

**FORMER DRY WELL INVESTIGATION
SOUTH OF PLANT NO. 3
AREA OF CONCERN 20**

**Naval Weapons Industrial
Reserve Plant (NWIRP)**

Bethpage, New York



**Northern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Contract Number N62472-90-D-1298
Contract Task Order 0283**

January 2000



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared as part of the Free Product Recovery Investigation for Contract Task Order (CTO) No. 283 by Tetra Tech NUS, Inc. (TtNUS) for the Northern Division (NORTHDIV) Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) under the Comprehensive Long-Term Environmental Action – Navy (CLEAN) Contract Number N62472-90-D-1298. The purpose of this report is to determine if metal concentrations present in subsurface soils at the location of a former dry well (Area of Concern (AOC) 20) south of Plant No. 3 are in excess of regulatory standards at the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) Bethpage, New York. This letter report presents the results of supplemental subsurface soil testing at this location.

AOC 20 consists of several dry wells investigated by Northrop Grumman as part of an overall environmental evaluation of Plant No. 3 in 1997 and 1998. One of the former dry wells is located south of Plant No. 3 near AOC 22 – Former Underground Storage Tanks and soils in the area were found to contain elevated concentrations of mercury, lead, and zinc. This dry well has not been active in recent operations (10 years) and is believed by plant personnel to have been out of operation much longer. The dry well structure is not present at the site and the only evidence of this location is historic utility drawings and the presence of gravel in some of the borings.

2.0 FIELD PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND RATIONALE

The objective of this investigation is to confirm the presence of RCRA metals in soils at a former AOC 20 dry well south of Plant No. 3; and if present, to delineate the approximate extent of contamination. A subsurface soil investigation was conducted in June 1999.


Field activities are presented by task in the following paragraphs. All field activities were conducted in accordance with procedures referenced in TtNUS Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), and in accordance with the health and safety procedures established in the site HASP.

2.1 Soil Borings

The location of the former dry well was identified in the field based on historic plant utility drawings. Four soil borings were then installed using hollow-stem auguring drilling techniques. The soil borings were drilled using a truck-mounted drill rig with 3 ¼-inch I.D., 6-inch O.D., by 5-foot length hollow-stem auger casts. Soil boring TTAOC20-SB01 was placed at the approximate center of the former dry well location. The three perimeter soil boring locations, TTAOC20-SB02 through TTAOC20-SB04, were placed approximately 10 feet to the north, southeast and southwest of TTAOC20-SB01 respectively. The perimeter locations were separated by approximately 120 degrees. Soil boring locations TTAOC20-SB01 through TTAOC20-SB04 are depicted in Figure 2-1. Soil boring log sheets are included in Appendix A.

2.2 Soil Sampling

For each of the soil borings, split spoon samples were collected by auguring to the top of the depth interval of interest and driving a 2-inch O.D. by 24-inch length split barrel sampler with repeated blows using a 140-pound weight falling a distance of 30 inches. Split spoon samples were collected at 3 feet to 5 feet, 8 feet to 10 feet, and 13 feet to 15 feet below ground surface in all of the soil borings. For two of the four soil borings, TTAOC20-SB02 and TTAOC20-SB03, poor sample returns over the 13 foot to 15 foot interval made it necessary to collect additional split spoon samples from 15 feet to 17 feet below ground surface in order to meet the laboratory-specified volume requirements.



To allow for the inclusion of the current AOC 20 data set into a larger data base for NWIRP Bethpage, sample and soil boring labels were modified slightly. For example, soil sample TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305 was collected from soil boring TTAOC20-SB01 (or TT20-SB01) at a depth of 3 to 5 feet below ground surface. TT and TTNUS both refer to TtNUS, SB is soil boring, and "20" references AOC 20. For the Northrop Grumman sample (03-22-15A-S-1), "03" refers to Plant No. 3. As discussed with Northrop Grumman contractors in June 1999, "22" should have been identified as "20". The balance of the label identifies the soil boring number and sample number.

Soil samples from all intervals were used to characterize the lithology and were analyzed for 8 RCRA Metals and zinc by SW-846 6010B/7000A series (USEPA 1997). All data collected was subject to data validation. This data validation was performed in accordance with USEPA Region 2 data validation requirements.

One Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate and one Blind Field Duplicate sample were collected from soil boring TTAOC20-SB01. It was necessary to combine soil sample returns over a 4-foot interval (8 feet to 12 feet below ground surface) to meet the necessary laboratory-specified volume requirements for these QA/QC samples. In addition, one Field Blank sample of the potable water source used for decontamination activities located near the former drum marshalling area was collected and analyzed for 8 RCRA Metals and zinc by Methods SW-846 6010B/7000A series (USEPA 1997). Sample log sheets and chain-of-custody forms are included in Appendix A, respectively.

2.3 Soil Boring Survey

At the completion of the soil boring drilling program, relative coordinates for each of the soil boring locations were determined by conducting a grid survey using permanent physical features in the AOC 20 as sight lines. Coordinates were measured to the nearest 0.50-feet with a measuring tape and recorded in the field logbook on hand-illustrated maps depicting the relative positions of each of the soil boring locations. The locations of each of the soil borings were also documented photographically.

2.4 Decontamination Procedures

All auger casts were decontaminated between soil boring locations at the constructed decontamination pad using a pressurized steam cleaner and potable water.

All split spoons were decontaminated prior sample acquisition according to the following procedure.

- Potable water and detergent rinse (Alconox/Liquinox)
- Tap water rinse
- Distilled/deionized water rinse
- Methanol rinse
- Distilled/ deionized water rinse
- Air dry

2.5 Investigative-Derived-Waste (IDW)

All water generated during decontamination activities was containerized in 55-gallon Department-of-Transportation (DOT)-approved steel drums (DOT 17-H) and staged at the appropriated drum storage area (GAC Building south of Plant No. 3).

3.0 NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION IN SITE MEDIA

Subsurface soil [depths greater than 2 feet below ground surface (bgs)] samples were collected from Area of Concern 20 (AOC 20). Based upon the analytical results for these samples, the nature and extent of contamination at AOC 20 is discussed in the following section. Analytical results are presented in Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1.

All soil samples were analyzed for RCRA metals plus zinc (arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium, silver, and zinc). Considered in this data set were thirteen soil samples (including one field duplicate pair) and sample 03-22-15A-S-1, which was collected by Northrop Grumman in 1998. Results for these analytes were compared to Soil Clean-up Objectives as per New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), "Division of Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum: Determination of Soil Clean-up Objectives and Clean-up Levels" (January 24, 1994) (TAGM 4046). An excerpt of Appendix A Table 4 from the NYSDEC TAGM follows:

Constituent	NYSDEC TAGMs (mg/kg)
Arsenic	7.5 or SB
Barium	300 or SB
Cadmium	1 or SB
Chromium	10 or SB
Lead	SB
Mercury	0.1
Selenium	2 or SB
Silver	SB
Zinc	20 or SB

Table 3-1 of this report display a summary of all analytical results compared to TAGMs and Site Background as detailed in the Halliburton NUS Environmental Corporation "Final Remedial Investigation Report for NWIRP Bethpage, New York, (May 1992). As displayed Table 3-1, silver was not detected in any of the samples collected and cadmium was only detected in one sample TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305 at a concentration of 0.03 mg/kg. Additionally mercury and selenium were detected in about half of the samples collected. The remaining metals were

TABLE 3-1

ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON TO NYSDEC TAGMS
AOC 20 - DRY WELLS
NWIRP BETHPAGE, NY

Sample Number:	TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305			TTNUS-20-SB-01-0812			TTNUS-20-SB-01-0812			TTNUS-20-SB-01-1315			TTNUS-20-SB-02-0305			TTNUS-20-SB-02-0810			TTNUS-20-SB-02-1317			NYSDEC		
	Top Depth:	3	8	13	Bottom Depth:	5	12	15	Sample Date:	29-Jun-99	29-Jun-99	29-Jun-99	Inorganics (mg/kg)	2.7	2.3	4.1	1.1	0.87	0.88	1.2	TAGMs/Basis			
ARSENIC																								
BARIUM	15.2																							
CADMIUM	0.03																							
CHROMIUM	16.1																							
LEAD	4.3																							
MERCURY	0.04																							
ZINC ⁽²⁾	19.7 R																							
SELENIUM	0.35																							
SILVER	0.06 U																							
ZINC ⁽²⁾	7.8 R																							
ARSENIC																								
BARIUM																								
CHROMIUM																								
LEAD																								
MERCURY																								
ZINC ⁽²⁾																								
SELENIUM																								
SILVER																								
ZINC ⁽²⁾																								

Sample Number:	TTNUS-20-SB-03-0305			TTNUS-20-SB-03-0810			TTNUS-20-SB-03-1517			TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305			TTNUS-20-SB-04-0810			TTNUS-20-SB-04-1315			03-22-15A-S-1 ⁽²⁾			NYSDEC		
	Top Depth:	3	8	15	Bottom Depth:	5	10	17	Sample Date:	29-Jun-99	29-Jun-99	29-Jun-99	Inorganics (mg/kg)	1.4	1.5	0.67	6.3	0.83	2.4	ND	TAGMs/Basis			
ARSENIC																								
BARIUM	8.1																							
CADMIUM	0.02 U																							
CHROMIUM	4.7																							
LEAD	2.4																							
MERCURY	0.03																							
ZINC ⁽²⁾	10.6 R																							
SELENIUM																								
SILVER																								
ZINC ⁽²⁾																								
ARSENIC																								
BARIUM																								
CHROMIUM																								
LEAD																								
MERCURY																								
ZINC ⁽²⁾																								
SELENIUM																								
SILVER																								
ZINC ⁽²⁾																								

ND - Not Detected.

NA - Not Available because the result for this analyte was not detected in site background.

TAGM - Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum. [4046, NYSDEC January 24, 1994 (Revised)]

SB - Site Background, Halibuton NUS Environmental Corporation May 1992. Final Remedial Investigation Report NWIRP Belpage.

U - Value was nondetected at or above the concentration reported.

R - Positive result is deemed unusable due to its presence in a field blank.

(1) In accordance with USEPA Region 2 data validation guidance, all positive zinc results were rejected due to field blank contamination.

(2) Sample collected by Northrup Grumman.

Shaded results exceed the SB or TAGM 4046.



detected in nearly all the samples collected. Arsenic was detected at a maximum concentration of 6.3 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305. Barium was detected at a maximum concentration of 46.1 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305. Chromium was detected at a maximum concentration of 17 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305. Lead was detected at a maximum concentration of 4070 mg/kg in sample 03-22-15A-S-1 (Northrup Grumman), but at a maximum concentration of 9.7 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305 (TtNUS). Mercury was detected at maximum concentration of 0.47 mg/kg in sample 03-22-15A-S-1 (Northrop Grumman), but at a maximum concentration of 0.06 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-01-0812-D (TtNUS). Selenium was detected at a maximum concentration of 0.47 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305. Zinc was detected at maximum concentration of 119 mg/kg in sample 03-22-15A-S-1 (Northrop Grumman), but at a maximum concentration of 25.9 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305 (TtNUS).

Chromium concentrations of 16.1 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305, 13.3 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-1315, and 17 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305 were in excess of the TAGM of 12.7 mg/kg. Lead concentrations of 9.7 in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305 and 4070 mg/kg in sample 03-22-15A-S-1 were in excess of the TAGM of 7.8 mg/kg. The mercury concentration of 0.47 mg/kg in sample 03-22-15A-S-1 was in excess of the TAGM of 0.1 mg/kg. Zinc concentrations of 25.9 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305 and 119 mg/kg in sample 03-22-15A-S-1 were in excess of the TAGM of 20 mg/kg.

As per Table 3-1, all zinc results for samples collected by TtNUS were assigned the "R" qualifier during data validation. Generally, this qualifier indicates that positive results are rejected and should be considered unusable. However, these zinc results were rejected as a result of field blank contamination, as per USEPA Region 2 data validation guidance. This finding implies that the laboratory reported zinc concentrations may be biased high or perhaps not present at all in these samples. Because the data user cannot be certain if the zinc concentrations reported are real or artifacts, Region 2 recommends not using the results. However, this approach creates a data gap. Therefore, TtNUS is provisionally using the zinc data because most of the positive results were higher than both the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL 0.03 mg/kg) and the Reporting Limit (RL 2 mg/kg) but less than the TAGM of 20 mg/kg. The only exception is the zinc result of 25.9 mg/kg in sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305 and this result only marginally exceeds the TAGM of 20 mg/kg. Appendix B contains copies of the data validation memoranda.

In summary, the highest concentration of lead, mercury, and zinc at AOC 20 were found in the 1998 Northrop Grumman sample 03-22-15A-S-1. The detected concentrations in this sample were in excess of the TAGMs by a factor of 5 to 500. The samples collected by the Navy were found to contain the same metals. However, the detected concentrations were much lower, and only 3 of 12 current samples had one or metal results exceeding a TAGM. In addition, the maximum TAGM exceedance was for chromium at a concentration of 17 mg/kg versus the TAGM of 12.7 mg/kg.

4.0 CONTAMINANT FATE AND TRANSPORT

Metals are the only site-related contaminants at AOC 20 and metals in general are highly persistent environmental contaminants. They do not biodegrade, photolyze or hydrolyze. The major fate mechanisms for metals are adsorption to the soil matrix (as compared to being part of the soil structure) and bioaccumulation.

The mobility of metals is influenced primarily by their physical and chemical properties in combination with the physical and chemical characteristics of the soil matrix. Factors that assist in predicting the mobility of inorganic species are the soil/pore water pH, soil/pore water specific conductance, and cation exchange capacity. The mobility of metals generally increases with decreasing soil pH and cation exchange capacity.

Because metals are frequently incorporated into the soil matrix and remain bound to particulate matter, they also migrate from the source areas via bulk movement processes (erosion). The larger particles (>0.45 microns, which are removed via the filtration step prior to water analysis) are not generally considered to be mobile in groundwater.

There are some instances, however, where these metals are found at such concentrations or in such form as to be able to migrate in solution. It is possible that industrial activities could saturate all available exchange sites in soil and hence a metal may be mobilized. Metals are also more mobile under acidic conditions, which are not present at this site. Finally, a metal solution may be utilized in some industrial applications. In these cases, it is possible for metals to migrate vertically through the soil column and reach the groundwater. However, elevated metal concentrations are generally found in the underlying soils.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following conclusions and recommendations were developed based on the findings of this investigation.

1. Historically, a dry well south of Plant No. 3 received water containing several metals including lead, mercury, and zinc. Based on the historic dry well operation, the metals would have been introduced below ground surface. Therefore, overlying soils form a barrier between contaminants and potential receptors.
2. The presence of similar metals in the current Navy samples and the observation of gravel in the soil borings confirmed the location of the previous Northrop Grumman sample and AOC 20 dry well.
3. Based on testing, the extent of the metal contaminated soils is very small. In fact, samples collected within a few horizontal feet of the original Northrop Grumman sample did not contain these metals in excess of NYSDEC TAGM levels. Also, samples collected below the former dry well did not contain any metals in excess of the NYSDEC TAGMs. The remaining TAGM exceedances were relatively minor and were not significantly greater than background values.
4. Based on the results of this evaluation, no additional activities at this former dry well are proposed. The results will be included in property transfer documents.

REFERENCES

Halliburton NUS Environmental Corporation May 1992. "Final Remedial Investigation Report for Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) Bethpage, New York."

USEPA June 1997. "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods (SW-846), third edition, Update 3."

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Revised January 24, 1994. "Division of Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM): Determination of Soil Clean-up Objectives and Clean-up Levels"



**APPENDIX A
FIELD DOCUMENTATION**



**APPENDIX A.1
BORING LOGS**





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

BORING LOGPage 1 of 1

PROJECT NAME: NWIRP Bompase (800 20) BORING NUMBER: T20 - S801
 PROJECT NUMBER: 7576 DATE: 06-29-99
 DRILLING COMPANY: ADT GEOLOGIST: S. Polycarpo
 DRILLING RIG: Mobil Drill B-59 DRILLER: J. Bitez

Sample No. and Type or RQD	Depth (ft) or Run No.	Blows / 6" or RQD (%)	Sample Recovery / Sample Length	Lithology Change (Depth/Ft.) or Screened Interval	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			U S C S *	Remarks	PID/FID Reading (ppm)			
					Soil Density/Consistency or Rock Hardness	Color	Material Classification			Sample	Sampler BZ	Borehole **	Driller BZ***
1105	0								hard cutter				
									first 3'				
1121	3	4/8	15/24	loose	5" brn, orange-brn silt clay, tr. gravel		damp			0	0	0	0
		18/20		medium	10" H. brn to brn m. to v.c. sand + gravel. H. clay at top to finer silt below		damp/dry						
1124	8	5/8	15/24	loose	6" loy as previous 9" H. brn / brn-orange		damp			0	0	0	0
		12/18		medium	orange m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt		damp/dry						
							Festaining						
1135	10	21/20	12/24	medium	H. brn / brn-orange / orange m. to v.c. sand +		damp/dry			0	0	0	0
		23/28		medium	gravel, tr. silt								
1142	13	7/8	15/24	loose	2" lt brn, brn silt clay + gravel		damp			0	0	0	0
		8/8		loose	4" brn-orange m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt								
					2" lt brn, white gravel								
					7" lt. brn, brn-orange mostly m. to c. sand, sm. gravel, tr. silt								

* When rock coring, enter rock brokeness.

** Include monitor reading in 6 foot intervals @ borehole. Increase reading frequency if elevated response read.

Remarks: 5' Ayer tests, 3 1/4" I.D. 6" O.D.

Samples TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305 TTNUS-20-SB-01-0812,
and TTNUS-20-SB-01-1315 collected @ 1205

Drilling Area

Background (ppm): 0.0

PID NV10950

Converted to Well: Yes _____

Well I.D. #: _____



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

BORING LOG

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT NAME: NWIRP Bempage (AOC 20) BORING NUMBER: TT20-SB02
 PROJECT NUMBER: 7576 DATE: 06-29-99
 DRILLING COMPANY: AOT GEOLOGIST: S. Price
 DRILLING RIG: Mobile Drill B-59 DRILLER: J. Botic

Sample No. and Type or RQD	Depth (Ft.) or Run No.	Blows / 6" or RQD (%)	Sample Recovery / Sample Length	Lithology Change (Depth/Ft.) or Screened Interval	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			U S C S *	Remarks	PID/FID Reading (ppm)			
					Soil Density/Consistency or Rock Hardness	Color	Material Classification			Sample	Sampler BZ	Borehole BZ	Driller BZ
1250	0								hard cover first 3'				
1306	3	10 17	13/24	m. dense	11" brn m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt				damp/dry	0	0	0	0
		25 25		m. dense									
1313	8	18 13	14/24	m. dense	11" dk brn / brn-orange silty clay + gravel				damp poss. black cont?	0	0	0	0
		12 22		m. dense	13" br. brown to orange, brn-orange m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt				damp/dry				
									Fe staining				
1320	13	11 14	3/24	m. dense	3" br. brown, brn-orange, orange m. to c. sand				damp	0	0	0	0
		20 20		m. dense	sand, tr. silt, + gravel				note: not enough sample recovery to complete sample volume, drove additional spurs (15'-17')				
1326	15	14 20	14.5/24	m. dense	1.5" brn-orange, orange brn m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt				damp	0	0	0	0
		20 17	TD=17'	m. dense	13" br. brown m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt								

* When rock coring, enter rock brokeness.

** Include monitor reading in 6 foot intervals @ borehole. Increase reading frequency if elevated response read.

Remarks: 5' Auger tests, 3 1/4" I.D., 6" O.D. PID NU10E50
Samples TTNU3-20-SB-02-0305, TTNU3-20-SB-02-0810, and TTNU3-20-SB-02-
1317 collected @ 1330

Drilling Area

Background (ppm): 0.0

Converted to Well: Yes _____ No _____ Well I.D. #: _____



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

BORING LOG

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT NAME: NWIRP Bembridge (AOI 20) BORING NUMBER: TT20-SB03
 PROJECT NUMBER: 7576 DATE: 06-29-99
 DRILLING COMPANY: ADT GEOLOGIST: S. Prigato
 DRILLING RIG: Mobil Drill B-59 DRILLER: J. Brattie

Sample No. and Type or RQD	Depth (Ft) or Run No.	Blows / 6" or RQD (%)	Sample Recovery / Sample Length	Lithology Change (Depth/Ft) or Screened Interval	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			U S C S *	Remarks	PID/FID Reading (ppm)			
					Soil Density/Consistency or Rock Hardness	Color	Material Classification			Sample	Sampler BZ	Borehole**	Driller BZ***
1400	0								Hand auger				
									first 3'				
1411	3	" 12	10.5/24	m. dense	3" brn silty clay, tr. gravel				damp	0	0	0	0
		15	/20	m. dense	9.5" H. brn to brn m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt tr. clay at top of interval				damp/dry				
1421	8	7	/11	loose	2" dk brn silty layers sand + gravel				damp	0	0	0	0
		13	/14	m. dense	1" brn/orange sandy + silty clay								
					10" orange, 1" brn, brn-orange m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt				damp/dry				
									Fe staining				
1424	13	14	0/24	m. dense	true brn to dk brn, alluvial, bio-weathered				damp to	0	0	0	0
		22	/28	m. dense	silty clay, sand, + gravel				damp/dry				
									note: not enough recovery to complete sample volume to drive additional span (15' - 17')				
1434	15	8	/11	13.5/24	loose	2" brn to dk brn silty clay, sm. sand, tr.			damp/dry	0	0	0	0
		14	/12	TO-17'	m. dense	gravel							
					3" orange, brn-orange								
					m. to v. c. sand + gravel, tr. silt								
					9.5" H. brn m. to v. c. sand + gravel, tr. silt. Fining downwards to m. to c. sand				Fe staining				

* When rock coring, enter rock brokeness.

** Include monitor reading in 6 foot intervals @ borehole. Increase reading frequency if elevated response read.

Remarks: 5' Ann Casts, 3 1/4" T.D., 6" O.D. PID NU0050 Background (ppm): 0.0
 Sampler TTNUIS-20-SB-03-0305, TTNUIS-20-SB-03-0910, and
 TTNUIS-20-SB-03-1517 collected at 1440

Converted to Well: Yes No ✓ Well I.D. #:

Drilling Area



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

BORING LOG

Page of

PROJECT NAME: NWIRP Battapug 1A0C 20) BORING NUMBER: TT20-5804
PROJECT NUMBER: 7576 DATE: 08-29-99
DRILLING COMPANY: ADT GEOLOGIST: S. Pekka Ko
DRILLING RIG: Mobil Drill B-59 DRILLER: J. Bittis

* When rock coring, enter rock brokeness.

**** Include monitor reading in 6 foot intervals @ borehole. Increase reading frequency if elevated response read.**

Drilling Area

Remarks: 5' Agar cast, 3 1/4" I.D., 6" O.D. PID NU10850 Background (ppm): 0.0
Samples TINUS-20-SB-04-0305, TINUS-20-SB-04-0810, and
TINUS-20-SB-04-1315 collected at 1540

Converted to Well: Yes No Well I.D. #: _____

APPENDIX A.2
SOIL SAMPLE LOG SHEET





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page / of /

Project Site Name:

Project No.:

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

Niirp Borthage

7576

Sample ID No.: *TTNUS-20-SB-U1-0305*

Sample Location: Aug 20, SB01 (Dry well)

Sampled By:

C.O.C. No.:

S. Prilepsko

06480.064

001001.00101

Type of Sample:

- Low Concentration
 - High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:


Date:	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
08-29-99			
Time: 1205			
Method: Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0	3' - 5'	brn, shiny-brown, lt. brown	silty clay + m. to v.c. Scattered + gravel, More silt + clay damp/dry to damp

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:

SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 11021 Heli
in sealed plastic baggie until 1205.
Sample transferred directly from baggie
to sample container using plastic tweez.



Circle if Applicable:

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:

Signature(s):

Seth Johnson



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page 1 of 1



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page 1 of 1

Project Site Name:
Project No.:

N.W.R.P. Bothy page
7576

Sample ID No.: TTN's-20-SB-01-1315
Sample Location: A01 20 SB01 (Dir. WPL)
Sampled By: S. Pclark
C.O.C. No.: 06460, 06461

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

Type of Sample:

- Low Concentration
 - High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-29-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1205			
Method: Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0	13' - 15'	11. to dk brn, brn-orange, white	Silty clay + m. to c. sand + gravel, trace silt clump

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample Volume acquired at 1142. Held
in sealed plastic baggie until 1205.

Sample transferred directly from baggie to sample container using plastic funnel.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

MS/MSD	Duplicate ID No.:	Signature(s):
--------	-------------------	---------------



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page / of /

Project Site Name: NWIRP Bethpage
Project No.: 7576

Sample ID No.: TTN's 20-5B-02-0305
Sample Location: AOC 20, SBQ2 (Dr. Wren)
Sampled By: S. Pelegre
C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

Type of Sample:
■ Low Concentration
□ High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-24-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1330			
Method: Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0	3' - 5'	lt. brown	m. to c. sand + gravel, trace silt damp / dry

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:

Date:	Time	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Method:				
Monitor Readings (Range in ppm):				


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample Volume acquired at 1306. Held
in sealed plastic baggie until 1330.

Sample transferred directly from baggie
to sample container using plastic
travel

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:

Signature(s):





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page / of /

Project Site Name:
Project No.:

N.W.R.P. Bothapayi
7576

Sample ID No.: TTN15-20-5B-02-0810
Sample Location: AOL 20 S802 (Diy Wtr II)
Sampled By: S. Peloquin
C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

Type of Sample:
■ Low Concentration
□ High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-24-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1330			
Method: Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0			
	8' - 10'	11.6cm to dk. bin, bin-orange, orange	Silty clay + m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt poss. black center, Fe staining during dry to damp

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1313. He is in sealed plastic baggie until 1330.

Sample transferred directly from baggie to sample container using plastic spoon.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

1133-PAW34

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:

Scott J. Dwyer



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page 1 of 1

Project Site Name: NWIRP Bethesda
Project No.: 7576

Sample ID No.: TTN's 20-5B-02-1317
Sample Location: AOL 20.5B02 (Dr. Wren)
Sampled By: S. Peleggi
C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

NW, RP Bothpage
7576

Type of Sample:
■ Low Concentration
■ High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-29-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1330			
Method: Grab	13' - 17'	brn, brn-orange, orange,	m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0		orange-brn	damp


COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:

SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1320 and 1326.
Held in sealed plastic bags until
1330.

Sample transferred directly from baggies to sample container using plastic straw.



Circle if Applicable:

Signature(s):

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page 1 of 1

Project Site Name:
Project No.:

NW, RP Bethpage
7576

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

Sample ID No.: TTNUS-20-5B-03-0705
Sample Location: AOL 20 SAB3 (Dry Wrt)
Sampled By: S. Peloquin
C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

Type of Sample:
■ Low Concentration
□ High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date:	06-29-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time:	1440			
Method:	Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm):	0.0			
		3' - 5'	brn to brn	silty clay + m. to c. sand + gravel, tr. silt damp/dry to damp

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:

Date:	Time	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Method:				
Monitor Readings (Range in ppm):				


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1414. Hold in sealed plastic baggie until 1440.

Sample transferred directly from
buggie to sample container using
plastic spoon.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:

Signature(s):

Scott Johnson



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page 1 of 1

Project Site Name:
Project No.:

NWIRP Bothways

7576

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

Sample ID No.: TTNi's-20-53-03-0810

Sample Location: AOC 20, SB03 (dry well)

Sampled By:

C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

Type of Sample:

- Low Concentration
□ High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-29-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1440			
Method: Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0	8' - 10'	1. bin to nk bin, brownish, orange	silty/clayey sand + sandy/silty clay + m. to c. Sand + gravel, trace silt. Fe staining stamps totally to dry.

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:

Date:	Time	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Method:				
Monitor Readings (Range in ppm):				


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1421. Held in
sealed plastic baggie until 1440.

Sample transferred directly from baggie to sample container using plastic trowel.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:

Signature(s):

Seth Klagsbald



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page 1 of 1

Project Site Name:
Project No.:

NWIRP Bothasay

- Surface Soil
- Subsurface Soil
- Sediment
- Other:
- QA Sample Type:

Sample ID No.: TTN05-20-S3-03-1517

Sample Location: 20120, SB03 (Dry Well)

Sampled By:

C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

Type of Sample:

■ Low Concentration

High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-29-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1440			
Method: Grab	15' - 17'	H. to dk brn. brn-orange. orange	silty clay + m. to v.c. sand + gravel, tr. silt Fe staining damp/dry
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0			

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1434. Held in sealed plastic baggie until 1440.

Sample transferred directly from baggie to sample container using plastic spoon.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

Signature(s):

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page 1 of 1

Project Site Name:
Project No.:

NW, RP Bothpage
7576

Sample ID No.: TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305
Sample Location: AVL 20 SB04 (Ac WCU)

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

S. Petroske
06480-06481

Review

— 68 —

Type of Sample:

- Low Concentration
- High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date:	06-29-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time:	1540			
Method:	Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm):	0.0			
		3' - 5'	lt. to dk. bln, bln-orange, gray, bln-gray	clayey silt + silty clay + gravel poss. Fe staining damp

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1502. Heli
in sealed plastic baggie until 1540.

Sample volume transferred directly from baggie to sample container using plastic straw.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

Signature(s):

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page / of /

Project Site Name: NWIRP Balsam
Project No.: 7576

Sample ID No.: TTNUS-20-SB-04-0910
Sample Location: AOL 20, SB04 (Dry Wrtt)
Sampled By: S. Peloske
C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

Type of Sample:

- Low Concentration
- High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-24-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1540			
Method: Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0			
	8' - 10'	lt. tan/brown, brown-orange, orange, brown-gray	clayey silt / silty clay + m. to vc. Sand + gravel, more silt Fe staining damp

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:

Date:	Time	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Method:				
Monitor Readings (Range in ppm):				


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1509. Held
in sealed plastic baggie until 1540.

Sample volume transferred directly from
baggie to sample container using
plastic spoon.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

Signature(s):

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:



Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SOIL & SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page / of /

Project Site Name:
Project No.:

NW, RP Bothage

7576

- Surface Soil
 - Subsurface Soil
 - Sediment
 - Other:
 - QA Sample Type:

—
—
—

Sample ID No.: TTNUS-20-5B-04-1315

Sample Location: ARC 20, SB04. (Dry Well)

Sampled By:

C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

100,000

Type of Sample:

- Low Concentration
 - High Concentration

GRAB SAMPLE DATA:

Date: 06-29-99	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Silt, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Time: 1540	13' - 15'	H. to dk. brn, brn-gray, brn- orange, orange	clayey silt / silty clay + m. tan. sand + gravel, trace silt damp/dry to damp
Method: Grab			
Monitor Reading (ppm): 0.0			

COMPOSITE SAMPLE DATA:

Date:	Time	Depth	Color	Description (Sand, Slit, Clay, Moisture, etc.)
Method:				
Monitor Readings (Range in ppm):				


SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:

Sample volume acquired at 1517. Held in sealed plastic baggie until 1540.

Sample volume transferred directly from baggie to sample container using plastic trowel.

MAP:



Circle if Applicable:

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:

Signature(s):





Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

SURFACE WATER SAMPLE LOG SHEET

Page / of /

Project Site Name: NWIRP Bethpage
Project No.: 7576

Sample ID No.: FB063099
Sample Location: Own Marshalling Area
Sampled By: S. Peleka
C.O.C. No.: 06480, 06481

- Stream
 - Spring
 - Pond
 - Lake
 - Other:
 - QA Sam

NWIRP Bethpage

7576

Type of Sample:

- Low Concentration
 - High Concentration

SAMPLING DATA:

Date: 08-30-99	Color	pH	S.C.	Temp.	Turbidity	DO	Salinity	Other
Time: 1430	Visual	Standard	mS/cm	Degrees C	NTU	mg/l	%	NA
Depth: -n.a.-	clear	6.79	0.154	23.3	0	15.60	-n.a.-	-n.a.-
Method: Grab								

SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION:

OBSERVATIONS / NOTES:


MAP:

* Preservative will be added at laboratory prior to analysis

Open valve, sample directly from pipe outlet.

Flow rate \approx 500 mL/min

Water Quality Parameters measured
on 07-01-99.



Circle if Applicable:

Signature(s):

MS/MSD

Duplicate ID No.:

Sett Jeliazov



**APPENDIX A.3
CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORMS**



Chain of Custody Record



QUA4124 0797

Client TETRA TECH NUS	Project Manager DAV O BRAYACK	Date 06-30-99	Chain of Custody Number J6430
Address FESTER PLAZA VII, 661 MANAGAN DRIVE	Telephone Number (Area Code)/Fax Number (412) 921-7090	Lab Number _____	Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>
City PITTSBURGH	State Zip Code PA 15220	Site Contact AI Mazzoni, SFC THI DELFONCO	Analysis (Attach list if more space is needed)
Project Name NIH/RP BSI TEST PAGE	Carrier/Waybill Number FED EX / 810817873155	Carrier/Waybill Number VERNON BURDET	Special Instructions/ Conditions of Receipt <i>STORING IN REFRIGERATOR</i>
Contract/Purchase Order/Quote No. _____	Matrix	Containers & Preservatives	
Sample I.D. No. and Description (Containers for each sample may be combined on one line)	Date	Time	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305</i>	06-29-99	1205	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-01-0812</i>	06-29-99	1205	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-01-1315</i>	06-29-99	1205	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-01-4852</i>	06-29-99	1205	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-02-0305</i>	06-29-99	1330	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-02-0810</i>	06-29-99	1330	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-02-1317</i>	06-29-99	1330	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-03-0305</i>	06-29-99	1440	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-03-0810</i>	06-29-99	1440	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-03-1517</i>	06-29-99	1440	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305</i>	06-29-99	1540	
<i>TTNUS-20-SB-04-0810</i>	06-29-99	1540	
Possible Hazard Identification	Non-Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Flammable <input type="checkbox"/> Skin Irritant <input type="checkbox"/> Poison B <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	Return To Client <input type="checkbox"/> Disposal By Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Archive For _____ Months <i>(A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 3 months)</i>	QC Requirements (Specify) * COMPLETE MS/MSD FOR TTNUS-20-SB-01-0912 (8 AM 20 MINS)
Turn Around Time Required	24 Hours <input type="checkbox"/> 48 Hours <input type="checkbox"/> 7 Days <input type="checkbox"/> 14 Days <input type="checkbox"/> 21 Days <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	Date _____ Time _____	Time _____
1. Relinquished By <i>S. Delfonco</i>	Date _____	1. Received By _____	Date _____
2. Relinquished By _____	Date _____	2. Received By _____	Date _____
3. Relinquished By _____	Date _____	3. Received By _____	Date _____
Comments _____			

A See ADDITIONAL SAMPLE INFORMATION CONTINUED ON THE BACK OF THIS DAY SHEET

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE - Stays with the Sample; CANARY - Returned to Client with Report; PINK - Field Copy



*Chain of
Custody Record*

QUA-4124 0797

**APPENDIX B
DATA VALIDATION MEMORANDA**





Tetra Tech NUS

INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE

PITT-08-9-155

TO: D. BRAYACK DATE: AUGUST 23, 1999
FROM: JENNIFER MALLE COPIES: DV FILE
SUBJECT: INORGANIC DATA VALIDATION-SELECT METALS
CTO 283 – BETHPAGE
SDG – BR498

SAMPLES: 1/Aqueous
FB063099
13/Soil
TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-01-0812 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-01-1315 ✓
TTNUS-20-SB-04-1315 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-01-4852 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-02-0305 ✓
TTNUS-20-SB-02-0810 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-02-1317 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-03-0305 ✓
TTNUS-20-SB-03-0810 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-03-1517 ✓ TTNUS-20-SB-04-0305 ✓
TTNUS-20-SB-04-0810 ✓

Overview

The sample set for CTO 283, BethPage, SDG BR498, consists of thirteen (13) soil environmental samples and one (1) field blank.

The samples were analyzed for selected metals including, arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium, silver, and zinc. The samples were collected by Tetra Tech NUS on June 29, 1999 and analyzed by Quanterra Laboratory under Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC) Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) criteria. Metal analyses was conducted using SW846 method 6010B. Mercury analyses were conducted using SW846 method 7470/7471A.

The data was evaluated based on the following parameters:

- * • Data Completeness
- * • Holding Times
- * • Initial and Continuing Calibration Recoveries
- * • Laboratory Blank Analyses
- * • Field Blank Analyses
- * • Detection Limits

* - All quality control criteria were met for this parameter.

MEMO TO: D. BRAYACK- PAGE 2
DATE: AUGUST 23, 1999

PITT-08-9-155

Field Blank Analyses

Field blank results for zinc exceeding the CRDL have been circled on the Form 1's. Soil sample results for zinc less than or equal to five (5) times the field blank values have been rejected, "R", due to field blank contamination.

Notes

Sample nomenclature and values reported in the Electronic Deliverable Data (EDD) were incorrect for sample TTNUS-20-SB-04-1315. The correct values were reported on the Form 1's. Changes to the EDD were made by the data reviewer.

The reporting limits in the EDD for sample FB063099 for cadmium, lead and silver were inconsistent with the Form 1's. The results reported for cadmium, lead and silver had been rounded on the EDD. The appropriate changes were made to the EDD by the data reviewer.

Executive Summary

Laboratory Performance: None

Other Factors Affecting Data Quality: The field blank results for zinc exceeded the CRDL.


MEMO TO: D. BRAYACK- PAGE 3
DATE: AUGUST 23, 1999

PITT-08-9-155


The data for these analyses were reviewed with reference to the "Evaluation of Metals Data for the Contract Laboratory Program" (January 1992 Revision) as amended for use within US EPA Region II.

The text of this report has been formulated to address only those problem areas affecting data quality.

"I attest that the data referenced herein were validated according to the agreed upon validation criteria as specified in the NFESC Guidelines and the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)."



Tetra Tech NUS
Jennifer Malle
Environmental Scientist



Tetra Tech NUS
Joseph A. Samchuck
Quality Assurance Officer

Attachments:

1. Appendix A - Qualified Analytical Results
2. Appendix B - Results as reported by the Laboratory
3. Appendix C - Support Documentation.

APPENDIX A
Qualified Analytical Results

Qualifier Codes:

- A = Lab Blank Contamination
- B = Field Blank Contamination
- C = Calibration (i.e., % RSDs, %Ds, ICVs, CCVs, RPDs, RRFs, etc.) Noncompliance
- D = MS/MSD Noncompliance
- E = LCS/LCSD Noncompliance
- F = Lab Duplicate Imprecision
- G = Field Duplicate Imprecision
- H = Holding Time Exceedance
- I = ICP Serial Dilution Noncompliance
- J = GFAA PDS - GFAA MSA's $r < 0.995$
- K = ICP Interference - include ICSAB % R's
- L = Instrument Calibration Range Exceedance
- M = Sample Preservation
- N = Internal Standard Noncompliance
- O = Poor Instrument Performance (i.e., base-time drifting)
- P = Uncertainty near detection limit ($< 2 \times IDL$ for inorganics and $< CRQL$ for organics)
- Q = Other problems (can encompass a number of issues)
- R = Surrogates Recovery Noncompliance
- S = Pesticide/PCB Resolution
- T = % Breakdown Noncompliance for DDT and Endrin
- U = Pest/PCB D% between columns for positive results
- V = Non-linear calibrations, tuning $r < 0.995$ (correlation coefficient)
- W = EMPC result
- X = Signal to noise response drop
- Y = % Solid content is less than 30%

CTO283 - NWIRP BETHPAGE
WATER DATA
QUANTERRA
SDG: BR498

1

SAMPLE NUMBER:
SAMPLE DATE:
LABORATORY ID:
QC_TYPE:
% SOLIDS:
UNITS:
FIELD DUPLICATE OF:

CTO283 - NWIRP BETHPAGE
SOIL DATA
QUANTERRA
SDG: BR498

Page 1

SAMPLE NUMBER:
SAMPLE DATE:
LABORATORY ID:
QC_TYPE:
% SOLIDS:
UNITS:
FIELD DUPLICATE OF:

TTNUS-20-SB-01-0305
06/29/99
C9G010157001
NORMAL
92.7 %
MG/KG

TTNUS-20-SB-01-0812
06/29/99
C9G010157002
NORMAL
96.3 %
MG/KG

TTNUS-20-SB-01-4852
06/29/99
C9G010157004
NORMAL
95.9 %
MG/KG

INORGANICS	RESULT	CODE										
ARSENIC	2.7		2.3		1.1				4.1			
BARIUM	15.2		8.8		6.1				9.9			
CADMIUM	0.03		0.02	U	0.02	U			0.02	U		
CHROMIUM	16.1		7.6		3.6				9.0			
LEAD	4.3		2.7		2.0				2.7			
MERCURY	0.04		0.02	U	0.02	U			0.06			
SELENIUM	0.35		0.21		0.21				0.25			
SILVER	0.06	U	0.06	U	0.06	U			0.06	U		
ZINC	19.7	R	B	7.8	R	B	7.5	R	B	10.9	R	B

CTO283 - NWIRP BETHPAGE
SOIL DATA
QUANTERRA
SDG: BR498

Page 2

SAMPLE NUMBER:
 SAMPLE DATE:
 LABORATORY ID:
 QC_TYPE:
 % SOLIDS:
 UNITS:
 FIELD DUPLICATE OF:

TTNUS-20-SB-02-0305
 06/29/99
 C9G010157005
 NORMAL
 98.6 %
 MG/KG

TTNUS-20-SB-02-0810
 06/29/99
 C9G010157006
 NORMAL
 97.6 %
 MG/KG

INORGANICS	RESULT	QUAL	CODE												
ARSENIC	0.87			0.88			1.2			1.4					
BARIUM	5.1			7.3			6.6			8.1					
CADMIUM	0.02	U													
CHROMIUM	4.6			2.7			5.2			4.7					
LEAD	1.4			1.6			1.4			2.4					
MERCURY	0.04			0.02	U		0.02	U		0.03					
SELENIUM	0.27			0.20	U		0.24			0.21	U				
SILVER	0.06	U													
ZINC	6.8	R	B	5.5	R	B	4.5	R	B	10.6	R	B			

CTO283 - NWIRP BETHPAGE
SOIL DATA
QUANTERRA
SDG: BR498

3

SAMPLE NUMBER:	TTNUS-20-SB-03-0810			SAMPLE DATE:	06/29/99			LABORATORY ID:	C9G010157009			QC TYPE:	NORMAL			% SOLIDS:	97.3 %			UNITS:	MG/KG			FIELD DUPLICATE OF:			
INORGANICS	RESULT	QUAL	CODE	RESULT	QUAL	CODE	RESULT	QUAL	CODE	RESULT	QUAL	CODE	RESULT	QUAL	CODE	RESULT	QUAL	CODE	RESULT	QUAL	CODE	RESULT	QUAL	CODE			
ARSENIC	1.5			0.67			6.3															0.83					
BARIUM	6.2			4.8			46.1															8.3					
CADMIUM	0.02	U		0.02	U		0.02	U		0.02	U		0.02	U		0.02	U		0.02	U		0.02	U				
CHROMIUM	3.4			2.3			17.0															3.4					
LEAD	2.3			1.2			9.7															1.2					
MERCURY	0.03			0.04			0.03															0.02	U				
SELENIUM	0.21	U		0.21	U		0.47															0.21	U				
SILVER	0.06	U		0.06	U		0.07	U		0.07	U		0.06	U		0.06	U		0.06	U		0.06	U				
ZINC	7.4	R	B	6.2	R	B	25.9	R	B	25.9	R	B	4.7	R	B	4.7	R	B	4.7	R	B	4.7	R	B			

CTO283 - NWIRP BETHPAGE
SOIL DATA
QUANTERRA
SDG: BR498

SAMPLE NUMBER:
SAMPLE DATE:
LABORATORY ID:
QC_TYPE:
% SOLIDS:
UNITS:
FIELD DUPLICATE

TTNUS-20-SB-04-1315
06/29/99
C9G010157013
NORMAL
95.7 %
MG/KG

100.0 %
100.0 %
100.0 %