

# The Waterbody Inventory

## Priority Waterbodies List

### Assessment Methodology

---

*Assessment Methodology* refers to what monitoring tools are used and how resulting data and information are interpreted to determine the level of support of designated uses and to arrive at an overall assessment of water quality. In some cases a lack of use support is apparent (e.g., beaches closed to public bathing or acid rain lakes devoid of fish). However, in most cases, designated use support is evaluated using established water quality criteria or surrogate indicators of water quality. The assessment methodology presented here outlines various water quality monitoring tools and considers other aspects of the resulting data and information, such as the type of data and information generated (numerical, observational/narrative or anecdotal), the source of the data/information, and the level of confidence in the data/information. The methodology also outlines specific criteria that relates water quality monitoring data and information to the degree of use support. Such criteria are critical to providing a balanced and consistent assessment of the quality of waters throughout New York State.

#### **Types of Assessment Criteria**

The methodology outlined here relies on a combination of three categories of assessment criteria:

- Use Restriction Orders,
- Numerical and Narrative Standards and Criteria, and
- Surrogate Water Quality Indicators

**Use Restriction Orders** are administrative restrictions or closures of waters to specific uses. These orders are issued by regulatory agencies charged with protecting particular aspects of public health and are based on data collected through monitoring activities directed by those agencies. While the restriction orders are based on monitoring data, the raw data itself is not usually re-interpreted by NYSDEC in making the use support decisions; rather the level of restricted use already in place drives the use support determination. Examples of use restriction orders include fish consumption advisories, closed shellfishing areas, seasonal or conditional shellfishing areas, public bathing beach closures, etc.

**Numerical (and narrative) Water Quality Standards and Criteria** represent parameter-specific thresholds for establishing limits regarding the discharge of substances to the waters of the state such that various water uses are protected. In New York State, such standards are adopted in the state Code of Rules and Regulations while criteria are established through development of formal DEC guidance. For many substances the standard or criterion exists as a numeric value; for other parameters, the standard/criterion is more descriptive (narrative) in nature (e.g., *no increase in turbidity that will cause a substantial visible contrast to natural conditions*). Although the use of standards and criteria (particularly numeric standards/criteria) would seem to be directly applicable to determining use support in ambient waters, an assessment methodology is necessary to address issues such as appropriate sampling methods, location, frequency or sample size, natural or background conditions, mixing zones, and so on.

**Surrogate Water Quality Indicators** are other measures of water quality conditions that are not established in standards or formal criteria. These are often used when an exact determination of use support is not possible. For example, it is difficult to say exactly when a waterbody moves from supporting to not supporting recreational activities. The use of water quality indicators, such as nutrient levels and Secchi disc measurements, bring added consistency to the evaluation. Biological assessments, sediment toxicity evaluations, Section 319 nonpoint source assessments, source water assessments, dilution calculations and predictive models all reflect levels of water quality condition and use support without reliance on standards. Even where these indicators are more subjective, indicator-specific criteria help to maintain a degree of consistency and allow for the incorporation of additional information/data sets into water quality assessments.

## Waterbody Inventory/Priority Waterbodies List

NYSDEC maintains information regarding use support, including impaired waters and lesser water quality impacts, through its *Waterbody Inventory/Priority Waterbodies List (WI/PWL)* database. The *Waterbody Inventory* refers to a listing of all waters, identified as specific individual waterbodies or Assessment Units, within the state. The Waterbody Inventory includes both assessed and currently unassessed waters. The *Priority Waterbodies List* is the subset of waters in the Waterbody Inventory that have documented water quality impairments, minor impacts and/or threats. The WI/PWL assessments provide the foundation for both the compilation of the biennial Section 305(b) Water Quality Report on all waters of the state, and for the development of the state Section 303(d) List, which is comprised of waters that do not meet water quality standards and do not support water uses and require development of a TMDL. More detail regarding the WI/PWL assessment effort can be found at <http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/23846.html>.

As well as providing the basis of the New York State Section 305(b)/303(d) integrated assessment, the water quality assessment information in the WI/PWL is also instrumental in directing other water quality efforts. It is used to prioritize monitoring, permitting and compliance activities, to provide a comprehensive inventory of water quality conditions suitable for establishing funding priorities, to enlist participation of other agencies and local partners, and to track progress toward improving the state's water resources. The methodology outlined here goes beyond Section 305(b)/303(d) Integrated Reporting and reflects the use of the WI/PWL in supporting these additional needs. The methodology specific to developing the Section 303(d) List of Impaired/TMDL waters is discussed in more detail in the Section 303(d) *Listing Methodology*.

As well as providing the basis of the New York State Section 305(b)/303(d) integrated assessment, the water quality assessment information in the WI/PWL is also instrumental in directing other water quality efforts. The methodology outlined here goes beyond Section 305(b)/303(d) integrated reporting and reflects the use of the WI/PWL in supporting these additional needs.

To accommodate a thorough evaluation including public participation, the review and updating of the WI/PWL follows a continuing rotating basin schedule in which two or three of the 17 drainage areas in the state are scheduled for reassessment each year. These basin reassessments typically follow the same basin five year rotation schedule employed by the NYSDEC Rotating Integrated Basin Studies (RIBS) monitoring program (<http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/30951.html>). This continuous rotating basin schedule allows for comprehensive solicitation of available data and information, meaningful public participation and review, and more thoughtful dialogue and consideration of water quality assessments. In addition, it is easier to manage than a biennial review of all waters of the state.

To incorporate recent well-documented information, particularly for waters that have not undergone a WI/WPL update during the two-year Integrated Reporting cycle, **NYSDEC will establish September 30 of the year prior to the issuing of a Section 305(b)/303(d) Integrated Report as the cut-off date to receive data and information to be considered for inclusion in the Section 305(b)/303(d) assessment.** Establishing a September 30 "cut-off" date (6 months before the Integrated Report is due) allows both an opportunity for consideration of additional data as well as sufficient time for consideration and comment by all parties on any proposed revisions to existing water quality assessments, and time for a public review component comparable to the WI/PWL process.

## **Segmentation of Waterbodies**

The delineation of waterbodies (Assessment Units) must strike a balance between being too specific (resulting in more segments than can be assessed with finite resources) and too general (resulting in segments that are too large and diverse and difficult to assess accurately). Determining specific boundaries for individual waterbody segments is based on a number of considerations. These factors, which correspond to those outlined in *EPA Guidance for 2004 Assessment, Listing and Reporting Requirements Pursuant to Sections 303(d) and 305(b) of the Clean Water Act* (July 21, 2003), include:

Waterbody Type Different waterbody types are not combined into single waterbody segments. That is, lakes (including reservoirs and ponds) are not combined with river reaches to form one segment. Similarly, estuary waters, ocean coastline and Great Lakes shoreline are distinct waterbody types that must be tracked as separate Assessment Units.

Stream Classification A change in the stream class (A, B, C) of a waterbody usually necessitates the division of the waterbody into separate segments, since the two different classes of waters will be assessed for the support of different designated uses. However, differences regarding trout support (T, TS waters) do not require designation of a separate segment. In the case of trout/trout spawning and non-trout portions of the same segment, the assessment reflects the support of the appropriate corresponding fish community. Similarly, Class AA, AA-Spcl or A-Spcl may be grouped with Class A waters in one segment, and Class I waters may be combined with Class SC waters which support similar uses. Note however that some small reaches of Class A or B waters might be combined with a Class C waterbody (and vice versa), if these small reaches are unlikely to be assessed separately.

Hydrologic Drainage Waterbodies that cross 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) and 11-digit watershed boundaries are usually broken into separate waterbody segments at the boundaries.

Waterbody Length/Size As a practical matter, waterbodies should not be too large or too small. There should also be some consistency with regard to segment size. Length/size of particular types of waterbody segments are outlined below.

Rivers and Streams - River and stream segments may be limited to main stem waters, or may include tributaries. Typically 5<sup>th</sup> order streams and above – which are significantly larger than their direct tributaries – are listed as main stem segments and tributary waters are listed as separate segments. Larger tributaries (or portions of tributaries) are considered as separate segments but in most cases include smaller tributary waters. Occasionally, smaller tributary waters to a larger main stem or lake are combined into one segment, where land use, hydrologic boundaries and other commonality indicate this is appropriate. Generally, river segments include between 10 and 25 miles of stream.

Lakes and Reservoirs - Lakes/reservoirs must be greater than 6.4 acres (0.01 square mile) to be included in the Waterbody Inventory. This is consistent with the threshold for inclusion in the New York State Lake Gazetteer. Lakes are generally listed as “entire lake.” However, some very large lakes (e.g., Susquehanna River) may be segmented into separate portions. Conversely, some lake chains and/or smaller lakes in more remote watersheds may be joined together as a single segment, if land use and other commonality indicate this is appropriate.

Estuary Waters - Estuary segments are defined by physical features and stream classification with less consideration to consistency of size. Homogeneity of the waters within a segment is a key consideration.

Great Lakes/Ocean Coastline - Segments are delineated to reflect classification, hydrologic unit boundaries, and political boundaries, with an attempt to be consistent in regard to size.

Land Use and Character In addition, all waters within a single waterbody segment should drain areas of generally similar land use and character. If land use and other character changes, a separate segment is considered.

Waterbody segments are **not** defined solely upon the length/size of area impacted by a water quality problem. Estimates of the extent of water quality impacts are often inexact and may change regularly. Therefore, using this information to establish segment boundaries would make the Waterbody Inventory/Priority Waterbodies List considerably more difficult to manage and update, while providing little added benefit. Flexibility in the segmenting of waterbodies is allowed in order to provide sufficient protection of all designated uses.

### Evaluation of Water Use Support

The assessment of New York State water resources is based on the ability of waters to support a range of specific designated uses (see box). The particular uses that a specific waterbody is expected to support are dependent upon the classification of that waterbody. For example, only specifically designated waterbodies are considered to have best uses of *Drinking Water Supply* (Class A, AA), *Shellfishing* (Class SA) and *Public Bathing* (Class A, SA, B, SB). (See Appendix B, *New York State Water Quality Classifications*.)

#### WI/PWL Water Uses

Drinking Water Supply  
Shellfishing  
Public Bathing  
Recreation  
Fish Consumption  
Aquatic Life  
Habitat/Hydrology  
Aesthetics

The determination of use support and degree of water quality impact is drawn from a wide range of available data sources and relies on various criteria. These sources and criteria include use restriction orders (drinking water restrictions, bathing beach closures, fish consumption and shellfishing advisories), comparison of data (from NYSDEC ambient monitoring network as well as other agency, local or public/citizen monitoring program) with parameter-specific criteria that reflect water quality standards, the use of surrogate indicators, and qualitative perception and observational information (stream habitat assessments, recreational use or fishery resource surveys, citizen complaints). Given the growing involvement of local agency and citizen volunteers in water quality monitoring, the WI/PWL updating process has expanded to include a significant public participation and outreach component. This effort relies on a network of local Water Quality Coordinating Committees working in conjunction with the NYSDEC staff to capture additional available water quality information. To help ensure consistency in the assessments, basin update efforts begin with a regional WI/PWL workshop with other agency and local partners to introduce the assessment methodology and solicit water quality information.

After all readily available water quality information is collected, judgments and evaluations are made regarding:

- what specific use(s), if any, is/are affected,
- the severity of the impact on the use(s), and
- the level of documentation that corresponds to the use impact/impairment.

The focus of a water quality assessment is based on whether a specific use is restricted. If this is the case, then the severity of use impact (i.e., the degree to which the use is restricted) is evaluated as either *Precluded*, *Impaired*, *Stressed* or *Threatened* (see box). The water use impact and level of severity are also identified as *Known*, *Suspected* or *Possible* (see box) based upon available documentation. The severity of use impacts and the corresponding levels of documentation are dependent upon a number of factors, including the *magnitude* of the impact, the *frequency* of occurrence or *extent* of affected area, and *confidence* of data.

The *magnitude* of water quality impacts or degrees of use restrictions are reflected in the WI/PWL level of severity; the more significant the impact, the greater the severity. For example, fish consumption advisories may recommend eating no more than one fish per week (*Stressed*), eating no more than one meal per month (*Impaired*), or eating no fish at all (*Precluded*). With regard to water quality monitoring and its evaluation against criteria, in-stream concentrations may be below, near, at, above or well above applicable water quality criteria. Such conditions correspond to varying degrees of impact ranging from *No Known Impact*, *Threatened*, *Stressed*, *Impaired* or *Precluded*.

The *frequency* with which water quality conditions occurs, is also reflected in the WI/PWL level of severity. The more frequently a specific condition occurs, the more significant – or severe – the effect on related water resource uses. Similarly, the spatial *extent* of the water quality condition (i.e., the percent of total waterbody affected) is also reflected in the severity. For example, a bay where shellfishing is restricted in one small cove is less severely impacted than if shellfishing were restricted in the entire bay.

## WI/PWL Level of Documentation

**Known** - Water quality monitoring data and/or studies have been completed and conclude that the use of the waterbody is restricted to the degree indicated by the listed severity.

**Suspected** - Reasonably strong evidence, supported by best professional judgment of DEC staff, suggests the use of the waterbody is impacted. However, water quality data/studies that establish an impact have not been completed or there is conflicting information.

**Possible** - Anecdotal evidence, public perception and/or specific citizen complaints indicate that the use of the waterbody may be restricted. However, there is currently very little, if any, documentation of an actual water quality problem.

## WI/PWL Severity of Use Impact

### PRECLUDED

*Frequent/persistent* water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or associated habitat degradation prevents all aspects of a specific waterbody use.

### IMPAIRED

*Occasional* water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or habitat characteristics periodically prevent specific uses of the waterbody, or;

Waterbody uses are not precluded, but some aspects of the use are *limited or restricted*, or;

Waterbody uses are not precluded, but *frequent/persistent* water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or associated habitat degradation discourage the use of the waterbody, or;

Support of the waterbody use requires *additional/advanced* measures or treatment.

### STRESSED

Waterbody uses are not significantly limited or restricted (i.e. uses are *Fully Supported*), but *occasional* water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or associated habitat degradation periodically discourage specific uses of the waterbody.

### THREATENED

Water quality supports waterbody uses and ecosystem exhibits no obvious signs of stress, however *existing or changing land use patterns* may result in restricted use or ecosystem disruption, or;

*Data reveals decreases in water quality* or presence of toxics below the level of concern, or;

Frequency of occurrence and spatial extent also influence the WI/PWL level of documentation. For example, if a specific condition occurs less than 10% of the time (or in less than 10% of the waterbody), the overall water quality impacts for the total waterbody are less certain than if the frequency/extent of the condition is greater than 50%. As general guidelines, if frequency/extent of conditions are less than 10%, the level of documentation for impacts to uses corresponding to that condition is considered *Possible*. If the frequency or extent is between 10 and 25%, the level of documentation should be considered *Suspected*. If greater than 25%, the impact should be considered *Known*.

However, the use of the 10% and 25% thresholds outlined above assumes that the frequency/extent of a condition is well-established. For some measures of impact, this is not very difficult (e.g., fish consumption advisories are in effect 100% of the time, for beaches that are closed 14 days out of a 100 day season the frequency is 14%, for estuary segments where shellfishing is restricted in 40 of 200 acres the extent is 20%). However, for other water quality monitoring the determination of frequency/extent depends upon a number of factors, including the level of data confidence.

*Data confidence* refers to statistical measures that help determine the degree of certainty that a condition exists. Such statistical confidence depends upon a number of factors ( monitoring design, number of samples collected, variability of analysis) and is an important factor in determining the WI/PWL level of documentation. Other considerations, such as quality and age of data, also influence the level of documentation.

Though they are related, it is important not to confuse data confidence with the frequency/extent of a condition. For example a single data point might show exceedence of a standard. While this represents high frequency of a condition (100%), the level of data confidence based on just one sample is usually quite low.

## **WI/PWL Assessment Categories**

Based on the degree of use support, severity of impact/impairment and level of documentation, all waterbodies in the WI/PWL are assigned to one of five possible *Water Quality Assessment Categories*. These categories are outlined below and in Table 1.

*Impaired Waters* are waterbodies with well documented water quality problems that result in *Precluded*, or *Impaired* uses and, in most cases, a level of documentation of *Known* (occasionally *Suspected*). Waters with *Stressed*, *Threatened* uses are not included in this category.

*Waters with Minor Impacts* are waterbodies where less severe water quality impacts are apparent, but uses are considered fully supported. These waters correspond to waters listed as having *Stressed* uses and a level of documentation of *Known* or *Suspected*.

*Threatened Waters* are waterbodies for which uses are not restricted and no water quality problems currently exist, but where data suggests declining water quality trends or specific land use or other changes in the surrounding watershed are *Known* to be threatening water quality. Also included in this category are waterbodies where the support of a specific and/or distinctive use make the waterbody more susceptible to *Possible* water quality threats.

*Waters with Impacts Needing Verification* are waterbodies that are thought to have water quality problems or impact, but for which there is not sufficient or definitive documentation. These segments include waters with *Stressed* uses and a level of documentation of *Possible* and waters with *Threatened* uses and a *Suspected* level of documentation. Such waterbodies require additional monitoring to determine whether uses are restricted or threatened.

Waters Having No Known Impacts are waterbodies where monitoring data and information indicate that there are no use restrictions or other water quality impacts, threats or issues.

UnAssessed Waters are waterbodies where there is no available water quality information to assess the support of designated uses.

<b>Table 1 Relationships Between WI/PWL Severity/Documentation and Water Quality Assessment Categories</b>			
<b>Severity of Problem</b>	<b>Level of Problem Documentation</b>		
	<b>Known</b>	<b>Suspected</b>	<b>Possible</b>
Precluded	Impaired Water	N/A*	N/A*
Impaired		Impaired Water	N/A*
Stressed	Minor Impacts but Fully Supporting	Minor Impacts but Fully Supporting	Needs Verification (Considered Minor Impacts But Fully Supporting)
Threatened	Threatened, but Fully Supporting	Needs Verification (Considered Threatened)	Threatened (Poss) (But Fully Supporting)
None	No Known Impairment - Fully Supporting Uses		
Unknown	UnAssessed Water		
* For more severe impacts ( <i>Precluded, Impaired</i> ) a greater level of documentation is needed.			

The WI/PWL Water Quality Assessment Categories differ somewhat from the national Use Attainment Categories suggested by USEPA in their Integrated Reporting guidance for reporting on water quality. Whereas the Integrated Reporting Use Attainment Categories are more narrowly tailored to focus on questions concerning the attainment of water quality standards and the appropriateness of TMDLs to address water quality impairments, the WI/PWL categories are crafted to better provide support for a myriad of NYSDEC water quality management programs.

Perhaps the most significant difference between the two frameworks involves the WI/PWL’s inclusion of *Waters with Minor Impacts (Stressed waters)*. This category allows the WI/PWL to track waters that fully support uses but with less than ideal water quality. Conditions in these waters are considered stable, have been well documented and additional protection activities are not necessarily needed to maintain use support into the future.

The tracking of waters with minor impacts – while not readily accommodated in the national Use Attainment Category scheme – supports the NYSDEC water quality management programs and is an integral component of its overall watershed restoration and protection efforts. The emphasis at the federal government level regarding water quality efforts continues to be focused on the restoration of waters that do not support uses (*Precluded, Impaired*).

The tracking of waters with minor impacts – while not readily accommodated in the national Use Attainment Category scheme – supports the NYSDEC water quality management programs and is an integral component of its overall watershed restoration and protection efforts.

However in New York – at both the state and local levels – there is growing interest and support for directing

resources to protection efforts as well. Maintaining non-impacted waters and improving waters with lesser impacts is often a more effective use of limited resources for the advancing of water quality goals and progress. The more comprehensive framework of WI/PWL assessment categories better supports efforts to benefit these waters.

Although the current national Integrated Reporting Use Attainment Categories differ from the WI/PWL Assessment Categories, the two schemes share significant similarities. As a result waters assigned to WI/PWL Assessment Categories translate easily to corresponding USEPA designations. A more detailed discussion of the linkage between the WI/PWL Assessment Categories and the national Integrated Reporting Categories is presented in the Listing Methodology.

### ***Monitored and Evaluated Waters***

In compiling water quality information for 305(b) Reporting, states are to distinguish between water quality assessments based on monitoring data, and assessments based on other information. The distinctions between *Monitored* and *Evaluated* Waters in New York State are outlined below.

**Monitored Waters** are those waterbodies for which the use support assessment is based primarily on current (i.e., less than 5 years old) site-specific ambient monitoring data. Such data includes biological monitoring (macroinvertebrate assessment, toxicity testing) and/or chemical/physical monitoring results. Because fixed-station chemical/physical monitoring represents only a “snapshot” in time, such monitoring should be conducted quarterly or more frequently if it is to accurately portray water quality conditions at the site.

**Evaluated Waters** are those waterbodies for which the use support assessment is based on information other than current site-specific ambient monitoring data. Such assessments may rely on land use data, identification of sources, predictive modeling and/or surveys of water quality and natural resource staff. Also, assessments based on older ambient monitoring data are generally considered to be “evaluated.”

### **Use-Specific Assessment Criteria**

Detailed guidelines regarding the relationships between the results of various monitoring and assessment indicators and corresponding levels of support for specific water uses are discussed on the following pages. Assessment criteria tables for specific designated water uses, which are intended to provide guidance to insure consistent evaluation of water quality, are included in these guidelines. The criteria in the tables are intended to define general boundaries between levels of impact (severity) and degrees of confidence (documentation). Individual waterbody assessments are evaluated on a case-by-case basis. These assessments may take into account additional or alternative indicators not captured in the assessment criteria tables and may require the application of best professional judgment. Multiple water quality indicators that may suggest conflicting levels of impacts also require careful consideration (see also *Independent Applicability and Weight of Evidence*).

In establishing assessment criteria to determine what uses are supported in a waterbody, New York State takes into consideration a number of factors. The starting point for the criteria is often based on established NYS water quality standards and/or guidance values. These standards and guidance values are integral to many water quality activities, including – and perhaps most prominently – the derivation of water quality-based effluent limitations for SPDES discharge permits. The NYS water quality standards and accompanying guidance recognize that the application of standards to the derivation of permit limits and the determination of compliance or noncompliance of discharges with the standards require additional interpretation and instruction, as approved by the department. This additional guidance is necessary to address issues such as appropriate sampling methods, sampling location, flow variability, averaging periods, frequency of sampling or sample size, natural or background conditions, mixing zones, and so on.

Similarly, the application of water quality standards and guidance values to determine use support and levels of impact/impairment also requires some interpretation and additional guidance. The most recent USEPA Integrated Reporting Guidance notes specifically the need for states to address issues of data quality, data quantity and data representativeness in making assessment decisions. The guidance speaks at some length on the issue of data representativeness, and recognizes that the “...spatial and temporal representativeness of data and information should be considered by states as they attempt to characterize conditions...” The guidance continues to note that:

“...state methodologies should describe, in general terms, the decision logic used to determine the temporal and spatial extent a grab sample can be construed to represent. In order to make credible assessment determinations, states should employ approaches that strike a balance between the extremes of: (1) considering every grab sample to be representative of merely the instant in which, and the drop of water from which, each was taken, or (2) assuming that each such sample is representative of conditions over several years, and covering hundreds of stream miles of hundreds of lake acres.”

This New York State Assessment Methodology, and the associated Listing Methodology attempts to strike the balance called for in the USEPA guidance through the use of established water quality standards and guidance values, other criteria and indicators and the application of best professional judgment. However, NYDEC recognizes that achieving this balance is a work in progress and is continuing to work together with USEPA to improve the transparency of decision-making based on different types of data collected from numerous monitoring programs.

### **Drinking Water Supply Use**

Only those waters where *Drinking Water Supply* is designated as the best usage (i.e., Class A, AA, A/AA-Special surface and Class GA groundwaters) are evaluated for support of this use. The evaluation of *Drinking Water Supply* use support is driven largely by water quality information and monitoring data generated by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) or local health departments, which are primarily responsible for the protection of public health in the state.

A comprehensive evaluation of *Drinking Water Supply* use must consider the use on a number of levels. The first of these considerations focuses on administrative closures or restrictions on a *Drinking Water Supply* use. However, while this criterion is most directly related to the use, it is not sensitive to impacts.

Consequently, a secondary level of assessment uses the degree of treatment necessary for a water supply to be used for drinking water. The intent of this assessment criterion is to categorize as *Impaired* any water supply that requires “extra-ordinary” treatment measures. Given national filtration rules and other considerations, defining “extra-ordinary” is somewhat difficult. The criteria language – “*additional treatment beyond conventional processes (coagulation, sedimentation, filtration, disinfection) is required to remove any impurities that are not naturally present*” – reflects similar language used in the New York State Water Quality Regulations for classification of waters.

Because of the human health implications, threats to and protection of the *Drinking Water Supply* use take on added significance. Therefore, it is also appropriate to evaluate these waters prior to and without consideration of final treatment. This level of assessment evaluates contaminant concentrations relative to standards for the protection of Health (Water Source). In addition, other information regarding nutrient levels, precursors to Trihalomethane (THM) formation and other contaminants that may affect *Drinking Water Supply* use and quality is reflected in measures of natural sensitivity and susceptibility as determined through the NYSDOH Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP).

<b>Table 2 Drinking Water Supply Use Assessment Criteria</b>				
<b>Use Assessment Criteria</b>		<b>WI/PWL Use Impact</b>		
		<b>Severity</b>	<b>Documentation</b>	
<b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Prevent Use</b> • NYS/local Health Department water supply closures lasting >30 days.		Precluded	Known	
<b>Occasional Conditions Prevent Use</b> • NYS/local Health Department water supply closures lasting up to 30 days.		Impaired	Known	
<b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Discourage Use</b> • Impacts do not require closure or advisories but adversely affect the quality of the finished water and/or treatment costs (e.g., taste/odors, color, turbidity, activated charcoal filtration, etc.), or • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Impaired</i> criteria* for cryptosporidium, coliform, or • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Impaired</i> parameter-specific criteria* for other substances more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time.		Impaired	Known or Suspected	
<b>Occasional Conditions Discourage Use</b> • SWAP determination of <i>very high susceptibility</i> <sup>1</sup> • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Stressed</i> criteria* for cryptosporidium, coliform, or • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Stressed</i> parameter-specific criteria* for other substances more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time.		Stressed	Known or Suspected <sup>1</sup>	
<b>Conditions Support Use, but Threats Noted</b> • SWAP determination of <i>high susceptibility</i> <sup>1</sup> • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Threatened</i> parameter-specific criteria* more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time.		Threatened	Known or Suspected <sup>1</sup>	
<b>No Known Impairment or Imminent Threat</b> • No drinking water restrictions, and • No additional treatment required, and • No significant contaminants/threats present.		No Known Impact	Assessment Level <i>Monitored or Evaluated</i>	
<b>*Parameter-Specific Criteria</b>	<i>Impaired</i>	<i>Stressed</i>	<i>Threatened</i>	
Cryptosporidium (average)	7.5	3.0	–	oocysts/100 L
Cryptosporidium (individual)	–	7.5	3.0	oocysts/100 L
Coliform, Total (median) <sup>2</sup>	50/2,400	–	–	per 100 ml
Coliform, Fecal (geometric mean)	200	–	–	per 100 ml
Ammonia/Ammonium	20	10	5	mg/l
Nitrate, as N	10	5	2	mg/l
other substances (source water) <sup>3</sup>	Standard	50% of Std.	20% of Std.	
other substances (finished water) <sup>4</sup>	MCL	50% of MCL	20% of MCL.	
<sup>1</sup> Impacts/impairments based on SWAP susceptibility determinations should be listed as <i>Suspected</i> .				
<sup>2</sup> Refers to Class AA and A respectively.				
<sup>3</sup> Refers to substances for which there are NYS water quality standards for protection of <i>Health (Water Source)</i> .				
<sup>4</sup> Refers to substances for which there are Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL) for finished drinking water.				

The relationship between drinking water supply advisories, monitoring data, SWAP determinations and other information and the level of *Drinking Water Supply* use support is outlined in Table 2.

### Shellfishing Use

Support of *Shellfishing* use is assessed for Class SA marine waters only. These assessments reflect the level of certification of the waters for the taking of shellfish as determined by DEC Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources and based on NYSDEC regulations (6NYCRR, Part 47, *Certification of Shellfish Lands*) and National Shellfish Sanitation Program requirements. Shellfishing waters that are not certified may be closed year-round, seasonally, or conditionally (after rainfalls events of a specific magnitude). Other restrictions on the use include requirements to transplant the shellfish to certified waters for cleansing prior to harvesting for human consumption. More information regarding the NYSDEC Shellfishing program can be found at <http://www.dec.ny.gov/outdoor/345.html>.

<b>Table 3 Shellfishing Use Assessment Criteria</b>		
<b>Use Assessment Criteria</b>	<b>WI/PWL Use Impact</b>	
	<b>Severity</b>	<b>Documentation</b>
<p><b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Prevent Use</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NYSDEC Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources (DFWMR) has designated more than 25% of the waterbody area as uncertified year-round for shellfishing based on water quality conditions and contaminants, or</li> <li>DFWMR has designated more than 10% of the area as uncertified year-round AND shellfishing in remaining area is restricted (i.e., only <i>seasonally</i> or <i>conditionally</i> certified) based on water quality conditions..</li> </ul>	Precluded	Known
<p><b>Occasional Conditions Prevent Use</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DFWMR has designated 10 to 25% of the waterbody area as uncertified year-round based on water quality conditions, or</li> <li>DFWMR has designated more than 25% of the waterbody area as restricted (i.e., only <i>seasonally</i> or <i>conditionally</i> certified) based on water quality conditions.</li> </ul>	Impaired	Known
<p><b>Occasional Conditions Discourage Use</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DFWMR has designated up to 25% of the waterbody area as restricted (i.e., only <i>seasonally</i> or <i>conditionally</i> certified) based on water quality conditions, or</li> <li>DFWMR has designated more than 10% of the waterbody area as uncertified based on administrative guidelines (nearby outfall, marina).</li> </ul>	Stressed	Known
<p><b>Conditions Support Use, but Threats Noted</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DFWMR has designated &lt; 10% of the waterbody area as uncertified, or</li> <li>DFWMR has designated the entire waterbody as certified, but significant trib waters are uncertified due to water quality conditions.</li> </ul>	Threatened	Known or Suspected
<p><b>No Known Impairment or Imminent Threat</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DFWMR has designated the entire waterbody as certified for the taking of shellfish and all significant trib waters are also certified.</li> </ul>	No Known Impact	Assessment Level: <i>Monitored</i>
<p>* For large estuary segments where 10-25% of the waterbody area represents a significant closure or restriction, a greater severity of use impact may be assigned to the waterbody.</p>		

Shellfishing restrictions may be driven by either water quality or by administrative requirements. Water quality-based closures are the result of actual bacteriological monitoring and subsequent findings that the waters do not support safe consumption of shellfish. Administrative closures are precautionary; they are not necessarily reflective of water quality conditions but are issued for areas where the *potential* for contamination of shellfish exists. Administrative closures are generally issued for areas in close proximity to WWTP discharges and for waters around marinas. Generally closures based on actual water quality monitoring correspond to *Precluded/Impaired* uses, depending on the type of restriction (year-round, seasonal, conditional) and the percent of waterbody area affected. If the area affected by a water quality-based closure is relatively small, the severity of impact may be listed as *Stressed*. Administrative closures – because they are more precautionary in nature – correspond to *Shellfishing* that is *Stressed* or *Threatened*. The relationship between certification and level of *Shellfishing* use support is reflected in Table 3.

Generally, closures based on actual water quality monitoring correspond to *Precluded/Impaired* uses. Administrative closures – because they are more precautionary in nature – correspond to a *Shellfishing* use that is *Stressed* or *Threatened*.

Waters that are designated Class SB or SC are not assessed for *Shellfishing* use support, even if they have been evaluated by the National Shellfish Sanitation Program. However, because shellfishing is arguably the most sensitive of the uses assessed, if any Class SB, SC waters are certified for shellfishing they will be assessed as having *No Known Impairment* to other uses (unless additional/other water quality data indicates an impairment). If these waters are uncertified (due to water quality) then *Public Bathing/Recreation* are considered to be *Stressed*. A more severe level of impact to *Public Bathing/Recreation* requires monitoring data corresponding to those uses.

## Public Bathing and Recreation Uses

Swimming and other recreational activities are important and popular uses for the waters of the state. The assessment of these activities involves two separate use categories: *Public Bathing* and *Recreation*. While the assessment of both *Public Bathing* and *Recreation* uses rely on similar water quality indicators, these two distinct uses are evaluated separately.

Evaluation of *Public Bathing* use is limited to those waters classified by New York State for primary contact recreation (i.e., Class B, SB, A, AA, A/AA-Special and SA). This classification applies to waters specifically designated as suitable for public beaches and bathing areas, which see an increased level of swimming use and are more regularly monitored by public health agencies.

As a practical matter, not all waters of the state are regularly monitored to assess swimming use support to the degree that designated public bathing areas are. Therefore, general precautions should be taken regarding recreation in these other waters.

State and local/county health departments conduct regular bacteriological sampling programs and perform sanitary surveys at designated public bathing areas. Based on the findings of these surveys, bathing use may be restricted either permanently or periodically. Localized closings may also occur due to contamination by spills, waterfowl, or runoff from wet-weather events. It should be noted although Class C, D and SC waters also include primary contact recreation as a specified designated use, because of their natural physical characteristics, these waters are generally not suitable as public beaches and bathing areas.

Evaluation of the *Public Bathing* use focuses primarily on public health concerns, particularly bacteriological contamination and water clarity. Consequently the Public Bathing Use Assessment Criteria are linked primarily to these parameters as well as beach closures.

The relationship between bathing restrictions, water quality monitoring and other indicators (including the closely-related *Recreation* use assessment) and the level of *Public Bathing* use support is reflected in 4.

**Table 4 Public Bathing Use Assessment Criteria**

Use Assessment Criteria	WI/PWL Use Impact	
	Severity	Documentation
<b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Prevent Use</b> • NYS/local Health Department has closed the waterbody to swimming for the entire season, based on water quality (bacteriological ) monitoring data.	Precluded	Known
<b>Periodic/Occasional Conditions Prevent Use</b> • NYS/local Health Department has issued temporary closures of the waterbody to swimming, based on water quality (bacteriological) monitoring data, or • Sufficient stream flow/water level necessary to support swimming uses are artificially restricted.	Impaired	Known
<b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Discourage Use</b> • Swimming use requires additional measures (e.g., aquatic weed harvesting/control). • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Impaired</i> criteria* (bacteriological, clarity) more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time.	Impaired	Known or Suspected
<b>Occasional (Other) Conditions Discourage Use</b> • <i>Recreation</i> uses are assessed as <i>Impaired/Precluded</i> <sup>1</sup> , or • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Stressed</i> criteria* (clarity) more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time.	Stressed	Known or Suspected <sup>1</sup>
<b>Conditions Support Use, but Threats Noted</b> • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Threatened</i> criteria* (clarity, phosphorus) more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time.	Threatened	Known or Suspected
<b>No Known Impairment or Imminent Threat</b> • NYS/local Health Department has not restricted swimming, and • Swimming use does not require any additional measures, and • Monitoring data does not exceed criteria* (>10% of time), and • <i>Recreation</i> uses are not <i>Impaired/Precluded</i> .	No Known Impact	Assessment Level: <i>Monitored</i>

* Monitoring Data Criteria	<i>Impaired</i>	<i>Stressed</i>	<i>Threatened</i>
Coliform, Total (geometric mean)	2,400	–	– per 100 ml
Coliform, Fecal (geometric mean)	200	–	– per 100 ml
Enterococci (geometric mean)	See below <sup>2</sup>	–	–
Clarity (Secchi Disc)	1.2	1.5	2.0 meters
Total Phosphorus <sup>3,4</sup>	–	–	20 µg/l

<sup>1</sup> *Public Bathing* assessments based on *Recreation* use support should be listed as *suspected*.

<sup>2</sup> For marine waters (excluding tributaries), the enterococci criteria is 35/100 ml. For Great Lakes waters (excluding tributaries), the enterococci criteria is 126/100 ml.

<sup>3</sup> Application of the Total Phosphorus criteria is limited to lakes and ponded waters.

<sup>4</sup> Based on current New York State criteria indicative of elevated nuisance conditions and slight impacts to recreation; other state/national nutrient criteria currently being developed will be incorporated into the Assessment Methodology once adopted.

**Table 5 Recreation Use Assessment Criteria**

Use Assessment Criteria	WI/PWL Use Impact	
	Severity	Documentation
<b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Prevent Use</b> • NYS/local Health Department has closed the waterbody to swimming, boating or other recreational use for the entire season, due to water quality concerns.	Precluded	Known
<b>Periodic/Occasional Conditions Prevent Use</b> • NYS/local Health Department has issued temporary closures of the waterbody or portions of the waterbody to swimming, boating or other recreational use due to water quality concerns, or • Sufficient stream flow/water level necessary to support recreational uses are artificially restricted.	Impaired	Known
<b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Discourage Use</b> • Recreational uses of water require additional measures (e.g., weed harvesting/control), or • <i>Public Bathing</i> uses are assessed as <i>Impaired/Precluded</i> , or • Monitoring data show exceedence of <i>Impaired</i> criteria* more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time, or • Observational criteria* indicating restricted recreational uses are noted more than 50% of the time.	Impaired	Known or Suspected <sup>4</sup>
<b>Occasional (Other) Conditions Discourage Use</b> • <i>Public Bathing</i> uses are assessed as <i>Stressed</i> , or • Monitoring data shows exceedence of <i>Stressed</i> criteria* more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time, or • Observational criteria** indicating restricted recreational uses are noted more than 25% of the time.	Stressed	Known or Suspected <sup>4</sup>
<b>Conditions Support Use, but Threats Noted</b> • Monitoring data shows exceedence of <i>Threatened</i> criteria* more than 10% ( <i>suspected</i> ) or 25% ( <i>known</i> ) of time. • Observational criteria** indicating restricted recreational uses are noted more than 10% of the time.	Threatened	Known or Suspected <sup>4</sup>
<b>No Known Impairment or Imminent Threat</b> • <i>Public Bathing</i> uses are not <i>Stressed</i> , <i>Impaired</i> , <i>Precluded</i> , and • Recreation uses not restricted, nor require additional measures, and • Monitoring data does not exceed criteria* (>10% of time), and • Observational criteria** for restricted use not noted (>10% of time).	No Known Impact	Assessment Level: <i>Monitored</i>

* Monitoring Data Criteria	<i>Impaired</i>	<i>Stressed</i>	<i>Threatened</i>		
Total Phosphorus <sup>1,2</sup>	—	20	—	µg/l	
Chlorophyl a <sup>1</sup>	15	12	8	µg/l	
Clarity (Secchi Disc) <sup>1</sup>	1.2	1.5		2.0	meters

**\*\* Observational Data Criteria <sup>3, 4</sup>**

Swimming/recreation slightly (or more) restricted by specifically identified causes (algae, clarity, etc).

<sup>1</sup> Application of the Total Phosphorus criteria is limited to lakes and ponded waters.

<sup>2</sup> State/national nutrient criteria to be developed and incorporated into the Assessment Methodology.

<sup>3</sup> *Observational Criteria* refers to responses on **CSLAP Field Observation Forms**. Specifically, *Condition of Lake* notes presence of algae, *Suitability for Recreation* notes some impacts/impairment, and *Opinion of Recreational Use* notes weeds and/or clarity problems.

<sup>4</sup> Impacts/impairments based on observational criteria should be listed as *suspected*.

The category of *Recreation* tracks impacts and impairments to a more expansive list of recreational activities, such as fishing, boating, water skiing, rafting, wading and other primary/secondary contact activities, including swimming. The requirement of all waters to support *Recreation* uses addresses the federal Clean Water Act goal that all waters be *swimmable*.<sup>6</sup> However, while all waters of the state are to be swimmable, as a practical matter not all waters of the state are regularly monitored to assess swimming use support to the same degree that designated public bathing areas are. As a result of differing criteria and the varying levels of monitoring, *Public Bathing* (Class B, SB, A, AA, A/AA-Special and SA) waters are evaluated more rigorously than other *Recreation* use waters.

Whereas the *Public Bathing* use assessment has a greater focus on public health concerns, *Recreation* uses are assessed more broadly. The evaluation of *Recreation* use support places emphasis on excessive weed growth, silty/muddy lake bottoms, color, odors and other conditions that discourage recreational activity. In those cases where certain Class C, D, and SC waters have been assessed for bacteria, these results will be incorporated into the overall assessment of the *Recreation* use for these waters.

Excessive nutrient levels – which may increase turbidity, lower dissolved oxygen, and promote aquatic plant and algal growth – may also discourage the use of lakes, ponds and reservoirs for recreation activities. Recognizing this, NYSDEC derived a total phosphorus criterion of 20 µg/l for the protection of recreational uses in lakes. However the criterion is based on lake user surveys and was developed to be indicative of *elevated nuisance conditions and slight impacts to recreation*. Such impacts are more closely aligned with Stressed/Threatened uses than with Impaired uses. Because of its basis, the criterion is more appropriate in assessing more general *Recreation* use support than *Public Bathing* use. However, since conditions resulting from elevated nutrients and weed/algal growth also may threaten swimming, this indicator is included in the *Public Bathing* use assessment as indicating *Threatened* uses.

The relationship between water quality monitoring and other indicators and the severity and documentation of an impact to *Recreation* use is reflected in Table 5. For various nutrient parameters, Table 5 refers to “*state/national criteria to be developed and incorporated into the Assessment Methodology*.” This flexibility of language reflects a need to accommodate the ongoing efforts by NYSDEC (and USEPA) to develop and implement nutrient criteria, including the use of different ecoregion-specific criteria for various regions of the state. Once these criteria are established, the Assessment Methodology will be revised to reflect them. Until then the surrogate indicators outlined in Table 5 will be used to assess recreational use support.

## **Fish Consumption Use**

The assessment of *Fish Consumption* use is based on NYSDOH advisories regarding the catching and eating of sportfish, and contaminant monitoring in fish tissue, other biological tissue and surficial bottom sediments. The advisories reflect federal government standards for chemicals in food that is sold commercially, including fish. The NYSDEC Division of Fish Wildlife and Marine Resources routinely monitors contaminant levels in fish and game. Based on this monitoring data, NYSDOH issues advisories for specific waterbodies and species when contaminant levels in sportfish exceed the federal standards.

These advisories are updated and published annually. In addition to the waterbody-specific advisories, a general advisory recommends eating no more than one meal (one-half pound) per week of fish taken from New York

---

<sup>6</sup> In order to meet the federal Clean Water Act goal that all waters be “swimmable,” water quality of New York State waters Class C, SC (and above) “shall be suitable for primary and secondary contact recreation.” However, other factors (such as flow/depth, access, conflicting use) may limit this use. (See NYS Classifications for Surface Waters, Part 701.1 thru 701.14.)

State freshwaters and some marine water at the mouth of the Hudson River. These general advisories are to protect against eating large amounts of fish that have not been tested or that may contain unidentified contaminants. Because the general statewide and marine waters advisories are precautionary and not based on any actual contaminant monitoring data, it does not represent any documented impairment of *Fish Consumption* use. Consequently, the general statewide advisories are not reflected in the assessment of *Fish Consumption* use. Current statewide advisories regarding snapping turtles and wild waterfowl are not reflected in the methodology for similar reasons.

Other general advisories recommend limiting the consumption of striped bass, bluefish and eels taken from marine waters due to specific habits or characteristics that make these species more likely to accumulate contaminants (particularly PCBs). Because these marine water advisories (outside of New York Harbor and Western Long Island Sound) are also more precautionary in nature and no more significant than the statewide advisory for freshwaters, they correspond to *Stressed* rather than *Impaired* use.

The relationship between the waterbody-specific fish consumption advisories and the severity and documentation of an impact/impairment to *Fish Consumption* use is reflected in Table 6.

<b>Table 6 Fish Consumption Use Assessment Criteria</b>		
<b>Use Assessment Criteria</b>	<b>WI/PWL Use Impact</b>	
	<b>Severity</b>	<b>Documentation</b>
<b>Frequent/Persistent Conditions Prevent Use</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NYSDOH advisory recommends eating no fish (or none of sub-species) from a specific waterbody.</li> </ul>	Precluded	Known
<b>Periodic/Occasional Conditions Prevent Use</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NYSDOH advisory recommends limiting consumption of fish (no more than one meal per month) from a specific waterbody.</li> </ul>	Impaired	Known
<b>Occasional (Other) Conditions Discourage Use</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitoring of fish tissue shows contaminant levels that exceed levels of concern, but NYSDOH advisory has not been issued.</li> <li>• NYSDOH general advisory recommends limiting consumption of fish (no more than one meal per week) from certain marine waters.</li> <li>• Monitoring of macroinvertebrate tissue or surficial bottom sediment shows contaminant levels that exceed levels of concern.</li> </ul>	Stressed	Known or Suspected
<b>Conditions Support Use, Threats Noted</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitoring of fish (known) or macroinvertebrate tissue/bottom sediment (suspected) shows contaminant levels present but not exceeding levels of concern.</li> </ul>	Threatened	Known or Suspected
<b>No Known Impairment or Imminent Threat</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No fish consumption advisory beyond the NYSDOH <i>General Advisory for Eating Gamefish</i>, and</li> <li>• Monitoring data revealing no contaminants in fish, macroinvertebrate tissue or surficial bottom sediment above background levels.</li> </ul>	No Known Impact	Assessment Level: <i>Monitored</i>

## Aquatic Life Use Support

A primary focus of the Statewide Waters Monitoring Program (SWMP) involves determining the degree to which waters support aquatic life. There are a number of reasons for this emphasis:

- *Aquatic Life* use support must be maintained in all waters, regardless of classification, and
- *Aquatic Life* use support is one of the most sensitive of national use support categories, and
- *Aquatic Life* use support can be assessed easily and economically using biological sampling techniques.

The evaluation of *Aquatic Life* use support represents a recent change to the WI/PWL. Prior to 1999, the WI/PWL tracked waterbody support of *Fish Propagation* and *Fish Survival* rather than *Aquatic Life* use support. This was a reflection of the designated uses outlined in New York State standards. However, the change to the broader category of *Aquatic Life* use support better represents the results of the macroinvertebrate sampling used to assess water quality. The change from *Fish Propagation/Survival* to *Aquatic Life* use support also provides greater flexibility in reporting water quality and allows tracking of aquatic impacts that are not sufficiently severe as to be apparent in the fishery. The revised category also corresponds more closely to the USEPA national use support category.

Different types of monitoring data may be used to determine *Aquatic Life* use support use. The SWMP relies on biological sampling. The assemblage most frequently used is macroinvertebrates, however the program has recently incorporated some periphyton and, to a lesser degree, fish community assessments. The relationship between biological (macroinvertebrate) assessment, as described in the *Quality Assurance Work Plan for Biological Stream Monitoring in New York State* (Bode, et.al., 2002) and the impact/impairment to *Aquatic Life* use support is shown in Table 7.

<b>Table 7 Aquatic Life Use Support Assessment Criteria</b>			
<b>Biological (Macroinvertebrate) Assessment</b>		<b>WI/PWL Use Impact</b>	
		<b>Severity</b>	<b>Documentation</b>
<i>Severely Impacted</i> (Very Poor)		Precluded	Known
<i>Moderately Impacted</i> (Poor)		Impaired	Known
<i>Slightly Impacted*</i> (Good)	Other indications of impact present	Stressed	Suspected or Known
	No other indications of impact	No Known Impact	Assessment Level: <i>Evaluated</i>
<i>Non-Impacted</i> (Very Good)		No Known Impact	Assessment Level: <i>Monitored</i>

\* *Slightly Impacted* represents a broad category ranging from generally good water quality to conditions causing minor impacts, but still providing adequate support of aquatic life.

### Independent Applicability and Weight of Evidence

A comprehensive evaluation of *Aquatic Life* use support must consider all available biological, physical/chemical and toxicity monitoring data. Biological assessment of the macroinvertebrate community is a good integrator of these monitoring components. Consequently, when biological macroinvertebrate community assessment data is available and considered definitive, *Aquatic Life* use support is generally determined as outlined in Table 7. For instances in which assessment of the macroinvertebrate community is inconclusive and/or other indicators suggest different levels of use support, aquatic life use support determination is made by further consideration of all available monitoring data and comparison of monitoring data results against the applicable water quality standards and criteria for the protection of aquatic life.

To address the possibility of conflicting results, USEPA developed a policy of *Independent Applicability*. This policy states that where there are conflicting and equally valid data sets no one type of assessment (biological, physical/chemical, toxicity) can be used to override a finding of water quality impact/impairment that is based on another type of assessment. However, while no one assessment type routinely takes precedence over others, the evaluation of conflicting assessments must take into account levels of documentation, quality and overall confidence in the data, other artifacts of monitoring data (e.g., analytic methods, sampling techniques, etc.), how representative the sampling is of conditions in the larger waterbody segment and the relationship of the indicator to the actual use being assessed. These considerations (or *weight of evidence*) may, in fact, lead to favoring one assessment over others in arriving at an assessment for a specific waterbody. Because biological sampling is a good integrator of water quality conditions and it is a direct measurement of aquatic life use support, it is often the deciding factor in assessment decisions for this use.

### **Assessment of Naturally Occurring Low Dissolved Oxygen Waters**

NYS water quality standards for dissolved oxygen for the protection of aquatic life specify that dissolved oxygen in waters should not be less than the standard “at any time.” In some instances this “never less than” condition is qualified to except waters where low dissolved oxygen is the result of natural conditions (Class AA-Special, AA, A, B and C trout spawning waters); for other waters, the natural conditions exception is not explicit. However, whether explicitly stated or not, assessments of use support based on dissolved oxygen should recognize that low dissolved oxygen at lower depths of non-flowing waters (i.e., lakes and impoundments) or in areas of poor aeration, circulation or natural organic loadings are likely to occur.

A review of the assessment methodologies of other northeastern states finds that most recognize and allow for natural conditions of low dissolved oxygen that do not result in designation of the water as not supporting uses.<sup>7</sup> These states allow for the application of “best professional judgment” in determining whether low dissolved oxygen values are naturally occurring, whether they are representative of the waterbody as a whole, and how they should be considered in light of biological sampling results and other available information. In fact, USEPA in earlier *Guidelines for the Preparation of the Comprehensive State Water Quality Assessments (305(b) Reports) and Electronic Updates* (USEPA, 1997) includes low dissolved oxygen (and low pH) caused by poor aeration or natural organic materials among its examples of what might be considered naturally occurring conditions.

Water quality assessment for the determination of *Aquatic Life* use support applies an approach to the evaluation of dissolved oxygen results that recognizes that morphology and other natural conditions may contribute to the occurrence of low dissolved oxygen in some waters. Specifically, data will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine whether impacts result in impairments to aquatic life and/or other uses, and the degree to which natural conditions contribute to the impacts. This evaluation will be made using best professional judgement, with attention to other available physical/chemical indicators and particular emphasis on biological assessments which are a more direct measurement of aquatic life use support. As the triennial water quality standards rule-making effort moves forward, NYSDEC will evaluate the current dissolved oxygen standards for freshwater in light of available research and adopt a criterion that might better reflect the natural occurrence of low dissolved oxygen in deeper waters and its impact on use support. (See also *Impacts Due to Natural Conditions/Conflicting Uses* in the Listing Methodology.) A general relationship between dissolved oxygen data, water chemistry and aquatic biology and assessed impacts to aquatic life use support is shown in Table 8.

---

<sup>7</sup> Both Vermont and Pennsylvania allow for seasonal and periodic variations in hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen (perhaps as low as 0 mg/l) if biological sampling reveals a healthy aquatic (macroinvertebrate, fish) community. Rhode Island also recognizes that D.O. measurements should not exceed the criteria “except as naturally occurs.” And New Hampshire states that “exceedances of most water quality criteria due to naturally occurring conditions are not considered violations of water quality standards.”

**Impacts from Low/High pH on Aquatic life Use Support**

One important chemical indicator for evaluating *Aquatic Life* use support is pH. Specific criteria regarding the use of pH data to determine *Aquatic Life* use support is applied to waterbodies, particularly lakes and ponds, that are subject to atmospheric deposition/acid rain. Because of the extent and significance of this issue, extensive chemical sampling efforts to monitor the pH of streams, lakes and ponds in the state have long been in place. The *Aquatic Life* use support/pH criteria takes advantage of the considerable amount of study and available chemical (pH) data. These efforts provide strong evidence that pH levels that fall somewhat outside the 6.5 to 8.5 range specified in NYS water quality standards are still supportive of aquatic life. As is the case with low dissolved oxygen (cited above), other states as well as USEPA have recognized the occurrence of natural conditions that may result in low pH levels.

<b>Table 8 Aquatic Life Use Support/D.O. Assessment Criteria</b>		
<b>Lake/River Conditions (Dissolved Oxygen, Water Chemistry, Aquatic Biology)</b>	<b>WI/PWL Use Impact</b>	
	<b>Severity</b>	<b>Documentation</b>
Dissolved Oxygen not meeting standards is consistent over depth, season and/or area.	Impaired	Known
Dissolved Oxygen not meeting standards periodically and/or not consistent over depth, season and/or area, and other indicators (water chemistry, aquatic biology) suggest impairment.	Impaired	Known
Dissolved Oxygen not meeting standards periodically and/or not consistent over depth, season and/or area, and no other indicators or use support/impairment are available.	Stressed *	Possible *
Dissolved Oxygen not meeting standards periodically and/or not consistent over depth, season and/or area, and other indicators more representative of conditions suggest no impairment. Possible natural condition	Stressed or No Known Impact	Known Suspected, or Possible
Dissolved Oxygen typically meets standards (> 90%), and other indicators (chemistry, aquatic biology) suggest no impairment.	No Known Impact	Known, or Suspected
Dissolved Oxygen not meeting standards, but limited data (single sampling event or single point not representing whole waterbody)	Stressed *	Possible *
Dissolved Oxygen standards are consistently met.	No Known Impact	Assessment: <i>Monitored</i>
* Waters assessed as Stressed/Possible are listed as <i>Waters Needing Verification of Impact</i> and reported as <i>Integrated Reporting Category 3 - Waters with Insufficient Data</i> .		

Water quality assessment for the determination of *Aquatic Life* use support with regard to pH results also relies on best professional judgment. As with dissolved oxygen data, pH data will be evaluated in light of all other available data (including biological assessments) on a case-by-case basis using best professional judgment. (See also *Natural Conditions* in the Listing Methodology.)

The general relationship between pH monitoring data and the assessed impacts to aquatic life is shown in Table 9. Note that waters having pH between 6.0 and the minimum pH water quality standard of 6.5, but where biological sampling suggests that aquatic life is supported, may be listed as *Waters Needing Verification of Impact*. This is consistent with the *weight of evidence* approach (outlined above) and recognizes that because biological samples represent an integrator of all water quality conditions and are also a direct measurement of aquatic life, biological assessments are often given more weight in evaluating *Aquatic Life* use support.

<b>Table 9 Aquatic Life Use Support/pH Assessment Criteria</b>		
<b>Lake pH/Fishery Assessment</b>	<b>WI/PWL Use Impact</b>	
	<b>Severity</b>	<b>Documentation</b>
pH values less than 5.0 or greater than 10.0	Precluded	Known
pH values between 5.0 and 6.0 or between 9.0 and 10.0	Impaired	Known
pH values between 6.0 and 6.5 or between 8.5 and 9.0, and fish/biological surveys indicate a fishery/aquatic life impact.	Impaired	Known or Suspected
pH values between 6.0 and 6.5 or between 8.5 and 9.0, but fish/biological surveys indicate no fishery/aquatic life impact	Stressed	Known Suspected, or Possible *
pH values greater than 6.5 and less than 8.5	No Known Impact	Assessment: <i>Evaluated</i>

\* Waters that have pH above 6.0 and below 6.5 and where biological sampling suggests that aquatic life is supported may be listed as *Waters Needing Verification of Impact*.

**Note about *Episodic Acidification***  
 Episodic Acidification refers to short-term decreases in acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) that may occur during high streamflow events (i.e., spring runoff, snowmelt). Although these events are periodic, bioassays and other fish studies show that the impact on the fishery can be significant and longer lasting. The severity of the impact may result in precluded—rather than merely *impaired*—aquatic life, even though episodic acidification occurs over a short time period. This situation represents an exception to the strict application of the Priority Waterbodies List (PWL) definitions for a precluded use (frequent/persistent water quality condition) and an impaired use (occasional water quality conditions).

**Site Specific Factors**

The USEPA policy also recognizes the difficulty and time involved in resolving conflicting results that might be due to site-specific environmental factors. In these cases, site-specific criteria, use attainability analysis or re-evaluation of a standard may be needed to determine use support. Because these efforts may require additional monitoring, USEPA suggests use of an assessment category of *Monitoring Insufficient to Determine Impairment*. This category corresponds to the WI/PWL category of *Segments Needing Verification of Impact/Impairment*, and allows for the deferring of a use support decision until appropriate evaluation is complete.

**Natural Resources Habitat/Hydrologic Uses**

In an effort to better incorporate wetlands and other natural resources concerns into the water quality assessments, the water use category of *Natural Resources Habitat/Hydrology* uses was recently added to the list of uses to be assessed. This category recognizes that, in some waterbodies, water quality may be appropriate to support uses, but various other conditions, such as habitat, streamflow, invasive species, and so on, result in degradation of natural resources (i.e., fish and wildlife populations). Additionally, hydrologic conditions can have a negative impact on wetland uses such as flood protection, erosion control, nutrient recycling and surface and groundwater recharge. This category may also be used to capture impacts to various water quantity and flooding/flood plain issues including excessively low flows, increased peak flows, alterations to the frequency, duration and timing of floods and loss of flood storage.

For many impacts to *Natural Resources Habitat/Hydrology* use support, the situation is more clearly defined by the cause or source of the problem, than by the use affected. Such causes/sources include dredging, draining, excavation and/or filling of wetlands, stream channels, lakes/ponds; stream widening; stream downcutting; sediment embedded-ness; other losses of wetlands; habitat fragmentation; loss of riparian vegetation or upland buffer zones. Generally, *Natural Resources Habitat/Hydrology* use impacts and impairments are more likely attributed to “*pollution*” (i.e., a condition related to the waterbody) rather than a “*pollutant*” (i.e., a substance/contaminant in the waterbody).

While waterbody assessments include impacts to *Natural Resources Habitat/Hydrology*, specific criteria for *Natural Resources Habitat/Hydrology* use support have not yet been developed.

### **Aesthetics**

An evaluation of waterbody support of *Aesthetics* is much more subjective than those for the other assessed uses. Because of this subjectivity and the difficulty in assigning a level of severity of impacts to aesthetics, available choices for the assessment of aesthetics are limited to *No Known Impact* and *Stressed*. Due to the subjectivity and the limitations on the level of severity, there is no specific assessment criteria to determine support of aesthetics. Instead, the assessment of *Aesthetics* use support should reflect available objective information (CSLAP Lake Perception Surveys, preponderance of citizen complaints, etc).

### **Presumed Assessments**

While the great majority of waters in New York State are thought to support a variety of uses, because of limited monitoring resources and the emphasis on monitoring in priority/problem waters documentation of good quality waters has been generally lacking. This shortcoming was addressed in previous 305(b) assessments by assuming that waterbodies were fully supporting uses, unless there was information to the contrary. However, USEPA has determined such “presumed” assessments to be unacceptable. NYSDEC also recognizes the need to increase efforts to document water quality in the great number of waterbodies that do support uses in order to provide a more balanced picture of water quality in the state.

Recent modifications to the NYSDEC Division of Water Statewide Waters Monitoring Program (SWMP) include an expanded biological screening component. This effort uses a fairly simple but effective set of on-site assessment criteria based on the presence/absence of key macroinvertebrate indicator species. Where the assessment criteria are met, the waterbody is assessed as having *No Known Impacts*. Where the criteria are not met, possible water quality problems are evaluated using more intensive sampling methods to collect more complete data.

A similar effort is being developed and implemented to evaluate all currently unassessed lakes in the state. This effort relies on basic water chemistry sampling in conjunction with visual assessments of aesthetics and recreational use support.

These screening efforts, which greatly increase the number of sites assessed in a basin study area, reflect the incorporation of a “census” approach into the SWMP and are key components in the state’s goal of providing a comprehensive assessment of its waters.

### **Pollutants (Causes) and Sources of Water Quality Impacts**

In addition to providing assessments of designated use support, the WI/PWL assessments also includes information regarding the likely pollutants/causes and sources that are responsible for water use impacts. These pollutant and source identifications are derived from a number of information sources including Impact Source Determinations conducted during biological sampling, water chemistry data collected during Intensive Network Monitoring, or other available monitoring data. In many cases, monitoring focused on the specific pollutants and sources is not available. In the absence of any such data, best professional judgment based on surrounding land use may be used to identify possible causes and sources.

The listing of specific pollutants and sources includes an indication of the degree to which they are thought to contribute to water quality problems. The impact of all listed pollutants and sources are characterized as being *Known, Suspected, or Possible*. Since it is common for multiple pollutants and sources to be indicated as contributing to a water quality impact, each identified pollutant and source is also listed as either a *major* or *minor* contributor to the impact, based on best professional judgment. Note that the designation *major* is assigned to pollutants and sources that significantly contribute to the most severe water quality impacts/impairments affecting the segment; pollutants and sources contributing to lesser impacts are listed as *minor*.

National (USEPA) reporting guidance suggests that state databases specify which uses are affected by which pollutants, and which sources contribute each pollutant. However the New York Statewide Water Monitoring Program does not routinely focus on pollutant identification and source trackdown to a degree that this level of precision is known for most waters. Pollution identification and source trackdown is typically a more resource-intensive effort reserved for special situations. In its national reporting to USEPA, New York State provides data that links sources to pollutants and pollutants to use impacts. But these linkages are usually broadly interpreted and typically reflect that most sources contribute varying degrees of each pollutant and each pollutant has some influence on all impacted uses.

### **Resolution/Management Information**

The WI/PWL database also allows for the tracking of information relating to management and status regarding the resolution of water quality impacts for each waterbody. This information includes:

- Resolvability indicates where a waterbody needs additional study, the development of a strategy, implementation of a strategy, or verification of the effectiveness of an implemented strategy. In some cases a water quality impact may be deemed *Not Resolvable* at this time due to technical and/or economic limitations or if the impact is the result of natural conditions or conflicting uses.
- Status of Verification refers to the specific aspect of the waterbody that needs further study. The verification effort may need to focus on the existence of an impact, the pollutant/cause of a known impact, the source of a known pollutant, or the development of a management strategy to address the problem.
- Lead Agency/Office indicates the specific government agency, office or other group that has primary responsibility for managing/addressing the impact to the waterbody.
- Resolution Potential is used to reflect the degree to which the expenditure of available NYSDEC resources on the waterbody or water quality issue is appropriate. Resolution Potential reflects the level of public interest, the expectation that measurable improvements can be reasonably achieved, and the appropriate role for NYSDEC.
- TMDL Note indicates the status of planned and/or ongoing Total Maximum Daily Load activities, if any.

Such information allows NYSDEC to better prioritize monitoring, restoration and protection activities, target the expenditure of limited resources to those waters where there is greatest public interest and/or the expectation that measurable improvements can be achieved, and track progress toward water quality improvement and problem resolution.

## Waterbody Inventory Data Sheet Background Information

### Waterbody Location Information

Water Index Number (WIN): The stream identification number used in the Stream Classification Regulations (Title 6 - Conservation, Vols. B-F of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations for the State of New York).

Hydrologic (Watershed) Unit Code: Eleven digit code found on USDA-SCS (NRCS) *Hydrologic Watershed Unit Map - 1980 State of New York*.

Waterbody Type: River, Canal, Lake, Lake(Reservoir), Bay, Great Lake Shoreline, Estuary, or Ocean Coastline.  
NOTE: Bays refer to freshwater bays, saltwater bays and tidal waters should be designated as *Estuary*.

Affected Length/Area: The estimated length of segment with the noted impairment in miles (rivers, canals), Shore/coastal miles (great lakes, ocean) or acres (lakes, bays, reservoirs, estuaries).

Describe Waterbody Segment: Narrative description locating the beginning and endpoint (from downstream to upstream) of the segment.

Waterbody Classification: Current classification of the waterbody as specified in the Stream Classification Regulations (Title 6 - Conservation, Vols. B-F of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations for the State of New York).

Flow Category: Minimum Average Seven Consecutive Day Flow-10 year recurrence (MA7CD/10) flow range, from table.

<u>Category</u>	<u>MA7CD/10 Range</u>
H (for high)	Streams/Rivers over 150 cfs
M (for medium)	Stream/Rivers between 20-150 cfs
L (for Low)	Streams/Rivers under 20 cfs
0	Not Applicable (lake, estuary, shore/coastline, etc.)

Drainage Basin and Sub-Basin: One of 17 major hydrologic basins in New York and the associated sub-basin.

Region: NYSDEC Region in which the waterbody is located.

County: Primary county (and county ID number) of waterbody location. NOTE: Waterbody segments which form the border between or cross two or more counties are listed only once. This is done to avoid double counting the number of segments and/or the length/affected area of the segment. PWL segments that are located in more than one county are indicated by “...” after the *primary* county name. (Listings of PWL segments within each county are included as Appendix C.)

Quad Map: The name of the primary topographic quadrangle map on which the segment appears. NOTE: PWL segments that are located in more than one quadrangle are indicated by “...” after the *primary* quad map name.

## Water Quality Problem Information

### Use Impacts/Impairments:

All specific uses that are restricted by water quality impacts/impairments are listed.

Problem Severity: For each waterbody use impairment, the degree of severity of water quality problem/diminished use (i.e., use precluded, impaired, stressed, or threatened) is listed. The severity is determined using the following criteria.

#### PRECLUDED (P):

Frequent/persistent water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or associated habitat degradation prevents all aspects of the waterbody use (e.g., the Health Department does not allow swimming at the Onondaga Lake Outlet public park beach - *bathing precluded*; consumption advisory recommends eating no fish from Upper Hudson due to PCB contamination - *fish consumption precluded*; Sacandaga River below the dam is periodically dry and devoid of benthic organisms due to flow extremes from power dam releases - *fish propagation precluded*)

#### IMPAIRED (I):

Occasional water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or habitat characteristics periodically prevent the use of the waterbody (e.g., beaches in marine waters are closed after storm events due to high coliform levels from CSOs's and stormwater runoff - *bathing impaired*) or;

Waterbody uses are not precluded, but some aspects of the use are limited or restricted (e.g., a fish consumption advisory for lake trout from Canandaigua Lake recommends eating no more than one meal per month - *fish consumption impaired*) or;

Waterbody uses are not precluded, but frequent/persistent water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or associated habitat degradation discourage the use of the waterbody (algal blooms and heavy rooted aquatic vegetation deter swimming in Oneida Lake - *bathing/swimming impaired*) or;

Support of the waterbody use requires additional/advanced measures or treatment (e.g., the City of Rochester is to build a filtration plant due to high turbidity in the Hemlock Lake water supply - *water supply impaired*, aquatic vegetation control--mechanical harvesting, herbicides--are required in Upper Cassadaga Lake to allow swimming and boating - *bathing/ swimming and boating impaired*).

#### STRESSED (S):

Waterbody uses are not significantly limited or restricted, but occasional water quality, or quantity, conditions and/or associated habitat degradation periodically discourage the use of the waterbody (e.g., high turbidity that occurs after rains reduce clarity and deter swimmers in Babcock Lake - *bathing/ swimming stressed*, ambient water column analyses indicate occasional aquatic standard violations but impaired use not evident - *fish survival/ propagation stressed*; localized areas of debris along the shore - *aesthetic stressed*)

#### THREATENED (T):

Water quality currently supports waterbody uses and the ecosystem exhibits no obvious signs of stress, however existing or changing land use patterns may result in restricted use or ecosystem disruption (e.g., numerous proposals for residential development in the Schoharie Creek headwaters create a concern - *fish propagation, aesthetics threatened*) or,

Water quality currently supports waterbody uses and the ecosystem exhibits no obvious signs of stress, however monitoring data reveals a declining trend in water quality which, if it continues, would result in a use impairment, or

Waterbody uses are not restricted and no water quality problems exists, but the support of a specific and distinctive use or uses make the waterbody more susceptible to water quality threats. Note: Such situations are the only instances where a threatened use can have a documentation level of *possible*, other threatened waterbodies (i.e., those related to changing land use activities) must correspond to *known* or *suspected* (planned) land use changes.

Problem Documentation: Each diminished/impacted use is listed according to the level of documentation for the problem/impairment. The level of problem documentation is determined using the following criteria.

Known (K): Water quality monitoring data and/or studies (biologic macro-invertebrate surveys, fishery studies, water column chemistry, beach closures, fish consumption advisories, shellfishing restrictions) have been completed and conclude that the use of the waterbody is restricted to the degree indicated by the listed *severity*.

Suspected (S): Anecdotal evidence, public perception and/or specific citizen complaints indicate that the use of the waterbody may be restricted. However, water quality data/studies that establish an impairment have not been completed or there is conflicting information.

Possible (P): Land use or other activities in the watershed are such that the use of the waterbody could be affected. However, there is currently very little, if any, documentation of an actual water quality problem.

Type of Pollutant: Each pollutant contributing to the water quality problem is listed according to the level of documentation for the pollutant. The criteria for *known*, *suspected*, or *possible* pollutants the same as outlined above. Those pollutants that contribute to the most significant impact/impairment are “major” pollutants and are listed in CAPITAL LETTERS.

Source(s) of Pollutant: Each source of pollution contributing to the water quality problem is listed according to the level of documentation for the source. The criteria for *known*, *suspected*, or *possible* pollutants the same as outlined above. Those sources that contribute to the most significant impact/impairment are “major” sources and are listed in CAPITAL LETTERS.

Waterbody Problem Description/Documentation/History/Notes: This narrative description contains more detailed information about the waterbody segment and its water quality problem/impairment. This section may include:

- 1) a detailed description of the waterbody and surrounding area,
- 2) specific examples/instances of water use impairments, e.g., what water supply is affected? how often are beaches closed? what species of fish are restricted for consumption?
- 3) details regarding the specific pollutant and source of the impairment, and
- 4) references for specific reports, studies, monitoring data and/or other documentation that supports the impairment, pollutant and source information.

For some segments, an expected date of completion for a sampling effort, report, facility or other activity that will affect the segment or provide additional segment information may be noted in the **Next Update** field. The **Next Update** information will help ensure the segment information is kept up-to-date.

## Resolution/Management Information

(to be completed by NYSDEC staff)

18. Resolvability: Note with an “X” the one most appropriate *resolvability* class for the segment from the list below.
1. Needs Verification/Study (see Status): The confirmation of a use impairment, the evaluation of possible solutions and/or the development of management action (tailored specifically to the segment) need to be completed. See also *Status of Problem Verification/Study*.)
  2. Strategy Exists, Funding/Resources Needed: Study of the problem is complete, but funding or other resources are needed to implement the management strategy.
  3. Strategy Being Implemented: The recommended strategy for the remediation of the segment is currently underway.
  4. Problem Not Resolvable (technical/economic limitations): Technical, legal, social, political concerns preclude resolution of the impairment for the foreseeable future (e.g., low pH in lakes due to acid rain).
  5. Problem Not Resolvable (natural condition): Limitations to use of a waterbody is attributed to naturally occurring characteristics of the water/watershed (e.g., high sediment load in the Genesee River).
  6. Problem Thought to be Abated, Needs Verification: The prime cause of the use impairment to the waterbody has been brought under control but the expected improvement to the waterbody needs to be confirmed.
  7. Problem Abated, Waterbody Deleted: The waterbody use has been restored and the segment has been marked as *deleted*. Although deleted and not included in the list, the segment and information will remain in the Waterbody Inventory.
19. Status of Problem Verification/Study: Note with an “X” the one most appropriate *status* class for the segment from the list below.
1. Waterbody Nominated, but Problem Not Verified: It has been suggested that a waterbody use impairment exists for the segment, however there is insufficient (or no) available information to confirm that the use is being affected to the degree indicated.
  2. Problem Verified/Documented, Cause Unknown: The waterbody use impairment (and severity) is sufficiently documented, however identification of the cause (pollutant) requires more study.
  3. Cause of Problem Identified, Source Unknown: The specific pollutant(s) causing the use impairment have been sufficiently documented, however the source of the pollutant requires more study.
  4. Source of Problem Identified, Management Strategy Needed: Most details about the problem (use impairment, cause, source) are known/sufficiently documented. A management strategy to address the situation and restore the designated use of the waterbody needs to be developed.
  5. Management Strategy has been Developed: Necessary study of the situation is complete.

20. Lead Agency/Office: Indicate the primary party, either within DEC (division and bureau or office) or outside/external to DEC, responsible for the next steps in the study/strategy implementation concerning the segment. (e.g., DOW/BWAR, DOW/Reg6, DEC/F&W, DOH/PWS, ext/WQCC, ext/SWCD, etc)

21. Resolution Potential: Indicate as *High*, *Medium*, or *Low*, using the following criteria.

High: The waterbody or water quality issue has been deemed to be worthy of the expenditure of available resources (time and dollar) because of the level of public interest and the expectation that the commitment of these resources will result in either a measurable improvement in the situation or additional information necessary for the management of the water resource.

Medium: The resources necessary to address the problem are beyond what are *currently* available. With additional resources, these segments could become *High resolution potential* segments.

Low: Segments with water quality problems so persistent/intractable that improvements are expected to require an unrealistically high commitment of resources, not likely to become available (e.g., acid rain lakes).

NOTE: This field may be left blank if further verification/study of the impairment, pollutant and/or source is necessary to determine the *Resolution Potential* of the segment.

22. Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)/303d Status: Note with an “X” the most appropriate *TMDL* note (or notes) for the segment from the list below.

Impaired Water, TMDL Development Needed

Part 1 - High Priority for TMDL

Part 2 - Multiple Segment/Categorical TMDL Waters

- o Acid Rain Waters
- o Fish Consumption Waters
- o Restricted Shellfishing Waters

Part 3 - Water Requiring Re-Evaluation

Impaired Water, TMDL Development NOT Needed

Part 4a - TMDL Complete, being Implemented

Part 4b - *Pollution* Impairment, Not *Pollutants*

Part 4c - Other Controls More Suitable.

This page intentionally left blank.

## Waterbody Inventory Data Sheets By County, Segment Name

Waterbody/Segment (ID)	Water Index Number	Category
<b>Broome County</b>		
Agfa Lake (0601-0069)	SR-105-3-2-Pxx	UnAssessed
Beaver Lake (0601-0066)	SR- 99- 1-P174	MinorImpacts
Beaver/Marsh Pond (0601-0070)	SR-118-P175	UnAssessed
Belden Brook and tribs (0601-0129)	SR-122	UnAssessed
Bosket Lake (0603-0050)	SR- 28- 2-P21	UnAssessed
Castle Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0602-0065)	SR- 44- 5	MinorImpacts
Castle Creek, Upper, and tribs (0602-0166)	SR- 44- 5	UnAssessed
Chenango Lake (0602-0075)	SR- 44-12-P32	UnAssessed
Chenango River, Lower, Main Stem (0602-0033)	SR- 44 (portion 1)	Impaired Seg
Choconut Creek and tribs (0603-0019)	SR- 29	Need Verific
Clines Pond (0601-0063)	SR- 64-5-Pxx	UnAssessed
Dudley Creek and tribs (0602-0037)	SR- 44-14-30	MinorImpacts
Glen Castle Creek and tribs (0602-0118)	SR- 44- 5- 3	UnAssessed
Halfway/Ticknor Brook and tribs (0602-0124)	SR- 44-14-10	NoKnownImpct
Hawkins Pond (0601-0064)	SR- 78-P173	UnAssessed
Hotchkiss Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0185)	SR-103	UnAssessed
Jennings Creek/Big Brook and tribs (0602-0131)	SR- 44-14-33	NoKnownImpct
Lily Lake (0602-0076)	SR- 44-12-P32-P34	UnAssessed
Little Choconut Creek and tribs (0603-0017)	SR- 39	Need Verific
Little Snake Creek and tribs (0601-0042)	SR- 64	NoKnownImpct
Merrill Creek and tribs (0602-0052)	SR- 44-14-27-13	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Chenango River (0602-0117)	SR- 44- 1 thru 13 (selected)	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (north) (0603-0044)	SR- 31 thru 37 (selected)	Impaired Seg
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (south) (0603-0047)	SR- 2 thru 7 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (south) (0603-0073)	SR- 30 thru 43 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Tioughnioga River (0602-0123)	SR- 44-14- 1 thru 26 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0123)	SR- 94 thru 121 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0028)	SR- 45 thru 78 (selected)	MinorImpacts
Minor Tribs to Whitney Point Reservoir (0602-0029)	SR- 44-14-27- 1 thru 12	NoKnownImpct
Mud Pond (0601-0065)	SR- 94-1-2-Pxx	UnAssessed
Nanticoke Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0045)	SR- 28	NoKnownImpct
Nanticoke Creek, Middle, and tribs (0603-0004)	SR- 28	Need Verific
Nanticoke Creek, Upper, and tribs (0603-0046)	SR- 28	UnAssessed
Occanum Creek and tribs (0601-0126)	SR-105	UnAssessed
Osborne Creek and minor tribs (0602-0030)	SR- 44-10	NoKnownImpct
Page Brook, Lower and tribs (0602-0036)	SR- 44-11	NoKnownImpct
Park Creek and tribs (0601-0031)	SR- 53	Impaired Seg
Perch Pond (0601-0072)	SR-132- 3-P178	UnAssessed
Pickrel Pond (0601-0071)	SR-124-P177	UnAssessed
Rogers/Sweet Lake (0601-0090)	SR-105-3-2-Pxx	UnAssessed
Snake Creek and tribs (0601-0043)	SR- 66	NoKnownImpct
St Johns Pond (0602-0073)	SR- 44- 5- 3-P26a	UnAssessed
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0002)	SR (portion 4)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0040)	SR (portion 6)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0182)	SR (portion 5)	Impaired Seg

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
<b>Broome County (con't)</b>		
Thomas Creek and minor tribs (0602-0120)	SR- 44- 6	NoKnownImpct
Tioughnioga River, Lower, Main Stem (0602-0066)	SR- 44-14 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Tioughnioga River, Middle, and mnr tribs (0602-0067)	SR- 44-14 (portion 2)	NoKnownImpct
Tracey Creek and tribs (0603-0039)	SR- 26	NoKnownImpct
White Birch Lake (0601-0068)	SR-100-P174a(?)	MinorImpacts
Whitney Point Lake/Reservoir (0602-0004)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 1)/P35a	Impaired Seg
Wylie Brook and tribs (0601-0044)	SR-123	MinorImpacts
<b>Chemung County</b>		
Cayuta Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0022)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Cayuta Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0022)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Cayuta Creek, Middle, and minor tribs (0603-0065)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 3)	UnAssessed
Cayuta Creek, Middle, and tribs (0603-0064)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 2)	UnAssessed
Cayuta Creek, Upper, and minor tribs (0603-0066)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 4)	UnAssessed
Dry Brook, Upper, and tribs (Waverly R) (0603-0074)	SR (Pa)-2	NoKnownImpct
Jackson Creek and tribs (0603-0068)	SR (Pa)-1-41	UnAssessed
Langford Creek and tribs (0603-0067)	SR (Pa)-1-26	UnAssessed
Pony Hollow Creek and tribs (0603-0069)	SR (Pa)-1-52	UnAssessed
<b>Chenango County</b>		
Afton Lake (0601-0010)	SR-135-P180	Need Verific
Ashbell Brook and tribs (0602-0168)	SR- 44-14-27-47	UnAssessed
Bear/Padget Brook and tribs (0602-0143)	SR- 44-38	NoKnownImpct
Beaver Creek, Lower, and tribs (0601-0053)	SR-146-44	NoKnownImpct
Big Brook/Bennettsville Creek and tribs (0601-0048)	SR-139	MinorImpacts
Bowman Creek and tribs (0602-0144)	SR- 44-39	NoKnownImpct
Brackett Lake (0601-0075)	SR-134-P179	UnAssessed
Brakel Creek and tribs (0602-0046)	SR- 44-14-27-34	NoKnownImpct
Canasawacta Creek, Lower and minor tribs (0602-0013)	SR- 44-54	MinorImpacts
Canasawacta Creek, Upper and tribs (0602-0149)	SR- 44-54	UnAssessed
Center Brook and minor tribs (0601-0147)	SR-146-38	NoKnownImpct
Chenango Lake (0601-0013)	SR-146-19- 6-1-P213	NoKnownImpct
Chenango River, Middle, Main Stem (0602-0009)	SR- 44 (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Chenango River, Middle, Main Stem (0602-0164)	SR- 44 (portion 3)	Impaired Seg
Chenango River, Upper, and minor tribs (0602-0069)	SR- 44 (portion 4)	Impaired Seg
Cincinnatus Lake (0602-0064)	SR- 44-23-14-P83-2-P84	UnAssessed
Cold Brook and tribs (0602-0011)	SR- 44-14-60- 6	Need Verific
Cold Spring Brook and minor tribs (0602-0155)	SR- 44-71- 1	UnAssessed
Cornell Creek and tribs (0601-0130)	SR-132	UnAssessed
East Branch and tribs (0602-0150)	SR- 44-54- 5	UnAssessed
Echo Lake (0601-0074)	SR-134- 8-4-Pxx	UnAssessed
Echo Lake (0602-0093)	SR- 44-23-11-P81	NoKnownImpct
Fly Meadow Creek and tribs (0602-0147)	SR- 44-44	UnAssessed
Geneganselet Creek, Lower, and tribs (0602-0063)	SR- 44-23	NoKnownImpct
Geneganselet Creek, Middle, and tribs (0602-0137)	SR- 44-23	UnAssessed
Geneganselet Creek, Upper, and tribs (0602-0138)	SR- 44-23	UnAssessed
Genegantslet Lake (0602-0096)	SR- 44-23-35-P88	NoKnownImpct
Glen Brook and tribs (0602-0167)	SR- 44-14-27-44	UnAssessed
Great Brook and tribs (0601-0051)	SR-146-19	NoKnownImpct
Guilford Creek and tribs (0601-0049)	SR-146- 1	NoKnownImpct
Guilford Lake (0601-0012)	SR-146- 1-P188	NoKnownImpct

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
<b>Chenango County (con't)</b>		
Handsome Brook and minor tribs (0602-0070)	SR- 44-68	NoKnownImpct
Jackson Pond (0601-0085)	SR-146-19- 6-P214	UnAssessed
Kelsey Brook, Lower and minor tribs (0601-0045)	SR-134	NoKnownImpct
Kelsey Brook, Upper and tribs (0601-0131)	SR-134	UnAssessed
Lake Gerry (0602-0098)	SR- 44-38- 1-P95-1-P97	NoKnownImpct
Lake Ludlow (0602-0100)	SR- 44-39- 5-P99	UnAssessed
Lake Petonia (0602-0092)	SR- 44-23- 3-P78	NoKnownImpct
Lake Warn (0602-0116)	SR- 44-P94	MinorImpacts
Long Pond (0602-0095)	SR- 44-23-14-P83	UnAssessed
Lyon Brook and tribs (0602-0148)	SR- 44-45	UnAssessed
Mann Brook and tribs (0602-0129)	SR- 44-14-27-53	UnAssessed
McCall Pond (0602-0102)	SR- 44-44- 2-P103	UnAssessed
Mead Pond (0602-0115)	SR- 44-P110	UnAssessed
Mill Brook and tribs (0602-0146)	SR- 44-41	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Unadilla River (0601-0136)	SR-146- 3 thru 35 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Middle Chenango River (0602-0136)	SR- 44-15 thru 41 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Middle Chenango River (0602-0151)	SR- 44-42 thru 57 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0123)	SR- 94 thru 121 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0186)	SR-124 thru 145 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Upper Otselic River (0602-0162)	SR- 44-14-27-33 thru 55	UnAssessed
Mud Creek and tribs (0602-0068)	SR- 44-14-27-38	NoKnownImpct
North Pond (0602-0103)	SR- 44-45- 2-2-P106	UnAssessed
Norwich Reservoirs (0602-0010)	SR- 44-56-P108/P109	Need Verific
Otselic River, Middle, Main Stem (0602-0015)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 3)	NoKnownImpct
Page Brook, Upper and tribs (0602-0122)	SR- 44-11	UnAssessed
Pleasant Brook and minor tribs (0602-0071)	SR- 44-71	Need Verific
Plymouth Reservoir (0602-0014)	SR- 44-54- 8-P107	MinorImpacts
Rogers Game Farm Pond (0602-0106)	SR- 44-69a-P123 a	UnAssessed
Round Pond (0602-0094)	SR- 44-23-14-1-P82	UnAssessed
Round Pond (0602-0104)	SR- 44-54- 7-P107a	UnAssessed
Sangerfield River and minor tribs (0602-0072)	SR- 44-72	NoKnownImpct
Sears Pond (0602-0105)	SR- 44-68- 7-P124	UnAssessed
Shawler Brook and tribs (0601-0148)	SR-146-38- 1	UnAssessed
Sidney Reservoir (0601-0137)	SR-146- 1- 1-P186	UnAssessed
Smith Pond (0601-0073)	SR-134- 1-P344	UnAssessed
South Lebanon Brook and tribs (0602-0156)	SR- 44-71- 1-5	UnAssessed
Spring Brook and tribs (0602-0140)	SR- 44-30	UnAssessed
Steer Pond (0602-0101)	SR- 44-41-P101	UnAssessed
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0040)	SR (portion 6)	Impaired Seg
Tallete Creek and tribs (0601-0150)	SR-146-43	UnAssessed
Tank Pond (0602-0099)	SR- 44-38- 9-P98	UnAssessed
Tillotson Creek and tribs (0602-0141)	SR- 44-31	UnAssessed
Trestle Lake (0602-0097)	SR- 44-38- 1-P95	UnAssessed
Truitt Pond (0602-0074)	SR- 44-11- 8-1...Pxx	UnAssessed
Unadilla River, Lower, Main Stem (0601-0003)	SR-146 (portion 1)	Impaired Seg
Unadilla River, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0037)	SR-146 (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Upper Peckam Brook and tribs (0601-0189)	SR-146- 1- 1	UnAssessed
Upper Perkins Pond Outlet and tribs (0602-0169)	SR- 44-14-27-48	UnAssessed
Wheeler Brook and tribs (0602-0142)	SR- 44-32	UnAssessed
Whites Pond (0601-0084)	SR-146-17- 1-P212	UnAssessed
Wilkins Brook and tribs (0601-0133)	SR-134- 8	UnAssessed

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
<b>Chenango County (con't)</b>		
Wylie Brook and tribs (0601-0044)	SR-123	MinorImpacts
Yaleville Brook, Upper, and tribs (0601-0187)	SR-142	UnAssessed
<b>Cortland County</b>		
Bloody Pond (0602-0078)	SR- 44-14-27-19-P38	UnAssessed
Brakel Creek and tribs (0602-0046)	SR- 44-14-27-34	NoKnownImpct
Chenango Creek and tribs (0602-0058)	SR- 44-14-59- 9	UnAssessed
Cincinnatus Lake (0602-0064)	SR- 44-23-14-P83-2-P84	UnAssessed
Dean Pond (0602-0077)	SR- 44-14-27-13-10-P36	UnAssessed
Dry Creek and tribs (0602-0062)	SR- 44-14-60- 2	UnAssessed
East Branch Owego Cr, Upper, and tribs (0603-0034)	SR- 16- 8	NoKnownImpct
East Branch Tioughnioga, Low, and tribs (0602-0020)	SR- 44-14-59	NoKnownImpct
East Branch Tioughnioga, Upp, and tribs (0602-0132)	SR- 44-14-59	NoKnownImpct
Ellis/Melody Lake (0602-0053)	SR- 44-14-27-23-P39	MinorImpacts
Factory Brook and tribs (0602-0025)	SR- 44-14-60- 4	MinorImpacts
Gee Brook and tribs (0602-0125)	SR- 44-14-27-32	NoKnownImpct
Glover Pond/High Lake (0602-0080)	SR- 44-14-27-26-P40	UnAssessed
Goodale Lake (0602-0089)	SR- 44-14-60-P67b	NoKnownImpct
Green Lake (0602-0088)	SR- 44-14-60-P67a	UnAssessed
Gridley Creek and tribs (0602-0055)	SR- 44-14-43	NoKnownImpct
Hunts Creek and tribs (0602-0054)	SR- 44-14-38	NoKnownImpct
Labrador Pond (0602-0084)	SR- 44-14-59-11-P51	UnAssessed
Lower/Upper Little York Lakes (0602-0017)	SR- 44-14-60-P67	Need Verific
Mead Brook and tribs (0602-0126)	SR- 44-14-27-35	NoKnownImpct
Merrill Creek and tribs (0602-0052)	SR- 44-14-27-13	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Otselic River (0602-0161)	SR- 44-14-27-14 thru 31	UnAssessed
Mud Creek and tribs (0602-0068)	SR- 44-14-27-38	NoKnownImpct
Otselic River, Lower, Main Stem (0602-0024)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 2)	NoKnownImpct
Otter Creek and tribs (0602-0061)	SR- 44-14-60- 1	UnAssessed
Pond Creek and tribs (0602-0128)	SR- 44-14-27-37	NoKnownImpct
Solon Pond (0602-0081)	SR- 44-14-27-37-P42	UnAssessed
Song Lake (0602-0019)	SR- 44-14-60-P68-P72	MinorImpacts
Stump Pond (0602-0079)	SR- 44-14-27-23- 4-P38a	UnAssessed
Tioughnioga Cr West Br and minor tribs (0602-0059)	SR- 44-14-59-25	Need Verific
Tioughnioga River, Middle, and mnr tribs (0602-0067)	SR- 44-14 (portion 2)	NoKnownImpct
Tioughnioga River, Upper, and mnr tribs (0602-0002)	SR- 44-14 (portion 3)	NoKnownImpct
Trout Brook, Lower, and tribs (0602-0056)	SR- 44-14-58	NoKnownImpct
Trout Brook, Upper, and tribs (0602-0057)	SR- 44-14-58	NoKnownImpct
Tully Lake (0602-0018)	SR- 44-14-60-P68	Need Verific
West Branch Tiohgh River and minor tribs (0602-0060)	SR- 44-14-60	NoKnownImpct
<b>Delaware County</b>		
Beaver Spring Pond (0601-0100)	SR-183-15-P310	UnAssessed
Bourn Pond (0601-0092)	SR-155- 3-2-P268	UnAssessed
Carrs Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0005)	SR-153	NoKnownImpct
Carrs Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0155)	SR-154	UnAssessed
Center Brook and tribs (0601-0168)	SR-183-19- 8	UnAssessed
Charlotte Creek, Lower, and tribs (0601-0014)	SR-183	NoKnownImpct
Charlotte Creek, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0167)	SR-183	NoKnownImpct
Charlotte Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0193)	SR-183	UnAssessed
Chisholm Pond (0601-0091)	SR-155- 3-2-3-P265	UnAssessed

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
<b>Delaware County (con't)</b>		
Clapper Lake (0601-0103)	SR-183-23-P327	UnAssessed
East Sidney Reservoir (0601-0001)	SR-155-P262	Need Verific
Handsome Br/West Branch and minor tribs (0601-0055)	SR-155- 3	NoKnownImpct
Kortright Creek and tribs (0601-0060)	SR-183- 8	NoKnownImpct
Middle Brook and minor tribs (0601-0061)	SR-183-19	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0154)	SR-147 thru 171 (selected)	UnAssessed
Ouleout Creek, Lower, and tribs (0601-0054)	SR-155	UnAssessed
Ouleout Creek, Upper, and minor tribs (0601-0057)	SR-155	UnAssessed
Pine Lake (0601-0099)	SR-183- 7-P303	UnAssessed
Sexsmith Lake (0601-0101)	SR-183-17-P311	NoKnownImpct
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0020)	SR (portion 7)	Impaired Seg
Titus Lake (0601-0102)	SR-183-19-11-P320	UnAssessed
Treadwell Creek and tribs (0601-0058)	SR-155- 7	NoKnownImpct
Youngs Pond (0601-0076)	SR-139-10-3-1-P182a	UnAssessed
<b>Herkimer County</b>		
Cedar Lake (0601-0088)	SR-146-69- 5-P241	UnAssessed
Cripple Creek and tribs (0601-0027)	SR-P404-10	Need Verific
Minor Tribs to Weaver Lake (0601-0039)	SR-P404-10-P409-	MinorImpacts
Mud Lake (0601-0115)	SR-204-P399	UnAssessed
North Winfield Creek and tribs (0601-0035)	SR-146-69	Impaired Seg
Unadilla Lake (0601-0089)	SR-146-P244	UnAssessed
Unadilla River, Upper, and minor tribs (0601-0188)	SR-146 (portion 3)	Impaired Seg
Weaver Lake (Maumee Swamp) (0601-0025)	SR-P404-10-P409	Need Verific
Young Lake (0601-0026)	SR-P404-10-P408	Need Verific
<b>Madison County</b>		
Beaver Creek, Lower, and tribs (0601-0053)	SR-146-44	NoKnownImpct
Beaver Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0151)	SR-146-44	UnAssessed
Bradley Brook Reservoir (0602-0111)	SR- 44-80-P154	NoKnownImpct
Chenango River, Upper, and minor tribs (0602-0069)	SR- 44 (portion 4)	Impaired Seg
Chenango River, Upper, and minor tribs (0602-0165)	SR- 44 (portion 5)	Impaired Seg
Earlville/Craime Lake (0602-0108)	SR- 44-76-P146	NoKnownImpct
Eaton Brook Reservoir (0602-0041)	SR- 44-82-P163	NoKnownImpct
Eaton Brook and tribs (0602-0159)	SR- 44-82	UnAssessed
Electric Light Pond (0602-0114)	SR- 44-83-P163a	UnAssessed
Fisk Marsh Pond (0602-0082)	SR- 44-14-27-64-P48	UnAssessed
Gorton Lake (0602-0040)	SR- 44-72-24-P136	MinorImpacts
Handsome Brook and minor tribs (0602-0070)	SR- 44-68	NoKnownImpct
Hatch Lake (0602-0112)	SR- 44-80-P154-1-P155	NoKnownImpct
Hunt Creek and tribs (0602-0051)	SR- 44-72-17	NoKnownImpct
Lake Moraine (0602-0007)	SR- 44-78-P152	MinorImpacts
Lebanon Reservoir (0602-0109)	SR- 44-79-P153	MinorImpacts
Otselic Creek and tribs (0602-0130)	SR- 44-14-27-61	NoKnownImpct
Otselic River, Upper and minor tribs (0602-0043)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 4)	MinorImpacts
Payne Brook and tribs (0602-0003)	SR- 44-78	MinorImpacts
Pleasant Brook and tribs (0602-0154)	SR- 44-68- 5	UnAssessed
Poolville Pond (0602-0107)	SR- 44-72- 8-P129	UnAssessed
Sangerfield River and minor tribs (0602-0072)	SR- 44-72	NoKnownImpct
Seymour Pond (0602-0110)	SR- 44-79-P153-1a-P153a	UnAssessed
Stone Mill/Lebanon Brook and tribs (0602-0157)	SR- 44-74	UnAssessed

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
<b>Madison County (con't)</b>		
Tioughnioga Creek and tribs (0602-0133)	SR- 44-14-59	MinorImpacts
Torpy Pond (0602-0083)	SR- 44-14-27-P49	UnAssessed
Unadilla River, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0037)	SR-146 (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Woodman Pond (0602-0048)	SR- 44-78- 3-P148	UnAssessed
<b>Oneida County</b>		
Chittning Lake (0601-0087)	SR-146-44-P243a	UnAssessed
Sangerfield River and minor tribs (0602-0072)	SR- 44-72	NoKnownImpct
West Branch Unadilla River and tribs (0601-0153)	SR-146-64	UnAssessed
<b>Onondaga County</b>		
Carpenter Pond (0602-0085)	SR- 44-14-59-25-P55	UnAssessed
Cold Brook and tribs (0602-0011)	SR- 44-14-60- 6	Need Verific
Crooked Lake (0602-0090)	SR- 44-14-60-P68-P73	NoKnownImpct
DeRuyter Reservoir (0602-0086)	SR- 44-14-59-34-P56	MinorImpacts
Fabius Brook and tribs (0602-0026)	SR- 44-14-59-25-2	NoKnownImpct
Gatehouse Pond (0602-0091)	SR- 44-14-60-P68-P73-3-P74-1-P75	UnAssessed
Green Lake (0602-0087)	SR- 44-14-60-P67-2-P71	UnAssessed
Tioughnioga Cr West Br and minor tribs (0602-0059)	SR- 44-14-59-25	Need Verific
Tully Lake (0602-0018)	SR- 44-14-60-P68	Need Verific
<b>Otsego County</b>		
Allen Lake (0601-0117)	SR-P404- 9-P405	NoKnownImpct
Allen Pond (0601-0077)	SR-146- 9-11-P194	UnAssessed
Arnold Lake (0601-0109)	SR-190-P362	NoKnownImpct
Bailey Pond (0601-0114)	SR-204-P393- 7-P400	UnAssessed
Bear Swamp Pond (0601-0108)	SR-187-28-P359	UnAssessed
Belvedere Lake (0601-0111)	SR-195-23-P376	UnAssessed
Buckhorn Lake (0601-0093)	SR-156-P279a	UnAssessed
Butternut Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0601-0050)	SR-146- 9 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Butternut Creek, Middle, and tribs (0601-0140)	SR-146- 9 (portion 2)	NoKnownImpct
Butternut Creek, Middle, and tribs (0601-0190)	SR-146- 9 (portion 3)	NoKnownImpct
Butternut Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0141)	SR-146- 9 (portion 4)	NoKnownImpct
Canadarago Lake (0601-0016)	SR-204-P392	NoKnownImpct
Card Pond (0601-0080)	SR-146- 9-34-P207	UnAssessed
Caryl Lake (0601-0106)	SR-187-20-1-P346	UnAssessed
Cherry Valley Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0022)	SR-195	NoKnownImpct
Cherry Valley Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0174)	SR-195	MinorImpacts
Clarke Pond (0601-0118)	SR-P404-11-P406	UnAssessed
Cripple Creek and tribs (0601-0027)	SR-P404-10	Need Verific
Crumhorn Lake (0601-0110)	SR-193-P366	UnAssessed
Crystal Lake (0601-0081)	SR-146- 9-36-P208	UnAssessed
Decatur Creek and tribs (0601-0173)	SR-187-21	UnAssessed
Duck Pond (0601-0079)	SR-146- 9-29-2-P205a	UnAssessed
Dunderberg Creek , Upper, and tribs (0601-0191)	SR-146- 9- 7	Need Verific
Elk Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0019)	SR-187-14	NoKnownImpct
Elk Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0170)	SR-187-14	UnAssessed
Fly Creek and tribs (0601-0176)	SR-204- 5	UnAssessed
Gardners Pond (0601-0082)	SR-146- 9-40-P210	UnAssessed
Gilbert Lake (0601-0095)	SR-172-18-P287	UnAssessed
Goodyear Lake (0601-0015)	SR (portion 8)/P360	Impaired Seg

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
<b>Otsego County</b> (con't)		
Hartwick Reservoir (0601-0096)	SR-172-34b-P290a	UnAssessed
Hayden Creek and tribs (0601-0180)	SR-P404-12	Need Verific
Herkimer Creek and tribs (0601-0177)	SR-204-P392- 1	UnAssessed
Hudson Lake (0601-0107)	SR-187-26-P352	UnAssessed
Hunts Pond (0601-0086)	SR-146-26-P215	UnAssessed
Hyder Creek and tribs (0601-0178)	SR-204-P392- 3	UnAssessed
Little (Goey) Pond (0601-0112)	SR-196-2-P378	UnAssessed
Mill Creek and tribs (0601-0149)	SR-146-36- 9	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Canadarago Lake (0601-0171)	SR-204-P392-	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Unadilla River (0601-0136)	SR-146- 3 thru 35 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Otsego Lake (0601-0179)	SR-P404-	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0154)	SR-147 thru 171 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0192)	SR-173 thru 185	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0194)	SR-188 thru 207 (selected)	UnAssessed
Muskrat Pond (0601-0113)	SR-204- 5- 8-P385a	UnAssessed
Oaks Creek and minor tribs (0601-0047)	SR-204	NoKnownImpct
Ocuionis Creek and tribs (0601-0034)	SR-204-P392- 5	MinorImpacts
Oneonta Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0166)	SR-179	Need Verific
Oneonta Lower Reservoir (0601-0097)	SR-179-P295	Need Verific
Otego Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0601-0046)	SR-172	NoKnownImpct
Otego Creek, Middle and tribs (0601-0161)	SR-172	UnAssessed
Otego Creek, Upper/Clark Brook and tribs (0601-0162)	SR-172	UnAssessed
Otsdawa Creek and minor tribs (0601-0059)	SR-165	NoKnownImpct
Otsego Lake (0601-0033)	SR-P404	NoKnownImpct
Pickens Pond (0601-0078)	SR-146- 9-14-P195	UnAssessed
Pleasant Brook and tribs (0601-0175)	SR-195-21	UnAssessed
Rogers Hollow Creek and tribs (0601-0138)	SR-146- 2	UnAssessed
Saddlebag Lake (0601-0116)	SR-191a-P363	UnAssessed
Sand Hill Creek and tribs (0601-0156)	SR-158	UnAssessed
Schenevus Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0062)	SR-187	NoKnownImpct
Schenevus Creek, Upper and minor tribs (0601-0169)	SR-187	UnAssessed
Seward Lake (0601-0105)	SR-187-12a-1-P341	UnAssessed
Shadow Brook and tribs (0601-0181)	SR-P404-14	Need Verific
Silver Lake (0601-0023)	SR-146-33-P216	UnAssessed
Summit Lake (0601-0119)	SR-P404-12-P411	UnAssessed
Summit Lake (0601-0024)	SR-146-36-23-P228	NoKnownImpct
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0020)	SR (portion 7)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Upper, Main Stem (0601-0041)	SR (portion 9)	Impaired Seg
Unadilla River, Lower, Main Stem (0601-0003)	SR-146 (portion 1)	Impaired Seg
Unadilla River, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0037)	SR-146 (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Unadilla River, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0037)	SR-146 (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
West Branch Otego Creek and tribs (0601-0165)	SR-172-29	UnAssessed
Wharton Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0601-0052)	SR-146-36	NoKnownImpct
Wharton Creek, Middle, and tribs (0601-0145)	SR-146-36	UnAssessed
Wharton Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0146)	SR-146-36	UnAssessed
Wilber Lake (0601-0098)	SR-179-P297	NoKnownImpct
YMCA Pond (0601-0094)	SR-172- 8-15-P282a	UnAssessed
Zimmermans Pond (0601-0083)	SR-146- 9-45-P211	UnAssessed

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
<b>Schoharie County</b>		
Charlotte Creek, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0167)	SR-183	NoKnownImpct
Fox Vly (0601-0104)	SR-183-P335	UnAssessed
Middle Brook and minor tribs (0601-0061)	SR-183-19	NoKnownImpct
<b>Schuyler County</b>		
Cayuta Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0022)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Cayuta Lake (0603-0005)	SR (Pa)-1-P8	MinorImpacts
Tribs to Cayuta Lake (0603-0056)	SR (Pa)-1-P1-	UnAssessed
<b>Tioga County</b>		
Apalachin Creek and tribs (0603-0014)	SR- 24	MinorImpacts
Barnes Creek, Upper, and tribs (0603-0072)	SR- 20-1	UnAssessed
Catatonk Creek, Lower and tribs (0603-0007)	SR- 16- 4	NoKnownImpct
Catatonk Creek, Upper and minor tribs (0603-0008)	SR- 16- 4-	NoKnownImpct
Dean Creek and minor tribs (0603-0036)	SR- 16- 4-24	NoKnownImpct
Dodge Pond (0603-0051)	SR (Pa)-P1	UnAssessed
Dudley Creek and tribs (0602-0037)	SR- 44-14-30	MinorImpacts
East Branch Owego Cr, Lower, and tribs (0603-0012)	SR- 16- 8	NoKnownImpct
East Branch Owego Cr, Upper, and tribs (0603-0034)	SR- 16- 8	NoKnownImpct
Ellis Creek and tribs (0603-0023)	SR- 1	NoKnownImpct
Empire Lake (0603-0052)	SR- 12- 9-Pxx	UnAssessed
Hunts Creek and tribs (0603-0028)	SR- 13	UnAssessed
Little Nanticoke/Barnes Creeks and tribs (0603-0038)	SR- 20	NoKnownImpct
Michigan Creek and tribs (0603-0055)	SR- 16- 4-P13-1	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (north) (0603-0071)	SR- 19 thru 27 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (north) (0603-0024)	SR- 4 thru 9 (selected)	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (south) (0603-0047)	SR- 2 thru 7 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (south) (0603-0029)	SR- 15 thru 22 (selected)	NoKnownImpct
Mutton Hill Pond (0603-0049)	SR- 22-P20	UnAssessed
Nanticoke Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0045)	SR- 28	NoKnownImpct
Nanticoke Creek, Middle, and tribs (0603-0004)	SR- 28	Need Verific
Owego Creek and minor tribs (0603-0031)	SR- 16	MinorImpacts
Pipe Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0027)	SR- 12	NoKnownImpct
Pipe Creek, Upper, and tribs (0603-0070)	SR- 12	UnAssessed
Sackett Creek and tribs (0603-0025)	SR- 10	UnAssessed
Spencer Lake (0603-0048)	SR- 16- 4-P13	UnAssessed
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0016)	SR (portion 1)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0015)	SR (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0013)	SR (portion 3)	Impaired Seg
Thorn Hollow Creek and tribs (0603-0030)	SR- 14	UnAssessed
Wappasening Creek and tribs (0603-0026)	SR- 11	NoKnownImpct
West Branch Owego Cr, Lower, and tribs (0603-0011)	SR- 16- 7	NoKnownImpct
West Branch Owego Cr, Upper, and tribs (0603-0035)	SR- 16- 7	NoKnownImpct
Willseyville Creek and minor tribs (0603-0032)	SR- 16- 4-16	NoKnownImpct
<b>Tompkins County</b>		
Cayuta Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0022)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Tribs to Cayuta Lake (0603-0056)	SR (Pa)-1-P1-	UnAssessed
West Branch Owego Cr, Upper, and tribs (0603-0035)	SR- 16- 7	NoKnownImpct
Willseyville Creek and minor tribs (0603-0032)	SR- 16- 4-16	NoKnownImpct

## Waterbody Inventory Data Sheets By Segment Name

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
Afton Lake (0601-0010)	SR-135-P180	Need Verific
Agfa Lake (0601-0069)	SR-105-3-2-Pxx	UnAssessed
Allen Lake (0601-0117)	SR-P404- 9-P405	NoKnownImpct
Allen Pond (0601-0077)	SR-146- 9-11-P194	UnAssessed
Apalachin Creek and tribs (0603-0014)	SR- 24	MinorImpacts
Arnold Lake (0601-0109)	SR-190-P362	NoKnownImpct
Ashbell Brook and tribs (0602-0168)	SR- 44-14-27-47	UnAssessed
Bailey Pond (0601-0114)	SR-204-P393- 7-P400	UnAssessed
Barnes Creek, Upper, and tribs (0603-0072)	SR- 20-1	UnAssessed
Bear Swamp Pond (0601-0108)	SR-187-28-P359	UnAssessed
Bear/Padget Brook and tribs (0602-0143)	SR- 44-38	NoKnownImpct
Beaver Creek, Lower, and tribs (0601-0053)	SR-146-44	NoKnownImpct
Beaver Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0151)	SR-146-44	UnAssessed
Beaver Lake (0601-0066)	SR- 99- 1-P174	MinorImpacts
Beaver Spring Pond (0601-0100)	SR-183-15-P310	UnAssessed
Beaver/Marsh Pond (0601-0070)	SR-118-P175	UnAssessed
Belden Brook and tribs (0601-0129)	SR-122	UnAssessed
Belvedere Lake (0601-0111)	SR-195-23-P376	UnAssessed
Big Brook/Bennettsville Creek and tribs (0601-0048)	SR-139	MinorImpacts
Bloody Pond (0602-0078)	SR- 44-14-27-19-P38	UnAssessed
Bosket Lake (0603-0050)	SR- 28- 2-P21	UnAssessed
Bourn Pond (0601-0092)	SR-155- 3-2-P268	UnAssessed
Bowman Creek and tribs (0602-0144)	SR- 44-39	NoKnownImpct
Brackett Lake (0601-0075)	SR-134-P179	UnAssessed
Bradley Brook Reservoir (0602-0111)	SR- 44-80-P154	NoKnownImpct
Brakel Creek and tribs (0602-0046)	SR- 44-14-27-34	NoKnownImpct
Buckhorn Lake (0601-0093)	SR-156-P279a	UnAssessed
Butternut Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0601-0050)	SR-146- 9 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Butternut Creek, Middle, and tribs (0601-0140)	SR-146- 9 (portion 2)	NoKnownImpct
Butternut Creek, Middle, and tribs (0601-0190)	SR-146- 9 (portion 3)	NoKnownImpct
Butternut Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0141)	SR-146- 9 (portion 4)	NoKnownImpct
Canadarago Lake (0601-0016)	SR-204-P392	NoKnownImpct
Canasawacta Creek, Lower and minor tribs (0602-0013)	SR- 44-54	MinorImpacts
Canasawacta Creek, Upper and tribs (0602-0149)	SR- 44-54	UnAssessed
Card Pond (0601-0080)	SR-146- 9-34-P207	UnAssessed
Carpenter Pond (0602-0085)	SR- 44-14-59-25-P55	UnAssessed
Carrs Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0005)	SR-153	NoKnownImpct
Carrs Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0155)	SR-154	UnAssessed
Caryl Lake (0601-0106)	SR-187-20-1-P346	UnAssessed
Castle Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0602-0065)	SR- 44- 5	MinorImpacts
Castle Creek, Upper, and tribs (0602-0166)	SR- 44- 5	UnAssessed
Catatonk Creek, Lower and tribs (0603-0007)	SR- 16- 4	NoKnownImpct
Catatonk Creek, Upper and minor tribs (0603-0008)	SR- 16- 4-	NoKnownImpct
Cayuta Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0022)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Cayuta Creek, Middle, and minor tribs (0603-0065)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 3)	UnAssessed

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
Cayuta Creek, Middle, and tribs (0603-0064)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 2)	UnAssessed
Cayuta Creek, Upper, and minor tribs (0603-0066)	SR (Pa)-1 (portion 4)	UnAssessed
Cayuta Lake (0603-0005)	SR (Pa)-1-P8	MinorImpacts
Cedar Lake (0601-0088)	SR-146-69- 5-P241	UnAssessed
Center Brook and minor tribs (0601-0147)	SR-146-38	NoKnownImpct
Center Brook and tribs (0601-0168)	SR-183-19- 8	UnAssessed
Charlotte Creek, Lower, and tribs (0601-0014)	SR-183	NoKnownImpct
Charlotte Creek, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0167)	SR-183	NoKnownImpct
Charlotte Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0193)	SR-183	UnAssessed
Chenango Lake (0602-0075)	SR- 44-12-P32	UnAssessed
Chenango Lake (0601-0013)	SR-146-19- 6-1-P213	NoKnownImpct
Chenango River, Lower, Main Stem (0602-0033)	SR- 44 (portion 1)	Impaired Seg
Chenango River, Middle, Main Stem (0602-0009)	SR- 44 (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Chenango River, Middle, Main Stem (0602-0164)	SR- 44 (portion 3)	Impaired Seg
Chenango River, Upper, and minor tribs (0602-0069)	SR- 44 (portion 4)	Impaired Seg
Chenango River, Upper, and minor tribs (0602-0165)	SR- 44 (portion 5)	Impaired Seg
Chenango Creek and tribs (0602-0058)	SR- 44-14-59- 9	UnAssessed
Cherry Valley Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0022)	SR-195	NoKnownImpct
Cherry Valley Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0174)	SR-195	MinorImpacts
Chisholm Pond (0601-0091)	SR-155- 3-2-3-P265	UnAssessed
Chittning Lake (0601-0087)	SR-146-44-P243a	UnAssessed
Choconut Creek and tribs (0603-0019)	SR- 29	Need Verific
Cincinnati Lake (0602-0064)	SR- 44-23-14-P83-2-P84	UnAssessed
Clapper Lake (0601-0103)	SR-183-23-P327	UnAssessed
Clarke Pond (0601-0118)	SR-P404-11-P406	UnAssessed
Clines Pond (0601-0063)	SR- 64-5-Pxx	UnAssessed
Cold Brook and tribs (0602-0011)	SR- 44-14-60- 6	Need Verific
Cold Spring Brook and minor tribs (0602-0155)	SR- 44-71- 1	UnAssessed
Cornell Creek and tribs (0601-0130)	SR-132	UnAssessed
Cripple Creek and tribs (0601-0027)	SR-P404-10	Need Verific
Crooked Lake (0602-0090)	SR- 44-14-60-P68-P73	NoKnownImpct
Crumhorn Lake (0601-0110)	SR-193-P366	UnAssessed
Crystal Lake (0601-0081)	SR-146- 9-36-P208	UnAssessed
DeRuyter Reservoir (0602-0086)	SR- 44-14-59-34-P56	MinorImpacts
Dean Creek and minor tribs (0603-0036)	SR- 16- 4-24	NoKnownImpct
Dean Pond (0602-0077)	SR- 44-14-27-13-10-P36	UnAssessed
Decatur Creek and tribs (0601-0173)	SR-187-21	UnAssessed
Dodge Pond (0603-0051)	SR (Pa)-P1	UnAssessed
Dry Brook, Upper, and tribs (Waverly R) (0603-0074)	SR (Pa)-2	NoKnownImpct
Dry Creek and tribs (0602-0062)	SR- 44-14-60- 2	UnAssessed
Duck Pond (0601-0079)	SR-146- 9-29-2-P205a	UnAssessed
Dudley Creek and tribs (0602-0037)	SR- 44-14-30	MinorImpacts
Dunderberg Creek , Upper, and tribs (0601-0191)	SR-146- 9- 7	Need Verific
Earlville/Craigne Lake (0602-0108)	SR- 44-76-P146	NoKnownImpct
East Branch Owego Cr, Lower, and tribs (0603-0012)	SR- 16- 8	NoKnownImpct
East Branch Owego Cr, Upper, and tribs (0603-0034)	SR- 16- 8	NoKnownImpct
East Branch Tioughnioga, Low, and tribs (0602-0020)	SR- 44-14-59	NoKnownImpct
East Branch Tioughnioga, Upp, and tribs (0602-0132)	SR- 44-14-59	NoKnownImpct
East Branch and tribs (0602-0150)	SR- 44-54- 5	UnAssessed
East Sidney Reservoir (0601-0001)	SR-155-P262	Need Verific
Eaton Brook Reservoir (0602-0041)	SR- 44-82-P163	NoKnownImpct
Eaton Brook and tribs (0602-0159)	SR- 44-82	UnAssessed

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
Echo Lake (0602-0093)	SR- 44-23-11-P81	NoKnownImpct
Echo Lake (0601-0074)	SR-134- 8-4-Pxx	UnAssessed
Electric Light Pond (0602-0114)	SR- 44-83-P163a	UnAssessed
Elk Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0019)	SR-187-14	NoKnownImpct
Elk Creek, Upper and tribs (0601-0170)	SR-187-14	UnAssessed
Ellis Creek and tribs (0603-0023)	SR- 1	NoKnownImpct
Ellis/Melody Lake (0602-0053)	SR- 44-14-27-23-P39	MinorImpacts
Empire Lake (0603-0052)	SR- 12- 9-Pxx	UnAssessed
Fabius Brook and tribs (0602-0026)	SR- 44-14-59-25-2	NoKnownImpct
Factory Brook and tribs (0602-0025)	SR- 44-14-60- 4	MinorImpacts
Fisk Marsh Pond (0602-0082)	SR- 44-14-27-64-P48	UnAssessed
Fly Creek and tribs (0601-0176)	SR-204- 5	UnAssessed
Fly Meadow Creek and tribs (0602-0147)	SR- 44-44	UnAssessed
Fox Vly (0601-0104)	SR-183-P335	UnAssessed
Gardners Pond (0601-0082)	SR-146- 9-40-P210	UnAssessed
Gatehouse Pond (0602-0091)	SR- 44-14-60-P68-P73-3-P74-1-P75	UnAssessed
Gee Brook and tribs (0602-0125)	SR- 44-14-27-32	NoKnownImpct
Geneganselet Creek, Lower, and tribs (0602-0063)	SR- 44-23	NoKnownImpct
Geneganselet Creek, Middle, and tribs (0602-0137)	SR- 44-23	UnAssessed
Geneganselet Creek, Upper, and tribs (0602-0138)	SR- 44-23	UnAssessed
Genegantslet Lake (0602-0096)	SR- 44-23-35-P88	NoKnownImpct
Gilbert Lake (0601-0095)	SR-172-18-P287	UnAssessed
Glen Brook and tribs (0602-0167)	SR- 44-14-27-44	UnAssessed
Glen Castle Creek and tribs (0602-0118)	SR- 44- 5- 3	UnAssessed
Glover Pond/High Lake (0602-0080)	SR- 44-14-27-26-P40	UnAssessed
Goodale Lake (0602-0089)	SR- 44-14-60-P67b	NoKnownImpct
Goodyear Lake (0601-0015)	SR (portion 8)/P360	Impaired Seg
Gorton Lake (0602-0040)	SR- 44-72-24-P136	MinorImpacts
Great Brook and tribs (0601-0051)	SR-146-19	NoKnownImpct
Green Lake (0602-0087)	SR- 44-14-60-P67-2-P71	UnAssessed
Green Lake (0602-0088)	SR- 44-14-60-P67a	UnAssessed
Gridley Creek and tribs (0602-0055)	SR- 44-14-43	NoKnownImpct
Guilford Creek and tribs (0601-0049)	SR-146- 1	NoKnownImpct
Guilford Lake (0601-0012)	SR-146- 1-P188	NoKnownImpct
Halfway/Ticknor Brook and tribs (0602-0124)	SR- 44-14-10	NoKnownImpct
Handsome Br/West Branch and minor tribs (0601-0055)	SR-155- 3	NoKnownImpct
Handsome Brook and minor tribs (0602-0070)	SR- 44-68	NoKnownImpct
Hartwick Reservoir (0601-0096)	SR-172-34b-P290a	UnAssessed
Hatch Lake (0602-0112)	SR- 44-80-P154-1-P155	NoKnownImpct
Hawkins Pond (0601-0064)	SR- 78-P173	UnAssessed
Hayden Creek and tribs (0601-0180)	SR-P404-12	Need Verific
Herkimer Creek and tribs (0601-0177)	SR-204-P392- 1	UnAssessed
Hotchkiss Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0185)	SR-103	UnAssessed
Hudson Lake (0601-0107)	SR-187-26-P352	UnAssessed
Hunt Creek and tribs (0602-0051)	SR- 44-72-17	NoKnownImpct
Hunts Creek and tribs (0603-0028)	SR- 13	UnAssessed
Hunts Creek and tribs (0602-0054)	SR- 44-14-38	NoKnownImpct
Hunts Pond (0601-0086)	SR-146-26-P215	UnAssessed
Hyder Creek and tribs (0601-0178)	SR-204-P392- 3	UnAssessed
Jackson Creek and tribs (0603-0068)	SR (Pa)-1-41	UnAssessed
Jackson Pond (0601-0085)	SR-146-19- 6-P214	UnAssessed
Jennings Creek/Big Brook and tribs (0602-0131)	SR- 44-14-33	NoKnownImpct

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
Kelsey Brook, Lower and minor tribs (0601-0045)	SR-134	NoKnownImpct
Kelsey Brook, Upper and tribs (0601-0131)	SR-134	UnAssessed
Kortright Creek and tribs (0601-0060)	SR-183- 8	NoKnownImpct
Labrador Pond (0602-0084)	SR- 44-14-59-11-P51	UnAssessed
Lake Gerry (0602-0098)	SR- 44-38- 1-P95-1-P97	NoKnownImpct
Lake Ludlow (0602-0100)	SR- 44-39- 5-P99	UnAssessed
Lake Moraine (0602-0007)	SR- 44-78-P152	MinorImpacts
Lake Petonia (0602-0092)	SR- 44-23- 3-P78	NoKnownImpct
Lake Warn (0602-0116)	SR- 44-P94	MinorImpacts
Langford Creek and tribs (0603-0067)	SR (Pa)-1-26	UnAssessed
Lebanon Reservoir (0602-0109)	SR- 44-79-P153	MinorImpacts
Lily Lake (0602-0076)	SR- 44-12-P32-P34	UnAssessed
Little (Goey) Pond (0601-0112)	SR-196-2-P378	UnAssessed
Little Choconut Creek and tribs (0603-0017)	SR- 39	Need Verific
Little Nanticoke/Barnes Creeks and tribs (0603-0038)	SR- 20	NoKnownImpct
Little Snake Creek and tribs (0601-0042)	SR- 64	NoKnownImpct
Long Pond (0602-0095)	SR- 44-23-14-P83	UnAssessed
Lower/Upper Little York Lakes (0602-0017)	SR- 44-14-60-P67	Need Verific
Lyon Brook and tribs (0602-0148)	SR- 44-45	UnAssessed
Mann Brook and tribs (0602-0129)	SR- 44-14-27-53	UnAssessed
McCall Pond (0602-0102)	SR- 44-44- 2-P103	UnAssessed
Mead Brook and tribs (0602-0126)	SR- 44-14-27-35	NoKnownImpct
Mead Pond (0602-0115)	SR- 44-P110	UnAssessed
Merrill Creek and tribs (0602-0052)	SR- 44-14-27-13	NoKnownImpct
Michigan Creek and tribs (0603-0055)	SR- 16- 4-P13-1	NoKnownImpct
Middle Brook and minor tribs (0601-0061)	SR-183-19	NoKnownImpct
Mill Brook and tribs (0602-0146)	SR- 44-41	UnAssessed
Mill Creek and tribs (0601-0149)	SR-146-36- 9	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Canadarago Lake (0601-0171)	SR-204-P392-	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Chenango River (0602-0117)	SR- 44- 1 thru 13 (selected)	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Otselic River (0602-0161)	SR- 44-14-27-14 thru 31	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (north) (0603-0024)	SR- 4 thru 9 (selected)	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (north) (0603-0071)	SR- 19 thru 27 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (north) (0603-0044)	SR- 31 thru 37 (selected)	Impaired Seg
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (south) (0603-0047)	SR- 2 thru 7 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (south) (0603-0029)	SR- 15 thru 22 (selected)	NoKnownImpct
Minor Tribs to Lower Susquehanna (south) (0603-0073)	SR- 30 thru 43 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Tioughnioga River (0602-0123)	SR- 44-14- 1 thru 26 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Lower Unadilla River (0601-0136)	SR-146- 3 thru 35 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Middle Chenango River (0602-0136)	SR- 44-15 thru 41 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Middle Chenango River (0602-0151)	SR- 44-42 thru 57 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Otsego Lake (0601-0179)	SR-P404-	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0028)	SR- 45 thru 78 (selected)	MinorImpacts
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0123)	SR- 94 thru 121 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0186)	SR-124 thru 145 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0154)	SR-147 thru 171 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0192)	SR-173 thru 185	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Susquehanna River (0601-0194)	SR-188 thru 207 (selected)	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Upper Otselic River (0602-0162)	SR- 44-14-27-33 thru 55	UnAssessed
Minor Tribs to Weaver Lake (0601-0039)	SR-P404-10-P409-	MinorImpacts
Minor Tribs to Whitney Point Reservoir (0602-0029)	SR- 44-14-27- 1 thru 12	NoKnownImpct
Mud Creek and tribs (0602-0068)	SR- 44-14-27-38	NoKnownImpct

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
Mud Lake (0601-0115)	SR-204-P399	UnAssessed
Mud Pond (0601-0065)	SR- 94-1-2-Pxx	UnAssessed
Muskrat Pond (0601-0113)	SR-204- 5- 8-P385a	UnAssessed
Mutton Hill Pond (0603-0049)	SR- 22-P20	UnAssessed
Nanticoke Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0045)	SR- 28	NoKnownImpct
Nanticoke Creek, Middle, and tribs (0603-0004)	SR- 28	Need Verific
Nanticoke Creek, Upper, and tribs (0603-0046)	SR- 28	UnAssessed
North Pond (0602-0103)	SR- 44-45- 2-2-P106	UnAssessed
North Winfield Creek and tribs (0601-0035)	SR-146-69	Impaired Seg
Norwich Reservoirs (0602-0010)	SR- 44-56-P108/P109	Need Verific
Oaks Creek and minor tribs (0601-0047)	SR-204	NoKnownImpct
Occanum Creek and tribs (0601-0126)	SR-105	UnAssessed
Ocquionis Creek and tribs (0601-0034)	SR-204-P392- 5	MinorImpacts
Oneonta Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0166)	SR-179	Need Verific
Oneonta Lower Reservoir (0601-0097)	SR-179-P295	Need Verific
Osborne Creek and minor tribs (0602-0030)	SR- 44-10	NoKnownImpct
Otego Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0601-0046)	SR-172	NoKnownImpct
Otego Creek, Middle and tribs (0601-0161)	SR-172	UnAssessed
Otego Creek, Upper/Clark Brook and tribs (0601-0162)	SR-172	UnAssessed
Otsdawa Creek and minor tribs (0601-0059)	SR-165	NoKnownImpct
Otsego Lake (0601-0033)	SR-P404	NoKnownImpct
Otselc Creek and tribs (0602-0130)	SR- 44-14-27-61	NoKnownImpct
Otselc River, Lower, Main Stem (0602-0024)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 2)	NoKnownImpct
Otselc River, Middle, Main Stem (0602-0015)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 3)	NoKnownImpct
Otselc River, Upper and minor tribs (0602-0043)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 4)	MinorImpacts
Otter Creek and tribs (0602-0061)	SR- 44-14-60- 1	UnAssessed
Ouleout Creek, Lower, and tribs (0601-0054)	SR-155	UnAssessed
Ouleout Creek, Upper, and minor tribs (0601-0057)	SR-155	UnAssessed
Owego Creek and minor tribs (0603-0031)	SR- 16	MinorImpacts
Page Brook, Lower and tribs (0602-0036)	SR- 44-11	NoKnownImpct
Page Brook, Upper and tribs (0602-0122)	SR- 44-11	UnAssessed
Park Creek and tribs (0601-0031)	SR- 53	Impaired Seg
Payne Brook and tribs (0602-0003)	SR- 44-78	MinorImpacts
Perch Pond (0601-0072)	SR-132- 3-P178	UnAssessed
Pickens Pond (0601-0078)	SR-146- 9-14-P195	UnAssessed
Pickrel Pond (0601-0071)	SR-124-P177	UnAssessed
Pine Lake (0601-0099)	SR-183- 7-P303	UnAssessed
Pipe Creek, Lower, and tribs (0603-0027)	SR- 12	NoKnownImpct
Pipe Creek, Upper, and tribs (0603-0070)	SR- 12	UnAssessed
Pleasant Brook and minor tribs (0602-0071)	SR- 44-71	Need Verific
Pleasant Brook and tribs (0602-0154)	SR- 44-68- 5	UnAssessed
Pleasant Brook and tribs (0601-0175)	SR-195-21	UnAssessed
Plymouth Reservoir (0602-0014)	SR- 44-54- 8-P107	MinorImpacts
Pond Creek and tribs (0602-0128)	SR- 44-14-27-37	NoKnownImpct
Pony Hollow Creek and tribs (0603-0069)	SR (Pa)-1-52	UnAssessed
Poolville Pond (0602-0107)	SR- 44-72- 8-P129	UnAssessed
Rogers Game Farm Pond (0602-0106)	SR- 44-69a-P123 a	UnAssessed
Rogers Hollow Creek and tribs (0601-0138)	SR-146- 2	UnAssessed
Rogers/Sweet Lake (0601-0090)	SR-105-3-2-Pxx	UnAssessed
Round Pond (0602-0094)	SR- 44-23-14-1-P82	UnAssessed
Round Pond (0602-0104)	SR- 44-54- 7-P107a	UnAssessed
Sackett Creek and tribs (0603-0025)	SR- 10	UnAssessed

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
Saddlebag Lake (0601-0116)	SR-191a-P363	UnAssessed
Sand Hill Creek and tribs (0601-0156)	SR-158	UnAssessed
Sangerfield River and minor tribs (0602-0072)	SR- 44-72	NoKnownImpct
Schenevus Creek, Lower and tribs (0601-0062)	SR-187	NoKnownImpct
Schenevus Creek, Upper and minor tribs (0601-0169)	SR-187	UnAssessed
Sears Pond (0602-0105)	SR- 44-68- 7-P124	UnAssessed
Seward Lake (0601-0105)	SR-187-12a-1-P341	UnAssessed
Sexsmith Lake (0601-0101)	SR-183-17-P311	NoKnownImpct
Seymour Pond (0602-0110)	SR- 44-79-P153-1a-P153a	UnAssessed
Shadow Brook and tribs (0601-0181)	SR-P404-14	Need Verific
Shawler Brook and tribs (0601-0148)	SR-146-38- 1	UnAssessed
Sidney Reservoir (0601-0137)	SR-146- 1- 1-P186	UnAssessed
Silver Lake (0601-0023)	SR-146-33-P216	UnAssessed
Smith Pond (0601-0073)	SR-134- 1-P344	UnAssessed
Snake Creek and tribs (0601-0043)	SR- 66	NoKnownImpct
Solon Pond (0602-0081)	SR- 44-14-27-37-P42	UnAssessed
Song Lake (0602-0019)	SR- 44-14-60-P68-P72	MinorImpacts
South Lebanon Brook and tribs (0602-0156)	SR- 44-71- 1-5	UnAssessed
Spencer Lake (0603-0048)	SR- 16- 4-P13	UnAssessed
Spring Brook and tribs (0602-0140)	SR- 44-30	UnAssessed
St Johns Pond (0602-0073)	SR- 44- 5- 3-P26a	UnAssessed
Steer Pond (0602-0101)	SR- 44-41-P101	UnAssessed
Stone Mill/Lebanon Brook and tribs (0602-0157)	SR- 44-74	UnAssessed
Stump Pond (0602-0079)	SR- 44-14-27-23- 4-P38a	UnAssessed
Summit Lake (0601-0024)	SR-146-36-23-P228	NoKnownImpct
Summit Lake (0601-0119)	SR-P404-12-P411	UnAssessed
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0016)	SR (portion 1)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0015)	SR (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0013)	SR (portion 3)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Lower, Main Stem (0603-0002)	SR (portion 4)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0182)	SR (portion 5)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0040)	SR (portion 6)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Main Stem (0601-0020)	SR (portion 7)	Impaired Seg
Susquehanna River, Upper, Main Stem (0601-0041)	SR (portion 9)	Impaired Seg
Tallete Creek and tribs (0601-0150)	SR-146-43	UnAssessed
Tank Pond (0602-0099)	SR- 44-38- 9-P98	UnAssessed
Thomas Creek and minor tribs (0602-0120)	SR- 44- 6	NoKnownImpct
Thorn Hollow Creek and tribs (0603-0030)	SR- 14	UnAssessed
Tillotson Creek and tribs (0602-0141)	SR- 44-31	UnAssessed
Tioughnioga Cr West Br and minor tribs (0602-0059)	SR- 44-14-59-25	Need Verific
Tioughnioga Creek and tribs (0602-0133)	SR- 44-14-59	MinorImpacts
Tioughnioga River, Lower, Main Stem (0602-0066)	SR- 44-14 (portion 1)	NoKnownImpct
Tioughnioga River, Middle, and mnr tribs (0602-0067)	SR- 44-14 (portion 2)	NoKnownImpct
Tioughnioga River, Upper, and mnr tribs (0602-0002)	SR- 44-14 (portion 3)	NoKnownImpct
Titus Lake (0601-0102)	SR-183-19-11-P320	UnAssessed
Torpy Pond (0602-0083)	SR- 44-14-27-P49	UnAssessed
Tracey Creek and tribs (0603-0039)	SR- 26	NoKnownImpct
Treadwell Creek and tribs (0601-0058)	SR-155- 7	NoKnownImpct
Trestle Lake (0602-0097)	SR- 44-38- 1-P95	UnAssessed
Tribes to Cayuta Lake (0603-0056)	SR (Pa)-1-P1-	UnAssessed
Trout Brook, Lower, and tribs (0602-0056)	SR- 44-14-58	NoKnownImpct
Trout Brook, Upper, and tribs (0602-0057)	SR- 44-14-58	NoKnownImpct

<b>Waterbody/Segment (ID)</b>	<b>Water Index Number</b>	<b>Category</b>
Truitt Pond (0602-0074)	SR- 44-11- 8-1...Pxx	UnAssessed
Tully Lake (0602-0018)	SR- 44-14-60-P68	Need Verific
Unadilla Lake (0601-0089)	SR-146-P244	UnAssessed
Unadilla River, Lower, Main Stem (0601-0003)	SR-146 (portion 1)	Impaired Seg
Unadilla River, Middle, and minor tribs (0601-0037)	SR-146 (portion 2)	Impaired Seg
Unadilla River, Upper, and minor tribs (0601-0188)	SR-146 (portion 3)	Impaired Seg
Upper Peckam Brook and tribs (0601-0189)	SR-146- 1- 1	UnAssessed
Upper Perkins Pond Outlet and tribs (0602-0169)	SR- 44-14-27-48	UnAssessed
Wappasening Creek and tribs (0603-0026)	SR- 11	NoKnownImpct
Weaver Lake (Maumee Swamp) (0601-0025)	SR-P404-10-P409	Need Verific
West Branch Otego Creek and tribs (0601-0165)	SR-172-29	UnAssessed
West Branch Owego Cr, Lower, and tribs (0603-0011)	SR- 16- 7	NoKnownImpct
West Branch Owego Cr, Upper, and tribs (0603-0035)	SR- 16- 7	NoKnownImpct
West Branch Tiohgh River and minor tribs (0602-0060)	SR- 44-14-60	NoKnownImpct
West Branch Unadilla River and tribs (0601-0153)	SR-146-64	UnAssessed
Wharton Creek, Lower, and minor tribs (0601-0052)	SR-146-36	NoKnownImpct
Wharton Creek, Middle, and tribs (0601-0145)	SR-146-36	UnAssessed
Wharton Creek, Upper, and tribs (0601-0146)	SR-146-36	UnAssessed
Wheeler Brook and tribs (0602-0142)	SR- 44-32	UnAssessed
White Birch Lake (0601-0068)	SR-100-P174a(?)	MinorImpacts
Whites Pond (0601-0084)	SR-146-17- 1-P212	UnAssessed
Whitney Point Lake/Reservoir (0602-0004)	SR- 44-14-27 (portion 1)/P35a	Impaired Seg
Wilber Lake (0601-0098)	SR-179-P297	NoKnownImpct
Wilkins Brook and tribs (0601-0133)	SR-134- 8	UnAssessed
Willseyville Creek and minor tribs (0603-0032)	SR- 16- 4-16	NoKnownImpct
Woodman Pond (0602-0048)	SR- 44-78- 3-P148	UnAssessed
Wylie Brook and tribs (0601-0044)	SR-123	MinorImpacts
YMCA Pond (0601-0094)	SR-172- 8-15-P282a	UnAssessed
Yaleville Brook, Upper, and tribs (0601-0187)	SR-142	UnAssessed
Young Lake (0601-0026)	SR-P404-10-P408	Need Verific
Youngs Pond (0601-0076)	SR-139-10-3-1-P182a	UnAssessed
Zimmermans Pond (0601-0083)	SR-146- 9-45-P211	UnAssessed