ Deg. C. SPEC. COND. _____ μmhos/cm pH _____ Units DISS. O₂ _____ ppm

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLE LOCATION SKETCH: YES NO
 METHOD USED: WINKLER PROBE

SEDIMENT INFORMATION

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 GRAVITY CORER
 S.S. SPLIT SPOON
 DREDGE
 HAND SPOON
 ALUMINUM PANS
 SS BUCKET
 SS BOWL

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

DEPTH OF SEDIMENT SAMPLE 0-0.5 (ft)

TYPE OF SAMPLE COLLECTED:
 DISCRETE
 COMPOSITE

SEDIMENT TYPE:
 CLAY
 SAND
 ORGANIC
 GRAVEL

SAMPLE OBSERVATIONS:
 ODOR
 COLOR dk br / blk

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

*shallow A horizon
grey silty clay lens*

SAMPLES COLLECTED

| ✓ IF REQUIRED AT THIS LOCATION | MATRIX | | ✓ IF PRESERVED WITH ACID-BASE | VOLUME REQUIRED | ✓ IF SAMPLE COLLECTED | SAMPLE BOTTLE IDS |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | SURFACE WATER | SEDIMENT | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SVOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PEST/PCB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>4.02</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INORGANICS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TPH | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TCLP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>2.02</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |

NOTES/SKETCH

N 44.81315
 W 074.56936

SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORD

Project: N. LOWMEYER OIL DUMP SITE
 Project Number: 799 56955-2
 Sample Location ID: SD-601

Site: REMEDIED WETLAND
 Date: 11-5-02
 Time: Start: 1600 End: 1635
 Signature of Sampler: AJTC

* also SD-601 MS & SD-601 MSD

SURFACE WATER INFORMATION

TYPE OF SURFACE WATER:
 STREAM RIVER
 POND/LAKE SEEP
WETLAND

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL / 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

WATER DEPTH AT SAMPLE LOCATION < 0.5 (ft)

DEPTH OF SAMPLE FROM TOP OF WATER (ft) _____
 EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 NONE, GRAB INTO BOTTLE
 BOMB SAMPLER
 PUMP

VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS OBTAINED? YES, SEE FLOW MEASUREMENT DATA RECORD

TEMPERATURE _____ Deg. C. SPEC. COND. _____ µmhos/cm pH _____ Units DISS. O₂ _____ ppm

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLE LOCATION SKETCH: YES NO
 METHOD USED: WINKLER PROBE

SEDIMENT INFORMATION

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 GRAVITY CORER
 S.S. SPLIT SPOON
 DREDGE
 HAND SPOON
 ALUMINUM PANS
 SS BUCKET
SS Bowl

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL / 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

DEPTH OF SEDIMENT SAMPLE 0-0.5 (ft)

TYPE OF SAMPLE COLLECTED:
 DISCRETE
 COMPOSITE

SEDIMENT TYPE:
 CLAY
 SAND coarse sandy silt
 ORGANIC with small gravel & trace organics
 GRAVEL

SAMPLE OBSERVATIONS:
 ODOR
 COLOR red grey-brown

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: AD 50-601

also SD-601 MS & SD-601 MSD
~~AD 50-601 MS~~

SAMPLES COLLECTED

| ✓ IF REQUIRED AT THIS LOCATION | MATRIX | | ✓ IF PRESERVED WITH ACID-BASE | VOLUME REQUIRED | ✓ IF SAMPLE COLLECTED | SAMPLE BOTTLE IDS |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | SURFACE WATER | SEDIMENT | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SVOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PEST/PCB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>20 4 oz</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INORGANICS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TPH | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TCLP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>2 oz</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Lead</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |

NOTES/SKETCH

far end of remediated wetland
 N. 74.7996S
 W 0 74.64570°

SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORD

Project: N LAWRENCE CUMMINS SITE
 Project Number: 50995-2
 Sample Location ID: SD-602

Site: REMEDIATED WETLAND
 Date: 11-5-02
 Time: Start: 1700 End: 1710
 Signature of Sampler: AJ/TC

SURFACE WATER INFORMATION

TYPE OF SURFACE WATER:
 STREAM RIVER
 POND/LAKE SEEP

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION

WATER DEPTH AT SAMPLE LOCATION 0.75 (ft) WETLAND

DEPTH OF SAMPLE FROM TOP OF WATER _____ (ft)
 EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 NONE, GRAB INTO BOTTLE
 BOMB SAMPLER
 PUMP

HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS OBTAINED? YES, SEE FLOW MEASUREMENT DATA RECORD

TEMPERATURE _____ Deg. C. SPEC. COND. _____ µmhos/cm pH _____ Units DISS. O₂ _____ ppm

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLE LOCATION SKETCH: YES NO
 METHOD USED: WINKLER PROBE

SEDIMENT INFORMATION

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 GRAVITY CORER
 S.S. SPLIT SPOON
 DREDGE
 HAND SPOON
 ALUMINUM PANS
 SS BUCKET
SS BOWL

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:
 ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

DEPTH OF SEDIMENT SAMPLE 0-0.5 (ft)

TYPE OF SAMPLE COLLECTED:
 DISCRETE
 COMPOSITE

SEDIMENT TYPE:
 CLAY
 SAND *Coarse sandy silt*
 ORGANIC *w/ sm. gravel r trace organic*
 GRAVEL

SAMPLE OBSERVATIONS:
 ODOR
 COLOR med gray/brown

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLES COLLECTED

| ✓ IF REQUIRED AT THIS LOCATION | MATRIX | | ✓ IF PRESERVED WITH ACID-BASE | VOLUME REQUIRED | ✓ IF SAMPLE COLLECTED | SAMPLE BOTTLE IDS |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | SURFACE WATER | SEDIMENT | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SVOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PEST/PCB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>4 oz</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INORGANICS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TPH | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TCLP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lead | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>2 oz</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |

NOTES/SKETCH

Middle of remediated wetland
 N 44 7983
 W 074.64544°

SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLE FIELD DATA RECORD

Project: NLAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 Project Number: 56995-2
 Sample Location ID: 50-603

Site: REMEDIATED WETLAND
 Date: 11-5-02
 Time: Start: 1720 End: 1730
 Signature of Sampler: AG/TC

SURFACE WATER INFORMATION

TYPE OF SURFACE WATER:
 STREAM RIVER
 POND/LAKE SEEP

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:

ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

WATER DEPTH AT SAMPLE LOCATION 0.5 (ft) WETLAND

DEPTH OF SAMPLE FROM TOP OF WATER _____ (ft)

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 NONE, GRAB INTO BOTTLE
 BOMB SAMPLER
 PUMP

VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS OBTAINED? YES, SEE FLOW MEASUREMENT DATA RECORD

TEMPERATURE _____ Deg. C. SPEC. COND. _____ μ mhos/cm pH _____ Units DISS. O₂ _____ ppm

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: _____

SAMPLE LOCATION SKETCH: YES WINKLER
 NO PROBE

SEDIMENT INFORMATION

EQUIPMENT USED FOR COLLECTION:
 GRAVITY CORER
 S.S. SPLIT SPOON
 DREDGE
 HAND SPOON
 ALUMINUM PANS
 55 BUCKET
WSS PUMP

DECONTAMINATION FLUIDS USED:

ALL USED
 ETHYL ALCOHOL
 25% METHANOL/ 75% ASTM TYPE II WATER
 DEIONIZED WATER
 LIQUINOX SOLUTION
 HEXANE
 HNO₃ SOLUTION
 POTABLE WATER
 NONE

DEPTH OF SEDIMENT SAMPLE 0.05 (ft)

TYPE OF SAMPLE COLLECTED:
 DISCRETE
 COMPOSITE

SEDIMENT TYPE:

CLAY
 SAND coarse sandy
 ORGANIC 5 ft w/ sm. gravel
 GRAVEL trace organics

SAMPLE OBSERVATIONS:
 ODOR
 COLOR med grayish brown

FIELD DUPLICATE COLLECTED
 DUPLICATE ID: 50-60304A

SAMPLES COLLECTED

| ✓ IF REQUIRED AT THIS LOCATION | MATRIX | | ✓ IF PRESERVED WITH ACID-BASE | VOLUME REQUIRED | ✓ IF SAMPLE COLLECTED | SAMPLE BOTTLE IDS |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | SURFACE WATER | SEDIMENT | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SVOC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PEST/PCB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>4 oz</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INORGANICS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TPH | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TCLP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lead | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>2 oz</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | ____/____/____ |

NOTES/SKETCH

near (east) end of remediated wetland
N 44. 80013
W 074. 64515

APPENDIX C

ANALYTICAL RESULTS/DATA

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 SEDIMENT SAMPLES FOR PCBs AND LEAD
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| | | Rinsate Blank | Remediated Wetland | | | | Reference Location | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------|
| Sample ID: | SB-NLODS11502 | SD-601 | SD-602 | SD-603 | SD-603 DUP | SDREF1 | SDREF2 | SDREF3 | |
| Sample Date: | 11/5/02 | 11/5/02 | 11/5/02 | 11/5/02 | 11/5/02 | 11/5/02 | 11/5/02 | 11/5/02 | |
| Matrix: | Aqueous (µg/L) | Sediment | Sediment | Sediment | Sediment | Sediment | Sediment | Sediment | |
| Method | Parameter | | | | | | | | |
| SW6010-S | Lead | | 34.9 | 22.7 | 166 | 193 | 30.8 | 22.7 | 23.5 |
| SW6010-W | Lead | 1.4 U | | | | | | | |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1016 | 1 U | 49 U | 56 U | 77 U | 79 U | 200 U | 100 U | 110 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1221 | 2 U | 100 U | 110 U | 160 U | 160 U | 410 U | 210 U | 220 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1232 | 1 U | 49 U | 56 U | 77 U | 79 U | 200 U | 100 U | 110 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1242 | 1 U | 49 U | 56 U | 77 U | 79 U | 200 U | 100 U | 110 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1248 | 1 U | 49 U | 56 U | 77 U | 79 U | 200 U | 100 U | 110 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1254 | 1 U | 49 U | 56 U | 340 | 180 | 200 U | 100 U | 110 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1260 | 1 U | 49 U | 56 U | 330 J | 170 | 200 U | 100 U | 110 U |
| D2216 | Percent Moisture | | 32.9 | 40.8 | 57.1 | 58.4 | 83.6 | 67.6 | 69.9 |

Notes:

Units: PCBs µg/kg

Lead mg/kg

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit.

J = result is estimated

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 SMALL MAMMAL FEMUR LEAD ANALYSIS
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| SMALL MAMMAL FEMUR CONCENTRATIONS - UNREMEDIED WETLAND | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sample ID: | BIO-701 FEMURS | BIO-702 FEMURS | BIO-703 FEMURS | BIO-704 FEMURS | BIO-705 FEMURS | BIO-706 FEMURS |
| Sample Date: | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 |
| Lab ID: | 830886-011 | 830886-012 | 830886-013 | 830886-014 | 830886-015 | 830886-016 |
| Method | | | | | | |
| SW6010B Lead | 1.9 J | 0.7 J | 0.32 J | 0.73 U | 1.4 J | 0.84 J |

Notes:

Units = mg/kg

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

J = result is estimated

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 SMALL MAMMAL FEMUR LEAD ANALYSIS
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| SMALL MAMMAL FEMUR CONCENTRATIONS - REMEDIATED WETLAND | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Sample ID: | BIO-707 FEMURS | BIO-708 FEMURS | BIO-709 FEMURS | BIO-710 FEMURS |
| Sample Date: | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 |
| Lab ID: | 830886-017 | 830886-018 | 830886-019 | 830886-020 |
| Method SW6010B Lead | 5.3 U | 0.76 U | 0.92 J | 1.3 J |

Notes:

Units = mg/kg

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

J = result is estimated

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 SMALL MAMMAL
 PCB ANALYSIS
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| UNREMIEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sample ID: | | BIO-701 WHOLE | BIO-702 WHOLE | BIO-703 WHOLE | BIO-704 WHOLE | BIO-705 WHOLE | BIO-706 WHOLE |
| Sample Date: | | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 |
| Lab ID: | | 830886-001 | 830886-002 | 830886-003 | 830886-004 | 830886-005 | 830886-006 |
| Method | Parameter | | | | | | |
| EnChem* | Lipid (%) | 2.92 | 3.6 | 4 | 6.04 | 5.12 | 2.09 |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1016 | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1221 | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1232 | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1242 | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1248 | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1254 | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1260 | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Total PCBs | 83 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 120 U | 50 U |
| | Percent Moisture | 73.3 | 75.7 | 79.2 | 78.2 | 57.4 | 79.9 |

Notes:

Units = µg/kg wet weight

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

J = result is estimated

* = Percent Lipid value determined by an ENCHEM laboratory method.

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 SMALL MAMMAL
 PCB ANALYSIS
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| REMEDIATED WETLAND | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | BIO-707 WHOLE | BIO-708 WHOLE | BIO-709 WHOLE | BIO-710 WHOLE |
| Sample ID: | | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 |
| Sample Date: | | 830886-007 | 830886-008 | 830886-009 | 830886-010 |
| Lab ID: | | | | | |
| Method | Parameter | | | | |
| EnChem* | Lipid (%) | 7.02 | 3.45 | 2.82 | 3.96 |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1016 | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 91 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1221 | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 91 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1232 | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 91 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1242 | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 91 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1248 | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 91 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1254 | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 91 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1260 | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 110 |
| SW8082 | Total PCBs | 550 U | 50 U | 50 U | 110 |
| | Percent Moisture | 43.3 | 76.4 | 80.7 | 66.7 |

Notes:

Units = µg/kg wet weight

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

J = result is estimated

* = Percent Lipid value determined by an ENCHEM laboratory method.

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 BIOTA SAMPLES FOR LEAD AND PCBs
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| UNREMIEDIATED PLANTS | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Sample ID: | | BIO-711 | BIO-712 | BIO-713 | BIO-714 | BIO-715 |
| Sample Date: | | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 |
| Lab ID: | | 828296-001 | 828296-002 | 828296-003 | 828296-004 | 828296-005 |
| Method | Parameter | | | | | |
| SW6010B | Lead | 1 U | 0.99 U | 1 U | 1 U | 1 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1016 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1221 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1232 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1242 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1248 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1254 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1260 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Total PCBs | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| | Percent Moisture | 70.4 | 65.3 | 77.7 | 61.8 | 62.9 |
| EnChem* | Lipid | 0.54 | 0.72 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.79 |

Notes:

Units: PCBs µg/kg; Lead mg/kg

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

* = Percent Lipid value determined by an ENCHEM laboratory method.

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 BIOTA SAMPLES FOR LEAD AND PCBs
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| REMEDIATED PLANTS | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Sample ID: | | BIO-716 | BIO-717 | BIO-718 | BIO-719 | BIO-720 |
| Sample Date: | | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 |
| Lab ID: | | 828296-006 | 828296-007 | 828296-008 | 828296-009 | 828296-010 |
| Method | Parameter | | | | | |
| SW6010B | Lead | 1 U | 0.94 U | 0.95 U | 0.98 U | 0.92 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1016 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1221 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1232 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1242 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1248 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1254 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1260 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Total PCBs | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| | Percent Moisture | 64 | 65.2 | 52 | 59.3 | 69.3 |
| EnChem* | Lipid | 0.47 | 0.3 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.45 |

Notes:

Units: PCBs µg/kg; Lead mg/kg

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

* = Percent Lipid value determined by an ENCHEM laboratory method.

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 BIOTA SAMPLES FOR LEAD AND PCBs
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| UNREMIEDIATED WORMS | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Sample ID: BIO-721 | BIO-722 | BIO-723 |
| | | Sample Date: 11/8/02 | 11/7/02 | 11/7/02 |
| | | Lab ID: 828296-011 | 828296-012 | 828296-013 |
| Method | Parameter | | | |
| SW6010B | Lead | 2.6 | 5.1 | 1.7 |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1016 | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1221 | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1232 | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1242 | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1248 | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1254 | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1260 | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| SW8082 | Total PCBs | NA ¹ | 50 U | 56 U |
| | Percent Moisture | NA ¹ | 81.1 | 76.6 |
| EnChem* | Lipid | NA ¹ | 1.47 | 1.72 |

Notes:

Units: PCBs µg/kg; Lead mg/kg

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

NA¹ = not analyzed due to insufficient sample volume.

* = Percent Lipid value determined by an ENCHEM laboratory method.

ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY
 BIOTA SAMPLES FOR LEAD AND PCBs
 NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
 NORTH LAWRENCE, NY

| REMEDIATED WORMS | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | BIO-724 | BIO-725 | BIO-726 |
| | | 11/8/02 | 11/6/02 | 11/6/02 |
| | | 828296-014 | 828296-015 | 828296-016 |
| Method | Parameter | | | |
| SW6010B | Lead | 21 | 4.6 | 17 |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1016 | 75 U | 82 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1221 | 75 U | 82 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1232 | 75 U | 82 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1242 | 75 U | 82 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1248 | 75 U | 82 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1254 | 75 U | 82 U | 50 U |
| SW8082 | Aroclor 1260 | 89 | 82 U | 69 |
| SW8082 | Total PCBs | 89 | 82 U | 69 |
| | Percent Moisture | 76.3 | 79.2 | 82.6 |
| EnChem* | Lipid | 2.24 | 1.9 | 1.74 |

Notes:

Units: PCBs µg/kg; Lead mg/kg

U = not detected at reported quantitation limit

* = Percent Lipid value determined by an ENCHEM laboratory method.

APPENDIX D

DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT FOR 2002 DATA

**DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT
2002 SAMPLING EVENT
NORTH LAWRENCE OIL DUMP SITE
NORTH LAWRENCE, NEW YORK**

Introduction:

Sediment and biota samples were collected at the North Lawrence Oil Dump Site in November 2002 and submitted for off-site laboratory analyses. Biota samples were analyzed by ENCHEM of Madison, Wisconsin for PCBs (EPA 8082), lead (EPA 6010B), and lipids (ENCHEM lab procedure). Sediment samples were analyzed by H2M Labs, Inc. of Melville, New York for PCBs (EPA 8082), lead (EPA 6010B), and percent moisture (EPA 160.3M). Deliverables for the off-site laboratory analyses included a Category B deliverable as defined in the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Analytical Services Protocols (NYSDEC, 1995).

A project chemist review was completed based on NYSDEC Division of Environmental Remediation guidance for Data Usability Summary Reports (NYSDEC, 1997). USEPA Region II validation guidelines were also used as a reference for QC result evaluations when completing chemist reviews (USEPA, 1992; USEPA, 2002). The project chemist review included evaluations for data package completeness, holding times, QC data (blanks, instrument calibrations, duplicates, surrogate recovery, and spike recovery), data transcription, electronic data reporting, calculations, and data qualification. With the exception of the items discussed below, results are interpreted to be usable as reported by the laboratory. The following qualifiers are used in the final data presentation.

U = target analyte is not detected at the reported detection limit
J = concentration is estimated

Biota results are reported as wet weight. However, percent moisture determinations were completed on the biota samples (with the exception of the mice femurs) and percent moisture data are reported.

LEAD Analysis

In accordance with inorganics reporting procedures, analytes detected between the method detection limit and the laboratory quantitation limit are reported with a B qualifier. These B qualifiers were changed to a J during the data review.

Spike Results

One low concentration tissue spike (0.35 mg/kg) and one high concentration tissue spike (100 mg/kg) for lead was analyzed by ENCHEM Labs. The low end spike had a percent recovery above the laboratory limits at 191%. The high end spike has a percent recovery of 90%. Lead concentrations detected in worm samples ranged from 2.6 mg/kg to 21 mg/kg. Professional judgment was used not to qualify sample detections based on the

high percent recovery of the low-end LCS; however, sample results may be potentially biased high.

PCB Analysis

There was insufficient sample mass to analyze biota (worm) sample 828296-011 (T1-W) for PCBs; therefore, no PCB data are available for this sample.

Aroclor 1260 in sediment sample SD603 was qualified (P) by the laboratory due to calculated concentrations between the two GC columns was greater than 25%. USEPA Region II validation guidelines state that aroclor concentrations with percent differences between the two analytical columns between 26% and 70% are qualified estimated (J). The (P) qualifier was removed in the final data set, and results were qualified (J) indicating the result is considered estimated.

Reference:

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), 1995. "Analytical Services Protocols"; 10/95 Edition; October 1995.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), 1997. "Guidance for the Development of Data Usability Reports"; Division of Environmental Remediation; September 1997.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1992. "Evaluation of Metals Data for the Contract Laboratory Program based on SOW 3/90"; USEPA Region II; HW-2; Revision 11; January 1992.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2002. "SW-846 Method 8082"; USEPA Region II; HW-23B; Revision 1.0; May 2002.