

Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation

2015 ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Report for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System

Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York
NYSDEC ID # 1-30-003A

March 25, 2016

almen Late

Ahren Tatro, PE 095069 Staff Engineer

Paul Martorano, PE 088403 Project Engineer

Paul Marton

aristoplus D. Engles

Christopher Engler, PE 069748 Engineer of Record

Carlo Son Giovann.

Carlo San Giovanni Project Manager

2015 ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Report for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System

Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York NYSDEC ID # 1-30-003A

Prepared for:

Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation

Prepared by:

Arcadis of New York, Inc.

Two Huntington Quadrangle

Suite 1S10

Melville

New York 11747

Tel 631 249 7600

Fax 631 249 7610

Our Ref.:

NY001496.1214.OMMI4

Date:

March 25, 2016

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
2	Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System Objectives	2
3	Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System Description	2
4	Operation and Maintenance Activities	3
	4.1 Summary of O&M Completed During the Annual Reporting Period	3
	4.2 Performance Evaluation	3
	4.3 Conclusions and Recommendations for O&M	4
5	Monitoring	4
	5.1 Summary of Monitoring Completed	4
	5.2 Summary of Monitoring Results	5
	5.2.1 Containment System Performance Monitoring	5
	5.2.1.1 Annual Reporting Period System Operating Parameters	5
	5.2.1.2 Vapor Samples	5
	5.2.2 Containment System Compliance Monitoring	5
	5.2.2.1 System Operating Parameters	5
	5.2.2.2 Vapor Sample	5
	5.2.2.3 Condensate Sample	6
	5.2.3 Air Emissions Model	6
6	Conclusions and Recommendations	7
	6.1 Conclusions	7
	6.2 Recommendations	7
7	Certification	8
8	References	9

TABLES

Table 1	Annual Summary of General System Operating Parameters
Table 2	Annual Summary of Induced Vacuum Readings at Compliance Monitoring Points
Table 3	Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results, Fourth Quarter 2015
Table 4	Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results, Fourth Quarter 2015, Tentatively Identified Compounds
Table 5	Summary of SCREEN3 Model Input and Outputs, Fourth Quarter 2015
Table 6	Summary Maximum Allowable Stack Concentration Calculations, Fourth Quarter 2015

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	General Site Plan and Monitoring Well Vacuum Measurements, 2015
Figure 3	Process Flow Diagram
Figure 4	Soil Gas TVOC Concentrations through December 2015
Figure 5	Cumulative Total, Project and Non-Project TVOC Mass Removed through December 2015
Figure 6	TVOC Mass Recovery Rates through December 2015

1 INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) Index #W1-0018-04-01 (New York State Department of Environmental Conservation [NYSDEC] 2005) and the Operable Unit 3 (OU3) Record of Decision (NYSDEC 2013), ARCADIS of New York, Inc. (ARCADIS), on behalf of Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Northrop Grumman), has prepared this OU3 Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System (BPSGCS) Annual Summary Report for submittal to the NYSDEC. The present-day Bethpage Community Park property (Park), the McKay Field, and Plant 24 Access Road, which the NYSDEC has termed the "Former Grumman Settling Ponds Area" and designated as OU3, are referred to herein as the Site Area. Figure 1 provides a Site Area location map.

The BPSGCS (previously referred to as the Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure [IRM]) has operated since February 18, 2008. The operation, maintenance, and monitoring (OM&M) activities performed during 2015 (i.e., January 1 through December 31, 2015 [the "annual reporting period"]) are summarized in this Annual Summary Report. The OM&M activities performed during the fourth quarter of 2015 (i.e., October 1 through December 31, 2015 [the "fourth quarter reporting period"]) are included in the summary of the annual reporting period. Data summaries for the previous three 2015 operational quarterly periods are available in the following letter reports:

- Results of First Quarter 2015 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, May 2015 (Arcadis 2015b)
- Results of Second Quarter 2015 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, August 2015 (Arcadis 2015c)
- Results of Third Quarter 2015 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, November 2015 (Arcadis 2015d)

During 2015, the BPSGCS system OM&M was conducted in accordance with the NYSDEC-approved OU3 Soil Gas IRM OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2009a) and the NYSDEC-approved Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (Arcadis 2008).

As discussed in the OU3 Site Area Remedial Investigation Report (Site Area RI Report; Arcadis 2011b), Northrop Grumman does not take responsibility for certain compounds (e.g., Freon 12 and Freon 22) present in the Site Area. Throughout this report, a distinction is made between the "Project" and "Non-project" volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which are defined as follows:

- <u>Project VOCs</u>: VOCs that may be related to former Northrop Grumman historical activities. For this report, Project VOCs include 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethene; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.
- Non-project VOCs: VOCs, such as Freon 12 and Freon 22, which are understood to be unrelated to former Northrop Grumman activities but have been detected in the Site Area. As noted in the Site Area RI Report (Arcadis 2011), a groundwater sub-plume of Freon 22 has been identified originating

from the area of the Town of Oyster Bay's (Town's) former ice rink. Based on Town information (Zervos 2007), Freon 22 was used by the Town and released to the environment.

2 BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM OBJECTIVES

The remedial action objectives (RAOs) of the BPSGCS are as follows:

- To mitigate the off-site migration of Project VOCs in the on-site soil gas through the implementation of a soil gas containment system installed along the Plant 24 Access Road and McKay Field Access Road, south and west of the Park, respectively, and;
- To comply with applicable NYSDEC Standards, Criteria, and Guidelines (SCGs)

The compliance objectives of the BPSGCS are as follows:

- To mitigate the off-site migration of soil gas, the system was designed to maintain

 -0.1 inch of water column (iwc) within a negative pressure curtain established within the vadose zone along the Plant 24 Access Road and along the McKay Field Access Road, from the boundary of the Plant 24 Access Road to approximately 400 feet north along the MacKay Field Access Road, based on a 12-month rolling average.
- To treat extracted vapors until it is demonstrated that all VOCs in the influent (untreated) vapor stream are present at concentrations lower than the NYSDEC Division of Air Resources Guide-1 (DAR-1) Annual Guidance Concentrations (AGCs) on a 12-month rolling average and Short-Term Guidance Concentrations (SGCs) for any given grab sample (NYSDEC 2014). On December 29, 2008, NYSDEC approved removal of vapor phase treatment (NYSDEC 2008).
- To collect and transfer condensate to the Nassau County Department of Public Works (NCDPW) sanitary sewer, in accordance with the requirements set forth by the NCDPW (NCDPW 2007, 2008) or dispose off-site at a NYSDEC-permitted disposal facility. The sanitary sewer ultimately discharges to the Town of Oyster Bay's Cedar Creek treatment facility.

3 BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Following review and approval of the Soil Gas IRM 95% Design Report and Design Drawings by the NYSDEC (Arcadis 2007b), the design package was finalized and the BPSGCS constructed. A general site plan (Figure 2) shows the treatment building, which houses the major process equipment, including two 20-horsepower [hp] and one 30 hp regenerative-type depressurization blowers, three 52-gallon moisture separators and associated transfer pumps. Remaining system components are located outside the treatment building and include one 33-foot tall by 16-inch diameter stack, the heat exchanger, the 18 depressurization wells, and the 47 induced vacuum monitoring wells, also shown on Figure 2. Monitoring well vacuum measurements collected during 2015 are also provided on Figure 2. A process flow diagram

that shows sampling and monitoring locations is provided as Figure 3. A detailed description of the system and a complete set of record drawings are provided in the OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2009a).

4 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

The following subsections provide a summary of the routine and non-routine operation and maintenance (O&M) activities completed during the annual reporting period to meet requirements outlined in the OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2009a), as well as a performance evaluation of the BPSGCS. Finally, overall conclusions and recommendations regarding O&M for the Site are included in this section.

4.1 Summary of O&M Completed During the Annual Reporting Period

The O&M of the BPSGCS was conducted in accordance with the OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2009a). This consisted of the following:

- Continuous monitoring by the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system.
- Weekly site checks to monitor and record key process parameters to confirm proper system operation, to assess whether a process parameter is changing or is out of range, and to provide information that may be helpful later in case there is an operation problem.
- Quarterly monitoring events to monitor and record key process parameters, including induced vacuums, to confirm proper system operation, make adjustments as needed, and to collect compliance samples.
 A summary of the quarterly monitoring data collected for the BPSGCS is provided in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4.
- Routine maintenance of equipment was generally performed in accordance with the manufacturers' specifications as needed. This included annual alarm testing to confirm proper function of all advisory and system alarms.
- Non-routine maintenance of equipment and system components in response to alarm conditions or system parameters operating outside of their normal operating ranges. The most notable non-routine maintenance activities during the annual reporting period were due to minor system modifications, repairs and power anomalies. These conditions did not have a significant impact on system performance and have been proactively addressed to minimize system downtime.

4.2 Performance Evaluation

The OU3 BPSGCS operated continuously during the annual reporting period with the exception of brief shutdown events for routine and non-routine system maintenance. An operational summary of the depressurization wells, monitoring wells, flow rates and vacuums for the annual reporting period is provided in Tables 1 and 2. In summary:

- The system operated during the annual reporting period for approximately 358 days out of a total 365 days (approximately 98% uptime).
- During the annual reporting period, it was not necessary to complete condensate disposal. As of 2015, condensate removal is only conducted periodically by manipulating manifold vacuums and flow rates for brief periods of time. This process does not entirely vacate the below grade lines of condensate, though it enables the system to maintain adequate flow and vacuum at the manifolds without requiring a vacuum truck and a full day shutdown event.

4.3 Conclusions and Recommendations for O&M

The O&M activities conducted during the annual reporting period met the requirements of the O&M Manual.

5 MONITORING

The following subsections provide a summary of the monitoring completed during the annual reporting period to meet requirements outlined in the OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2009a). The following subsections also provide summaries of 2015 monitoring data, comparisons of the results with applicable AGCs and SGC's, and additional data evaluations describing the performance effectiveness of the OU3 BPSGCS. Finally, overall conclusions and recommendations regarding monitoring for the Site are included.

5.1 Summary of Monitoring Completed

In general, the monitoring of the OU3 BPSGCS was completed in accordance with the OU3 BPSGCS OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2009a). A summary of the monitoring completed during this annual reporting period is provided below:

- Quarterly system performance monitoring:
 - Instantaneous vacuum measurements at compliance measurement points and system operating measurements at influent manifolds, blower inlet and outlet, and system effluent were collected to assess the system performance. Summaries of the measurements are provided in Tables 1 and 2.
- · Quarterly system compliance monitoring:
 - Containment system air quality monitoring was completed to monitor the performance of the containment system and to compare the levels to applicable AGC's and SGC's. Summaries of the results are provided in Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6.

5.2 Summary of Monitoring Results

5.2.1 Containment System Performance Monitoring

5.2.1.1 Annual Reporting Period System Operating Parameters

System operating parameters measured during the annual reporting period are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Overall throughout the annual reporting period, the system components generally operated within their recommended ranges.

5.2.1.2 Vapor Samples

The total effluent screening level vapor samples (i.e., photoionization detector [PID] reading) measured during the fourth quarter reporting period and the annual reporting period are provided in Table 1. The screening results throughout the annual reporting period ranged from 0.0 parts per million by volume (ppmv) (March, September, and December 2015) to 0.2 ppmv (June 2015), which is consistent with historic data.

5.2.2 Containment System Compliance Monitoring

5.2.2.1 System Operating Parameters

Instantaneous vacuum measurements in compliance monitoring wells from the fourth quarter reporting period and annual time-weighted rolling averages are summarized in Table 2. Quarterly vacuum measurement data from the annual reporting period are also shown on Figure 2.

As shown on Table 2, during the annual reporting period, the instantaneous induced vacuum at all compliance-related monitoring points met or exceeded the minimum performance standard (greater than or equal to -0.1 iwc), with the exceptions of VMWC-7A, VMWC-7B, and VMWC-18A. Although instantaneous induced vacuum measurements at VMWC-7A, VMWC-7B, and VMWC-18A were slightly lower than -0.1 iwc in September and December 2015, the annual time-weighted rolling average of induced vacuum readings at all compliance-related monitoring points were maintained at greater than or equal to -0.1 iwc, demonstrating that the BPSGCS is operating as designed.

5.2.2.2 Vapor Sample

Effluent vapor samples were collected on a quarterly basis throughout the annual reporting period. As shown in the laboratory results in Tables 3, 4, and 6, the total volatile organic compound (TVOC) concentrations ranged from 630 micrograms per cubic meter (μ g/m³) in December 2015 to 1,530 μ g/m³ in June 2015. The Project TVOC concentrations ranged from 523 μ g/m³ in December 2015 to 1,216 μ g/m³ in June 2015. The Non-project TVOC concentrations ranged from 90 μ g/m³ in March 2015 to 379 μ g/m³ in September 2015.

The TVOC concentration in effluent vapor has generally declined since system startup. Figure 4 provides an overview of the concentration trend over the report period. The containment system has removed a total of 297 pounds of TVOCs, with 235 pounds of Project TVOCs (79.2%) and 62 pounds of Non-project TVOCs (20.8%) as shown on Figure 5. Figure 6 also provides a mass removal rate, which has also declined since system startup.

Benzene, carbon tetrachloride and vinyl chloride, environmentally "A"-rated compounds (as defined in DAR-1 AGC/SGC tables, revised February 28, 2014), were detected in the effluent vapor sample during the annual reporting period; the concentrations were consistent with historical data. Historically, these are the only three environmentally "A"-rated compounds detected in the effluent vapor samples.

Eight tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were also identified by the laboratory (Table 4) during the fourth quarter reporting period. The concentrations of the TICs were consistent with data collected throughout the annual reporting period. The two most commonly identified TICs over the annual reporting period were alkane and pentane.

5.2.2.3 Condensate Sample

Collection of a compliance monitoring condensate sample was not required during the annual reporting period.

5.2.3 Air Emissions Model

Effluent vapor laboratory results were compared to the NYSDEC DAR-1 SGCs (Table 4). In addition, effluent vapor laboratory analytical results were compared to a site-specific modeled annual maximum allowable stack concentration (MASC). The annual MASC was calculated during each monitoring event for individual compounds using the output from a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SCREEN3 model in conjunction with the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGCs. A scaling factor was calculated using the SCREEN3 model with site-specific physical layout (e.g., building dimension, stack height, terrain) and operating data (e.g., discharge flow rate, temperature) inputs for each monitoring event. The scaling factor was then used to adjust (scale) the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGC to a site-specific annual MASC. A summary of the instantaneous percent (i.e., not time-weighted) of the site-specific annual MASC for detected compounds is provided in Table 6. A summary of the cumulative annual percent (i.e., time-weighted) of the site-specific MASC for detected compounds is also provided in Table 6. A summary of the model input, outputs, and backup calculations is provided in Table 5.

The BPSGCS effluent vapor met applicable air discharge criteria in the fourth quarter reporting period and throughout the annual reporting period, based on the following:

- The measured concentrations of individual VOCs in the effluent did not exceed applicable SGCs (Table 3).
- The measured concentrations of individual VOCs in the effluent did not exceed applicable instantaneous MASCs, as calculated using the USEPA SCREEN 3 Model (Table 6). Similarly, the time-weighted rolling average for all detected compounds is well below the MASCs.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

The following conclusions are provided regarding the performance and ability of the OU3 BPSGS to comply with the remedial action and compliance objectives for the Site:

- OM&M requirements of the OU3 BPSGCS OM&M Manual were met at the Site during the annual reporting period.
- The BPSGCS generally operated as designed during the annual reporting period to mitigate the off-site migration of soil gas.
 - The BPSGCS operated continuously with the exception of brief shutdown periods for routine and non-routine maintenance (approximately 98% uptime).
 - A total of 23 pounds of VOCs was removed from the subsurface during the annual reporting period, and a total of 297 pounds of VOCs was removed since system startup in 2008.
 - A vacuum of -0.1 iwc or greater was maintained at all induced vacuum monitoring points, based on the annual rolling average, throughout the annual reporting period. While data recorded at several wells indicated that vacuum induced at the well heads was slightly less than the targeted -0.1 iwc, during September and December, the issues causing the reduced vacuums (most notably a buildup of condensation water in the vacuum distribution piping) have been corrected and Northrop Grumman will continue to proactively manage this issue through condensate removal.
 - The operation of the BPSGCS complied with applicable NYSDEC SCGs during the annual reporting period.
 - Effluent vapor emissions met applicable AGC and SGC air discharge criteria during the annual reporting period.

6.2 Recommendations

Based on the information provided herein, Arcadis recommends to continue operation of the BPSGCS. No modifications or upgrades are needed at this time.

7 CERTIFICATION

Statement of Certification

On behalf of Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, I hereby certify and attest that the Operable Unit 3 Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System is operated in compliance with the remedial action objectives provided within the NYSDEC approved Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure Work Plan dated February 16, 2007, which was prepared pursuant to NYSDEC Administrative Order on Consent Index # W1-0018-04-01 referencing the Former Grumman Settling Ponds Site and dated July 4, 2005.

Christopher Engler, P.E. Engineer of Record

aristoplus D. Engles

License # 069748

8 REFERENCES

- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2007a. Operable Unit 3 Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure Work Plan, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A, February 16, 2007.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2007b. 95% Design Report, Operable Unit 3 Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A, September 7, 2007.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2008. Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring Manual, Appendix C, Sampling and Analysis Plan, Operable Unit 3 Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A, February 8, 2008.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2009a. Operable Unit 3, Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Manual, Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A, January 23, 2009.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2011b. Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Report for the Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure, 2010 Annual Summary Report, Operable Unite 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York. Site #1-30-003A, February 25, 2011.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2015b. Results of First Quarter 2015 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A, May 12, 2015.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2015c. Results of Second Quarter 2015 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A, August 11, 2015.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2015d. Results of Third Quarter 2015 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A, November 13, 2015.
- County of Nassau Department of Public Works (NCDPW). 2007. Letter Regarding Discharge of IRM Condensate Water, Northrop Grumman, OU3 Site, Bethpage, New York. October 16, 2007.
- NCDPW. 2008. Letter Regarding Discharge of IRM Condensate Water Northrop, Grumman, OU3 Site, Bethpage, New York. September 17, 2008.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). 2005. Order on Consent Index #WI-0018-04-01, Site # 1-30-003A, July 4, 2005.
- NYSDEC. 2008. Letter of Approval For Proposed Modifications, December 12, 2008.
- NYSDEC. 2014. Division of Air Resources (DAR-1) Guidelines for the Control of Toxic Ambient Air Contaminants dated 1991 and the AGC/SGC Tables dated February 28, 2014.
- Zervos, Theodore. 2007. Deposition of Theodore Zervos in the matter Town of Oyster Bay v. Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation et al. Case No. 05-CV-1945 (TCP)(AKT). January 22, 2007.

TABLES

Table 1
Annual Summary of General System Operating Parameters
Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.



	DW-7	7S Parame	eters	DW-	7D Param	eters	DW-	3S Param	eters	DW-	3D Param	eters	DW-	5S Param	eters	DW-	5D Param	eters	DW-	6S Param	eters	DW-6	6D Parame	eters
	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum
Date	scfm	iwc	iwc																					
03/12/15	88	-18	-2.0	4.5	-7.0	-0.44	6.0	-8.6	-0.33	10	-7.0	-0.44	83	-17	-1.7	14	-12	-2.6	74	-16	-1.9	7.2	-6.2	-1.7
06/11/15	120	-23	-2.0	4.0	-16	-0.38	5.0	-6.2	-0.22	10	-8.0	-0.32	90	-17	-1.4	13	-12	-2.3	70	-17	-1.4	6.8	-6.0	-1.4
09/04/15	105	-21	-1.8	4.0	-10	-0.40	5.5	-6.0	-0.23	13	-7.5	-0.34	95	-17	-1.6	13	-11	-2.2	82	-17	-1.6	6.4	-5.6	-1.3
12/16/15	105	-26	-1.3	8.0	-13	-0.54	8.0	-7.5	-0.27	4.0	-16	-0.23	108	-21	-1.9	14	-12	-2.5	69	-17	-1.6	2.6	-4.5	-0.63

Table 1
Annual Summary of General System Operating Parameters
Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.



	DW-1	IS Parame	eters	DW-	1D Param	eters	DW-	4S Param	eters	DW-	4D Param	eters	DW-	8S Param	eters	DW-	9S Param	eters	DW-2	2S Parame	eters	DW-2	2D Param	eters
	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum
Date	scfm	iwc	iwc																					
03/12/15	80	-22	-2.1	2.9	-3.0	-1.2	68	-15	-1.2	4.0	-5.8	-0.55	60	-20	-2.3	48	-16	-1.9	32	-28	-1.7	22	-15	-1.3
06/11/15	85	-21	-1.7	6.2	-3.8	-1.7	68	-15	-1.2	6.0	-6.2	-0.57	60	-18	-1.6	35	-14	-1.4	30	-23	-1.5	33	-22	-2.1
09/04/15	85	-22	-1.7	2.6	-2.3	-1.8	70	-15	-1.3	3.5	-5.0	-0.47	60	-18	-1.7	32	-13	-1.2	28	-24	-1.6	34	-23	-2.2
12/16/15	111	-25	-2.4	2.4	-2.5	-0.79	75	-16	-1.5	5.0	-7.5	-0.64	57	-20	-1.8	37	-15	-1.5	22	-21	-1.4	34	-23	-2.2

Table 1
Annual Summary of General System Operating Parameters
Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.



	DW-1	0S Param	eters	DW-1	1S Param	neters		ock Out T neters - Va	ank acuum	Condensate Water Collected ⁽¹⁾	Blower	Paramet 200	ters BL-	Blower	Parame 300	ters BL-	Blower	Parame 400	ters BL-		Combined	l Effluent Pa	ırameters	3
	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Flow Rate at Manifold	Vacuum at Manifold	Wellhead Vacuum	Influent KO- 200	Influent KO- 300	Influent KO- 400	Influent ST- 510	Influent Vacuum	Effluent Pressure	Blower Speed	Influent Vacuum	Effluent Pressure	Blower Speed	Influent Vacuum	Effluent Pressure	Blower Speed	Total Effluent Flow Rate [©]	Total Effluent PID	Heat Exchanger Influent Temp.	Total Effluent Pressure	Heat Exchanger Effluent Temp.
Date	scfm	iwc	iwc	scfm	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	Gallons	iwc	iwc	Hz	iwc	iwc	Hz	iwc	iwc	Hz	scfm	ppmv	°F	iwc	°F
03/12/15	37	-17	-2.3	34	-27	-2.5			-38	100							-38	1.0	60.00	639	0.0	100	2.0	75
06/11/15	30	-14	-1.5	33	-24	-2.2			-40	115							-44	1.0	60.00	597	0.2	115	2.0	120
09/04/15	32	-16	-1.7	33	-24	-2.3			-38	105							-37	1.0	60.00	576	0.0	107	2.0	104
12/16/15	35	-16	-1.8	26	-23	-2.3			-38	0							-41	1.5	60.00	662	0.0	105	2.5	87

Table 1 Annual Summary of General System Operating Parameters

Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System

Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.

Notes and Abbreviations:

°F degrees Fahrenheit DW depressurization well

gal gallons Hz Hertz

iwc inches of water column

not applicable

PID photoionization detector ppmv parts per million by volume scfm standard cubic feet per minute

- 1. Total gallons of water accumulated at storage tank ST-510 per quarter.
- 2. Total effluent air velocity in feet per minute was measured using a hand-held anemometer at the stack effluent location. The total effluent flow rate in scfm was calculated by multiplying the measured air velocity by the pipe area, the ratio of the standard air temperature to the measured air temperature, and the ratio of the measured air pressure.
- 3. Value was remeasured on March 13, 2015 due to an erroneous value recorded on March 12, 2015.



Table 2
Annual Summary of Induced Vacuum Readings at Compliance Monitoring Points
Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York. (1)(2)



Well ID:	DW	-7 S	DW-7D	DW-3S	DW-3D	DW	-5S	DW-5D		DW-1S		DW-1D	DW-4D	DW	-8S	DW	-2 S	DW	-2D	DW-	-11S
MP ID:	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-	VMWC-
	14A	14B	14D	11B	12D	15A	15B	15D	3A	3B	3C	3D	16D	16A	16B	7A	7B	13D	17D	18A	18B
Date	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc	iwc
03/12/15	-0.14	-0.19	-0.20	-0.17	-0.20	-0.30	-0.17	-0.20	-0.17	-0.18	-0.18	-0.18	-0.25	-0.20	-0.22	-0.20	-0.20	-0.11	-0.26	-0.20	-0.20
06/11/15	-0.11	-0.20	-0.20	-0.13	-0.20	-0.12	-0.11	-0.13	-0.20	-0.13	-0.12	-0.19	-0.17	-0.13	-0.15	-0.11	-0.10	-0.15	-0.23	-0.10	-0.13
09/04/15	-0.11	-0.18	-0.19	-0.12	-0.13	-0.15	-0.15	-0.15	-0.13	-0.14	-0.13	-0.18	-0.18	-0.13	-0.14	-0.11	-0.10	-0.25	-0.30	-0.099	-0.11
12/16/15	-0.14	-0.23	-0.19	-0.16	-0.13	-0.18	-0.17	-0.16	-0.17	-0.17	-0.18	-0.19	-0.20	-0.16	-0.17	-0.098	-0.096	-0.13	-0.25	-0.095	-0.11
Time Weighted Rolling Average ⁽³⁾	-0.13	-0.20	-0.19	-0.15	-0.16	-0.19	-0.15	-0.16	-0.17	-0.16	-0.15	-0.19	-0.20	-0.16	-0.17	-0.13	-0.12	-0.16	-0.26	-0.12	-0.14

Gross Average Compliance Points ⁽⁴⁾	
12/16/15	-0.16

Notes and Abbreviations:

DW depressurization well

VMWC vapor monitoring well cluster
iwc inches of water column

1 All induced vacuum measurements units in iwc. Values shown have been rounded to two significant figures.

2 Compliance goal is -0.1 iwc of vacuum at all compliance monitoring points, based on a twelve-month rolling average.

Time weighted rolling average calculated by summing the products of the instantaneous induced vacuum readings and the number of days between readings for a 12-

month monitoring period, and dividing by the total time period between the first and last quarterly induced vacuum readings.

4 Gross average compliance points calculated by summing the induced vacuum values for the noted monitoring event and dividing by the number of readings.

Table 3
Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results
Fourth Quarter 2015
Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.⁽¹⁾



Compound		Sample ID:	VSP-601	VSP-601	VSP-601	VSP-601
(units in μg/m³)	S	ample Date:	3/12/2015	6/11/2015	9/4/2015	12/16/2015
Project VOCs	CAS No.	SGC				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	9,000	10	9.8	13	8.7
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	NS	8.9	9.7	11	8.5
1.1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	380 ⁽³⁾	1.3	<3.2 U	1.0	0.83
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	NS	< 0.81 U	<3.2 U	<0.81 U	<0.81 U
Benzene	71-43-2	1,300	0.31 J	<2.6 U	23	0.38 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	190.000 ⁽⁴⁾	290 D	646 D	424 D	251 D
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	300	11	26	24	12
Toluene	108-88-3	37,000	1.2	1.7 J	24	4.5
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	190,000 ⁽⁴⁾	2.0	3.4	4.8	2.4
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	14,000	300 D	514	513 D	233 D
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	180,000	1,4	3.6	0.84	0.77
Xylene-O	95-47-6	22,000	< 0.87 U	<3.5 U	11	<0.87 U
Xylenes - M,P	1330-20-7	22,000	< 0.87 U	1.7 J	51.3	0.83 J
Subtotal Project VOCs			624	1,216	1,101	523
Non-Project VOCs						
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	NS	< 1.4 U	<5.5 U	<1.4 U	<1.4 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	NS	< 1.1 U	<4.4 U	<1.1 U	<1.1 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	NS	< 0.92 U	<3.7 U	<0.92 U	<0.92 U
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	NS	< 0.44 U	<1.8 U	<0.44 U	<0.44 U
2-Butanone	78-93-3	13,000	< 0.59 U	<2.4 U	1.1	<0.59 U
2-Hexanone	591-78-6	4,000	< 0.82 U	<3.3 U	1.8	<0.82 U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	108-10-1	31,000	< 0.82 U	<3.3 U	<0.82 U	<0.82 U
1-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (Freon 142b)	75-68-3	NS	66.6	298	337 D	87.5
Acetone	67-64-1	180,000	3.6	2.3	3.3	<0.48 U
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	NS	< 1.3 U	<5.4 U	<1.3 U	<1.3 U
Bromoform	75-25-2	NS	< 2.1 U	<8.3 U	<2.1 U	<2.1 U
Bromomethane	74-83-9	3,900	< 0.78 U	<3.1 U	<0.78 U	<0.78 U
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	6,200	< 0.62 U	<2.5 U	<0.62 U	<0.62 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	1,900	< 1.3 U	<5.0 U	1.1 J	<1.3 U
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	NS	< 0.92 U	<3.7 U	<0.92 U	<0.92 U
Chlorodibromomethane	124-48-1	NS	< 1.7 U	<6.8 U	<1.7 U	<1.7 U

Table 3
Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results
Fourth Quarter 2015
Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.⁽¹⁾



Compound (units in µg/m³)	Sa	Sample ID: ample Date:	VSP-601 3/12/2015	VSP-601 6/11/2015	VSP-601 9/4/2015	VSP-601 12/16/2015
(F.3)			0/ 12/20 10	G/ 1 // 20 10	o,o	12,10,2010
Non-Project VOCs (cont.)	CAS No.	SGC				
Chloroethane	75-00-3	NS	< 0.53 U	<2.1 U	<0.53 U	<0.53 U
Chlorodifluoromethane (Freon 22)	75-45-6	NS	3.3	<2.8 U	2.0	<0.70 U
Chloroform	67-66-3	150	7.3	11	21	15
Chloromethane	74-87-3	22,000	< 0.41 U	<1.7 U	<0.41 U	<0.41 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-01-5	NS	< 0.91 U	<3.6 U	<0.91 U	<0.91 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	75-71-8	NS	2.5	2.3 J	4.1	2.2
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	NS	< 0.87 U	<3.5 U	4.8	<0.87 U
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	76-13-1	960,000	< 1.5 U	<6.1 U	<1.5 U	<1.5 U
Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether	1634-04-4	NS	< 0.72 U	<2.9 U	<0.72 U	<0.72 U
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	14,000	5.2	<2.8 U	0.80	1.3
Styrene	100-42-5	17,000	< 0.85 U	<3.4 U	<0.85 U	<0.85 U
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-02-6	NS	< 0.91 U	<3.6 U	<0.91 U	<0.91 U
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	75-69-4	9,000	1.6	<4.5 U	1.9	1.2
,	-	•		•		-
Subtotal Non-Project VOCs			90	314	379	107
-						
TVOC ⁽²⁾			714	1,530	1,480	630



Notes and Abbreviations:

Bold Bold data indicates that the analyte was detected at or above its reporting limit.

ELAP Environmental Laboratory Approval Program.

NS Guideline concentrations not specified in the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGC/SGC tables, revised February 28, 2014. An interim SGC was not developed for these compounds

because they have low toxicity ratings, as specified in the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGC/SGC tables, revised February 28, 2014.

CAS No. Chemical Abstracts Service list number.

DAR-1 Division of Air Resources-1 Air Guide-1.

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

NYSDOH New York State Department of Health.

AGC Allowable Annual Guideline Concentration.

D Based on diluted sample analysis

J Estimated.Not analyzed.

U Compound was analyzed for but not detected

USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

VOC volatile organic compound μg/m³ micrograms per cubic meter

Compound not detected above its laboratory quantification limit.

- 1. Vapor samples collected by ARCADIS on the dates shown and submitted to a NYSDOH ELAP certified laboratory for VOC analyses per Modified USEPA Method TO-15.
- 2. TVOC determined by summing individual detections and rounding to the nearest whole number.
- 3. An SGC was not provided in the DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, revised February 28, 2014. An interim SGC was developed based on guidance provided in Section IV.A.2.b.1 of the New York State DAR-1 Guidelines for the Control of Toxic Ambient Air Contaminants, 1991 edition. Specifically for 1,1- dichloroethene, which is not defined as a high-toxicity compound, the Interim SGC = (smaller of Time Weighted Average [TWA] Threshold Limit Value or TWA Recommended Exposure Limit)/4.2. or 1,600 µg/m³ / 4.2 = approximately 380 µg/m³. An interim SGC was developed for this compound because it has a moderate toxicity rating, as specified in the DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, revised February 28, 2014.
- 4. An SGC was not provided in the DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, revised February 28, 2014. An interim SGC was developed based on guidance provided in Section IV.A.2.b.1 of the New York State DAR-1 Guidelines for the Control of Toxic Ambient Air Contaminants, 1991 edition. Specifically for cis-1,2 dichloroethene and trans-1,2 dichloroethene, which are not defined as a high-toxicity compounds, the interim SGC = (smaller of Time Weighted Average [TWA] Threshold Limit Value or TWA Recommended Exposure Limit)/4.2 or 790,000 μg/m³ / 4.2 = approximately 190,000 μg/m³. An interim SGC was developed for these compounds because they have moderate toxicity ratings, as specified in the DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, revised February 28, 2014.

Table 4 **Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results** Fourth Quarter 2015, Tentatively Identified Compounds Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York. (1,2,3)



Sample ID: Sample Date: Units:		VSP-601 6/11/2015 ppbv	VSP-601 9/4/2015 ppbv	VSP-601 12/16/2015 ppbv
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene			2.9 JN	
2,3-Dimethylpentane				1.4 JN
2-Methylbutane				2.3 JN
2-Methylpentane				3.8 JN
3-Methylhexane				1.4 JN
3-Methylpentane				2.2 JN
Alkane	2.7 JN		3.8 JN	2.5 JN
C3 Alkyl Benzene			1.4 JN	
Methylcyclopentane				3.8 JN
Pentane			1.8 JN	1.5 JN
Unknown Alkene			2.4 JN	
Unknown Alkene			1.3 JN	
Unknown Alkene			1.3 JN	

Notes and Abbreviations:

Not analyzed.

ELAP Environmental Laboratory Approval Program.

JN Compound tentatively identified, concentration is estimated.

NYSDO New York State Department of Health.

ppbv parts per billion by volume

USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

VOC volatile organic compound

- 1. Vapor samples collected by ARCADIS on the dates shown and submitted to a NYSDOH ELAP certified laboratory for VOC analyses per Modified USEPA Method TO-15.
- Tentatively identified compounds are identified based on review of mass spectrometry results via a comprehensive library search of all organic compounds 2.
- 3. All results are estimated.

Table 5
Summary of SCREEN3 Model Input and Outputs
Fourth Quarter 2015



Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.

Parameters Date Sampled:	03/12/15	06/11/15	09/04/15	12/16/15
SCREEN3 Model Input				
Source Type	Point	Point	Point	Point
Emission Rate (g/s)	1	1	1	1
Stack Height (m)	10.1	10.1	10.1	10.1
Stack Inside Diameter (m)	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41
Air Flow Rate (scfm) ⁽¹⁾	639 ⁽⁹⁾	597	576	662
Air Flow Rate (acfm @ stack temp) ⁽²⁾	645	653	613	683
Stack Gas Exit Temperature (K) ⁽¹⁾	297	322	313	304
Ambient Air Temperature (K) ⁽³⁾	277	297	297	281
Receptor Height (m) ⁽⁴⁾	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Urban/Rural	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban
Building Height (m)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
Min Horizontal Bldg Dim (m)	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Max Horizontal Bldg Dim (m)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Consider Bldg Downwash?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Simple/Complex Terrain Above Stack	Simple	Simple	Simple	Simple
Simple/Complex Terrain Above Stack Base	Simple	Simple	Simple	Simple
Meteorology	Full	Full	Full	Full
Automated Distances Array	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Terrain Height Above Stack Base	0	0	0	0
SCREEN3 Model Output				
1-HR Max Concentration at Receptor Height (µg/m³) ⁽⁵⁾	1301	1257	1330	1248
Annualization Factor ⁽⁶⁾	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Average Annual Concentration at Receptor Height (µg/m³) ⁽⁷⁾	104.1	100.6	106.4	99.8
Distance To Max Concentration (m) ⁽⁸⁾	45	45	44	46

Table 5

Summary of SCREEN3 Model Input and Outputs

Fourth Quarter 2015

Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System

Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.

Notes and Abbreviations:

acfm actual cubic feet per minute

ft feet

g/s grams per second °K degrees Kelvin

m meter

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{scfm} & \text{standard cubic feet per minute} \\ \mu \text{g/m}^3 & \text{micrograms per cubic meter} \end{array}$

USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

- 1. The stack air flow rate (in scfm) and exit temperature were measured using a handheld thermo-anemometer. Values were measured at the stack effluent location.
- 2. The stack air flow rate at the stack temperature (in acfm) was calculated by dividing the stack air flow rate in scfm by the ratio of the standard temperature to the actual stack gas exit temperature.
- 3. The ambient temperature was recorded from the weather.newday.com website for Islip, New York. The mean actual temperature from the website was used in model calculation.
- 4. The receptor height corresponds to the average inhalation level.
- 5. SCREEN3 calculated constituent concentration at listed conditions at the specified inhalation level.
- 6. A USEPA time averaging conversion factor of 0.08 was used to convert the 1-hour maximum concentration output to an annual average.
- 7. Average annual constituent concentration at the receptor height was calculated by multiplying the one hour maximum concentration by the annualization factor.
- 8. SCREEN3 calculated distance to the 1-hour maximum concentration.
- 9. Value remeasured on March 13, 2015 due to an erroneous value recorded on March 12, 2015.



Table 6
Summary of Maximum Allowable Stack Concentration Calculations
Fourth Quarter 2015



Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.

Compound	Actual Effluent Concentrations ⁽¹⁾ (μg/m ³)					
Compound	3/12/2015	6/11/2015	9/4/2015	12/16/2015		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	10	9.8	13	8.7		
1,1-Dichloroethane	8.9	9.7	11	8.5		
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.3	0	1.0	0.83		
1-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (Freon 142b)	66.6	298	337	87.5		
2-Butanone	0	0	1.1	0		
2-Hexanone	0	0	1.8	0		
Acetone	3.6	2.3	3.3	0		
Benzene	0.31	0	23	0.38		
Carbon tetrachloride	0	0	1.1	0		
Chlorodifluoromethane (Freon 22)	3.3	0	2	0		
Chloroform	7.3	11	21	15		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	292	646	424	251		
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	2.5	2.3	4.1	2.2		
Ethylbenzene	0	0	4.8	0		
Methylene Chloride	5.2	0	0.8	1.3		
Tetrachloroethene	11	26	24	12		
Toluene	1.2	1.7	24	4.5		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	2	3.4	4.8	2.4		
Trichloroethylene	296	514	513	233		
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	1.6	0	1.9	1.2		
Vinyl chloride	1.4	3.6	0.84	0.77		
Xylene-O	0	0	11	0		
Xylenes - M,P	0	1.7	51.3	0.83		

Table 6
Summary of Maximum Allowable Stack Concentration Calculations
Fourth Quarter 2015



Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.

Compound	AGC ⁽²⁾	Annual MASC ⁽³⁾ (μg/m3)				
Compound	(µg/m³)	3/12/2015	6/11/2015	9/4/2015	12/16/2015	
I,1,1-Trichloroethane	5,000	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	
,1-Dichloroethane	0.63	2.0E+04	2.0E+04	2.0E+04	2.0E+04	
,1-Dichloroethene	200	6.3E+06	6.5E+06	6.5E+06	6.2E+06	
-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (Freon 142b)	50,000	1.6E+09	1.6E+09	1.6E+09	1.6E+09	
-Butanone	5,000	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	
-Hexanone	30	9.5E+05	9.7E+05	9.7E+05	9.3E+05	
cetone	30,000	9.5E+08	9.7E+08	9.7E+08	9.3E+08	
Benzene	0.13	4.1E+03	4.2E+03	4.2E+03	4.0E+03	
Carbon tetrachloride	0.17	5.4E+03	5.5E+03	5.5E+03	5.3E+03	
chlorodifluoromethane (Freon 22)	50,000	1.6E+09	1.6E+09	1.6E+09	1.6E+09	
hloroform	14.7	4.6E+05	4.7E+05	4.8E+05	4.6E+05	
sis-1,2-Dichloroethene	63	2.0E+06	2.0E+06	2.0E+06	2.0E+06	
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	12,000	3.8E+08	3.9E+08	3.9E+08	3.7E+08	
thylbenzene	1,000	3.2E+07	3.2E+07	3.2E+07	3.1E+07	
ethylene Chloride	60	1.9E+06	1.9E+06	1.9E+06	1.9E+06	
etrachloroethene	4.0	1.3E+05	1.3E+05	1.3E+05	1.2E+05	
oluene	5,000	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	63	2.0E+06	2.0E+06	2.0E+06	2.0E+06	
ichloroethylene	0.2	6.3E+03	6.5E+03	6.5E+03	6.2E+03	
chlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	5,000	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	1.6E+08	
nyl chloride	0.068	2.1E+03	2.2E+03	2.2E+03	2.1E+03	
lene-O	100	3.2E+06	3.2E+06	3.2E+06	3.1E+06	
ylenes - M,P	100	3.2E+06	3.2E+06	3.2E+06	3.1E+06	

Table 6
Summary of Maximum Allowable Stack Concentration Calculations
Fourth Quarter 2015
Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System,

Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.



Compound	Percent of Annual MASC ⁽⁴⁾					
	3/12/2015	6/11/2015	9/4/2015	12/16/2015	MASC ⁽⁵⁾	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.045%	0.048%	0.054%	0.043%	0.0%	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
1-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (Freon 142b)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
2-Butanone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
2-Hexanone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Acetone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Benzene	0.0076%	0.0%	0.54%	0.01%	0.1%	
Carbon tetrachloride	0.0%	0.0%	0.020%	0.0%	0.0%	
Chlorodifluoromethane (Freon 22)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Chloroform	0.0016%	0.0023%	0.0044%	0.0033%	0.0%	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.015%	0.032%	0.021%	0.013%	0.0%	
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Ethylbenzene	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Methylene Chloride	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Tetrachloroethene	0.0087%	0.020%	0.018%	0.010%	0.0%	
Toluene	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0001%	0.0002%	0.0002%	0.0001%	0.0%	
Trichloroethylene	4.7%	8.0%	7.9%	3.7%	6.0%	
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
/inyl chloride	0.065%	0.16%	0.04%	0.04%	0.1%	
Xylene-O	0.0%	0.0%	0.0003%	0.0%	0.0%	
Xylenes - M,P	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Table 6

Summary of Maximum Allowable Stack Concentration Calculations

Fourth Quarter 2015

Northrop Grumman Operable Unit 3, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System,

Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York.



AGC Allowable Annual Guideline Concentration
DAR-1 Division of Air Resources Air Guide-1
MASC Maximum Allowable Stack Concentration

μg/m³ micrograms per cubic meter

NS Guideline concentrations not specified in the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGC/SGC tables, revised February 28, 2014.

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation


SGC Short-term Guideline Concentration


% percent


- 1. Actual effluent concentrations are analytical results from air samples collected on the dates shown. Data in this table corresponds to the past year of system operation. Table summarizes detected compounds only.
- 2. AGC refers to the compound-specific AGC per the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGC/SGC tables, revised February 28, 2014.
- 3. Annual MASC was calculated by dividing the product of the AGC of a compound and the ratio of the SCREEN3 gas emission rate and the SCREEN 3 average annual concentration at receptor height by the air flow rate at the stack temperature and multiplying by the appropriate conversion factors.
- 4. Percent of MASC was calculated by dividing the actual effluent concentration by the MASC for the past four quarters of operation.
- 5. Cumulative percent of the MASC was calculated using a time-weighted average of the percent MASC per event. Values shown have been rounded to



FIGURES







Notes:

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter.

TVOCs = Sum of VOCs detected.

VOC = Volatile organic compound.

Project VOCs = Sum of 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethene; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.

Non-Project VOCs = Sum of VOCs that are not Project VOCs.

- 1. Results prior to March 3, 2008 are not shown to improve figure clarity. The TVOC concentrations and sample dates are as follows: February 18, 2008 20,622 μ g/L, February 19, 2008 14,519 μ g/L, and February 25, 2008 8,196 μ g/L.
- 2. The sample results from December 3, 2010 were not consistent with historical data and is not included in this figure. The TVOC concentration for December 3, 2010 was 13 μ g/L and is provided in Table 3 and Appendix A.


BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS
CONTAINMENT SYSTEM, OPERABLE UNIT 3
(FORMER GRUMMAN SETTLING PONDS)
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

SOIL GAS VOC CONCENTRATIONS THROUGH DECEMBER 2015



FIGURE

4



μg/L = micrograms per liter.

TVOCs = Sum of VOCs detected.

VOC = Volatile organic compound.

Project VOCs = Sum of 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethene; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.

Non-Project VOCs = Sum of VOCs that are not Project VOCs.

1. The sample results from December 3, 2010 were not consistent with historical data and thus, the recovery rate is not included in this table. The samples results from December 3, 2010 are provided in Table 3 and Appendix A.

BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM, OPERABLE UNIT 3


(FORMER GRUMMAN SETTLING PONDS)
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

CUMULATIVE TOTAL, PROJECT, AND NON-PROJECT VOC MASS REMOVED THROUGH DECEMBER 2015



FIGURE

5



Notes:

μg/L = micrograms per liter.

TVOCs = Sum of VOCs detected.

VOC = Volatile organic compound.

Project VOCs = Sum of 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethene; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.

Non-Project VOCs = Sum of VOCs that are not Project VOCs.

- 1. Results prior to March 3, 2008 are not shown to improve figure clarity. The TVOC concentrations and sample dates are as follows: February 19, 2008 2.2 lbs/day and February 25, 2008 1.3 lbs/day.
- 2. The sample results from December 3, 2010 were not consistent with historical data and thus the recovery rate is not included in this figure. The TVOC concentration for December 3, 2010 was 13 μ g/L and is provided in Table 3 and Appendix A.

BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS
CONTAINMENT SYSTEM, OPERABLE UNIT 3
(FORMER GRUMMAN SETTLING PONDS)
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

VOC MASS RECOVERY RATES
THROUGH
DECEMBER 2015



FIGURE

6



Arcadis of New York, Inc.

Two Huntington Quadrangle Suite 1S10 Melville, New York 11747 Tel 631 249 7600 Fax 631 249 7610

www.arcadis.com