

# Retention Guidance on Wildlife Management Areas

- I. Summary:** This guidance provides the procedures for establishing and maintaining forest retention on all lands administered by the Bureau of Wildlife including Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs) and select Multiple Use Areas (MUAs) and Unique Areas during forest management activities.
- II. Guidance:** It is the policy of the DEC Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources (Division) to include forest retention during the planning and implementation of all silvicultural treatments on WMAs and select MUAs and Unique Areas applied at the stand level.
- III. Purpose:** The purpose of this policy is to specify the quantity and distribution of live and dead trees to be retained during stand treatments and through at least the next rotation. Forest retention is a strategy for conserving biodiversity in stands managed for timber production. Retention and recruitment of snags, cavity trees, coarse woody debris (CWD), fine woody material (FWM) and other features preserve the structural and compositional complexity necessary for conserving biodiversity and maintaining long term ecosystem productivity.
- IV. Background:** Foresters have long recognized the importance of “wildlife trees” (snags, cavity trees, retained live trees and CWD) as necessary components of a healthy, diverse forest. Retention of live and dead trees to enhance or provide wildlife habitat has been the subject of much research going back decades (Evans and Conner 1979, DeGraff and Shigo 1985, and Tubbs, et.al. 1987).

DeGraff et al. (1992) documented over 50 wildlife species dependent upon cavity trees for feeding, roosting, or nesting/denning sites. In addition to vertebrate wildlife species, numerous invertebrate species such as wasps, spiders and honeybees depend upon cavities for habitat. Providing an abundance of trees suitable to maintain cavity nesting bird populations maintains avian species diversity while also directly benefitting the forest. Most cavity nesting birds are insectivorous. Researchers have demonstrated the increased growth of forests when insectivorous birds are present to control populations of leaf eating insects (Marquis and Whelan 1994).

DeGraff et al. (1992) also documented 39 wildlife species (esp. small mammals and amphibians) that use dead and down woody material for foraging or shelter and 65 species that use overstory inclusions (pockets of hardwood trees within conifer stands or groups of conifers within hardwood areas) for feeding, nesting or winter shelter. The retention of dead and down trees also provides habitat for many invertebrates, vascular plants, lichens, fungi, mosses and microorganisms. CWD and FWM are also essential for

nutrient cycling and provide a seedbed for the establishment of some tree species (Harmon, et. al. 1986). Much of New York's WMAs are gradually recovering from the complete loss of dead wood material as a result of agricultural clearing. On many of these areas, there is still a lack of any CWD even up to 70 years after reforestation.

In the 1990s, scientists incorporated the retention of "wildlife trees" into the larger concept of biological legacies. Biological legacies are defined as: "the organisms, or a biologically derived structure or pattern inherited from a previous ecosystem – note biological legacies often include large trees, snags, and down logs left after harvesting..." (Helms, 1998). Biological legacies also include other ecological features that are vulnerable to timber harvesting such as vernal pools, small forest wetlands and patches of rare or unusual plant species. In addition to the obvious function of providing habitat for wildlife species as described above, biological legacies are valued for their "lifeboating" function after a period of heavy disturbance. Examples of such function include:

- Perpetuating plant species that would otherwise be lost as a result of the disturbance.
- Perpetuating living organisms by providing nutrients, habitat and modifying microclimatic conditions.
- Providing habitat for recolonizing species by structurally enriching the new stand and providing protective cover in the disturbed area (Franklin, et. al. 2007).

The function of "lifeboating" is most pertinent after a large disturbance such as an even-aged regeneration harvest. Lifeboating is believed to be most effective at protecting those species with limited dispersal capabilities such as herbaceous plants, lichens, mosses, invertebrates and terrestrial amphibians. Bellemare et.al. (2002) documents the difficulty many forest herbs have at recolonizing secondary forests, many decades after the sites have been reforested, and that such herbs are often present on sites that escaped the extermination effects of forest clearing and plowing such as bedrock outcrops, rocky slopes and along hedgerows. Sites such as these would be examples of desirable locations for retention.

If biological legacies are to be deliberately left, they must first be recognized and incorporated into harvest prescriptions. This practice is known as the variable retention harvest system and is defined as: "an approach to harvesting based on the retention of structural elements or biological legacies (trees, snags, logs, etc.) from the harvested stand into the new stand to achieve various ecological objectives. Major variables are types, densities and spatial arrangements of retained structures" (Helms 1998; Franklin et.al. 2007). Variable retention harvests can be incorporated into traditional regeneration harvest systems (clearcut, seed tree, shelterwood or selection) to enable managers to protect a wider array of site characteristics for conservation of biodiversity while still establishing conditions for desirable tree regeneration. This practice has also been known as [regeneration method] with reserves.

There is also recognition that traditional silviculture has the potential to reduce or largely eliminate cavity and snag trees, as well as CWD. Kenefic and Nyland (2007) reported

that managers need to deliberately incorporate cavity tree retention as part of their marking strategy to maintain cavity trees in stands where the focus of management is on growing high-value trees.

In the development of this policy, existing research results and similar standards or guidelines of other states were reviewed including those of Wisconsin, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Minnesota, New Hampshire and Maine. While the requirements inevitably vary somewhat among the states, there is broad consensus on the need for such a policy to assist managers in maintaining diverse, healthy and productive forests.

- V. Responsibility:** The responsibility for interpretation and update of this document and the overall management of WMAs shall reside with the Office of Natural Resources Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources or its successor.

**VI. Definitions:**

**Cavity Tree** – Live or dead tree with excavations sufficient for wildlife nesting, denning and shelter.

**Coarse Woody Debris (CWD)** - Any piece of dead wood >6” in diameter including logs, limbs, and large root masses on the ground or in streams (Helms, 1998).

**Fine Woody Material (FWM)** - Any piece of dead wood ≤ 6” in diameter including stems, tree tops, slash and branches on the ground.

**Hardwood/Conifer Inclusion** - Groups or individual stems of hardwoods or conifers within conifer or hardwood stands respectively. The area and/or distribution of the inclusions are such that it is not practical to type them out as individual stands. **Recruitment (Legacy) Tree** - Live tree that is permanently retained to eventually develop into a cavity tree, snag, or downed woody material (CWD and FWM) within the stand or to retain a unique feature on the landscape.

**Reserve Tree** - Overstory tree left uncut through at least the next harvest rotation. **Retention** – A forest management tool designed to retain trees as key structural elements of a harvested stand for at least one harvest rotation (Franklin et al. 1997) **Rotation** – In even-aged systems, the period between regeneration establishment and final cutting (Helms, 1988)

**Snag** – A standing dead tree that is at least 20’ tall (DeGraff and Shigo, 1985).

## VII. Procedure:

1. Retention is to be incorporated into all silvicultural treatments undertaken on WMAs and applied at the stand level. Each practice should be considered during the planning, layout and design of each silvicultural treatment. Refer to **Table 2 Stand Treatments and Applicable Retention Practices** in section **VIII. Related References** to determine which of the seven retention practices listed below are required to be incorporated into a given silvicultural treatment. If a practice is not required, as specified in Table 2, it may still be incorporated at the discretion of the forester implementing the silvicultural treatment. *Deviations from this guidance to meet the habitat needs of specific YFI target species for which regeneration harvests are being implemented are allowed and shall be addressed in the individual stand prescription.*

- 1.1. **Snags:** For all silvicultural treatments retain existing snags within a stand with the exception of those removed for safety and to protect forest health. Retain an average of at least four snags per acre with a goal of two between 11”-17” dbh and two 18” dbh or larger.
  - 1.1.1. In stands with a deficiency of snags, live trees will be selected as additional recruitment trees to become future snags.
    - 1.1.1.1. The contractor, at their sole discretion, has the option to fell any tree they consider a hazard.
- 1.2. **Cavity Trees:** For all silvicultural treatments retain an average of at least four cavity trees per acre with a goal of three between 11”-17” dbh and one  $\geq 18$ ” dbh or larger.
  - 1.2.1. In stands lacking cavity trees, retain live trees as additional recruitment trees to become future cavity trees.
  - 1.2.2. Dead trees with cavities may satisfy both the snag retention requirement in addition to the cavity tree retention goal.
- 1.3. **Recruitment (Legacy) Trees:** Retain an average of at least one live tree per acre in the largest pre-harvest diameter class.
  - 1.3.1. In even aged stands recruitment trees are identified at the time of regeneration harvest.
  - 1.3.2. In uneven aged stands recruitment trees are identified during intermediate treatments.
- 1.4. **Reserve Trees:** In even-age stands 5 acres or larger in size and at the time of regeneration harvest retain  $\geq 5\%$  of the stand area in reserve patches that are 0.1 - 2 acres in size or  $\geq 5\%$  of the pre-harvest basal area in dispersed individual trees.
- 1.5. **Hardwood/Conifer Inclusions:** Depending upon target species habitat preferences, promote mixed stand conditions where appropriate having both conifers and hardwoods where possible.

- 1.5.1. During intermediate treatments in conifer plantations, where possible, retain at least 10% of the overall pre-harvest basal area in hardwoods.
- 1.5.2. In natural stands, where possible retain conifers in hardwood stands and retain hardwoods in conifer stands so that they compose at least 5% of the overall pre-harvest basal area.
- 1.5.3. Hardwood/Conifer Inclusions may contribute toward retention standards for Reserve Trees, Recruitment (legacy) Trees, and Cavity Trees.

**1.6. Coarse Woody Debris (CWD):** Retain at least three logs  $\geq 10''$  in diameter at the small end and 16' in length or an equivalent volume in longer or shorter lengths per acre.

**1.7. Fine Woody Material (FWM):** Retain at least 20% of fine woody material of harvested trees when conducting the regeneration harvest on even-age managed stands.

2. The Stand Prescription will indicate whether or not the minimum standard for each Retention Practice that is required for the silvicultural treatment applied will be met, and how any deficiencies will be addressed (example: recruitment trees are needed to satisfy a deficiency in snags).
3. The retention features should be evident after the silvicultural treatment is completed. At the completion of the silvicultural treatment and at a time when individual stands are inspected, the forester should make an ocular estimate as to whether or not each retention practice was achieved within each treated stand. The forester should document on page two of the Bureau's *Wildlife Management Area Timber Sale Completion and Inspection Report* (v. 3/10 or later) the level of each retention practice achieved including, if applicable, justification for why the conditions do not meet the minimum standards stated above.

## VIII. Related References:

**Table 1. Summary of Retention Practices**

<b>Retention Practice</b>	<b>Standard</b>
Snags	Two 11"-17" dbh/ac. and two $\geq 18$ " dbh/ac.
Cavity Trees	Three 11"-17" dbh/ac. and one $\geq 18$ " dbh/ac
Recruitment Trees	One $\geq 18$ " dbh/ac. Plus any additional trees needed to satisfy deficiencies in snag or cavity trees.
Reserve Trees	EA regeneration harvest $\geq 5$ ac.: $\geq 5\%$ of stand area or $\geq 5\%$ of pre-harvest stocking.
Hardwood/Conifer Inclusions	Conifer plantations: During intermediate treatments retain $\geq 10\%$ of pre-harvest basal area in hardwoods where possible. Natural hardwood stands: retain $\geq 5\%$ of pre-harvest basal area in conifers where possible. Natural conifers stands: retain $\geq 5\%$ of pre-harvest basal area in hardwoods where possible.
Coarse Woody Debris (CWD)	Retain $\geq$ three logs $\geq 10$ " diameter at small end and $\geq 16$ '/ac. or equivalent volume in other lengths.
Fine Woody Material (FWM)	Retain 20% of FWM $\leq 6$ " in diameter

**Table 2. Stand Treatments and Applicable Retention Practices**

Key: **1** = required if present, **2** = may be needed to satisfy a deficiency in another required practice, **3** = optional

<b>Treatment</b>	<b>Snag</b>	<b>Cavity</b>	<b>Recruitment</b>	<b>Reserve</b>	<b>Inclusions</b>	<b>CWD</b>	<b>FWM</b>
Pre-Commercial Thinning	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1, 2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Even-Age Commercial Thinning	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1, 2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Even-Age Regeneration Harvest $\leq 5$ acres	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Even-Age Regeneration Harvest $> 5$ acres	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1, 2</b>	<b>1, 2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Uneven-Age Treatment	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1, 2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Conversion to shrubland	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
Salvage harvest*	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Sanitation harvest**	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>

\* Satisfy retention standards to greatest extent possible. \*\*Satisfy retention standards to greatest extent possible while achieving forest health goal.

## Literature Cited

Bellemare, J., Motzkin, G., and D. Foster. 2002. Legacies of the Agricultural Past in the Forested Present: An Assessment of Historical Land-Use Effects on Rich Mesic Forests. *Journal of Biogeography* 29:1401-1420.

Chambers, R.D. 1983. *Intergrating Timber and Wildlife*. SUNY ESF. Syracuse, NY

DeGraff, R.M. and A.L. Shigo. 1985. *Managing Cavity Trees for Wildlife in the Northeast*. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-101

DeGraff, R.M., Yamasaki, M., Leak, W.B., and J. W. Lanier. 1992. *New England Wildlife: Management of Forested Habitats*. USDA For. Serv. NE Forest Experimental Station. Gen. Tech. Report NE-144.

Evans, K.E. and R.N. Conner. 1979. Snag Management. pp. 214-225 in *Management of North Central and Northeastern Forests for NONGAME BIRDS*. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-51.

Franklin, J.F., D.R. Berg, D.A. Thornburgh, and J.C. Tappeiner. 1997. *Alternative silvicultural approaches to timber harvesting: variable retention systems*. In *Creating a Forestry for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: The Science of Ecosystem Management* (K.A. Kohn and J.F. Franklin, Eds.). Island Press, Washington, D.C.

Franklin, J.F., Mitchell, R.J. and B.J. Palik. 2007. *Natural Disturbance and Stand Development Principles for Ecological Forestry*. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NRS-19

Kenefic, L.S. and Nyland, R.D. 2007 Cavity Trees, Snags, and Selection Cutting: A Northern Hardwood Case Study. *North. J. Appl. For.* 24(3) 192-196.

Harmon, M.E., Franklin, J.F., Swanson, F.J., Sollins, P., Gregory, S.V., Lattin, J.D., Anderson, N.H., Cline, S.P., Aumen, N.G., Sedell, J.R., Lienkaemper, G.W., Cromack, K. Jr., and K.W. Cummins. 1986. Ecology of Coarse Woody Debris in Temperate Forest Ecosystems. *Advances in Ecological Research*. Vol. 15, pp. 133-302.

Hartley, M.J. 2002. Rationale and Methods for Conserving Biodiversity in Plantation Forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*. Vol. 155, pp. 81-95.

Healy, W.M., Brooks, R.T., DeGraaf, R.M. Cavity Trees in Sawtimber-Sized Oak Stands in Central Massachusetts. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry*. Vol. 6, pp. 61-65.

Helms, J.A. 1998. *The Dictionary of Forestry*. The Society of American Foresters.

[http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/etools/logging/sections/preamble/harvesting\\_preamble.html](http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/etools/logging/sections/preamble/harvesting_preamble.html)

Marquis, R.J. and Whelan C.J. 1994. Insectivorous Birds Increase Growth of White Oak through Consumption of Leaf-Chewing Insects. *Ecology*: Vol. 75, No. 7, pp.2007-2014.

Tubbs, C.H., R.M. DeGraff, M. Yamasaki, and W.M. Healy. 1987. *Guide to Wildlife Tree Management in New England Northern Hardwoods*. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-118.