State Environmental Quality Review NEGATIVE DECLARATION Notice of Determination of Non-Significance

Project Number N/A				Date:	06/08/2013
This notice is issued Article 8 (State Environmen	•			•	•
The New York State has determined that the pro environmental impact and a	posed action de	scribed	below will not have	a significant	
Name of Action:					
Promulgation of Part the safe siting and operation provisions defined in Chapt	n of LNG facilitie	s and th	e transportation of I	` ,.	-
SEQR Status:	Type 1				
	Unlisted	X			
Conditioned Negative Dec	laration:	Yes			
	X	No			
Description of Action: The proposed action permit application produced action Standard reference applicable requirements for site needed; (3) define in procedures for presermanent closure of assurance, the form	ocedures, includ ds 52 and 59A, re statutory penalti inspections and trastate and inte xisting non-confo f out-of-service L	ing siting equirem es, and the train rstate LI orming fa	g criteria pursuant to ents for public partic establish program f ning of local fire dep NG transportation; (acilities; (5) provide rage tanks; (6) indic	o National Ficipation, incofees; (2) provocartment per (4) explain the proper proceate that final	ire Protection orporate by vide sonnel, if ne operating edures for the ancial

ensure proper facility closure of LNG facilities; (7) establish proper procedures to be

followed for reporting releases of LNG; (8) continue to recognize Chapter 395 of the Laws of 1978, as amended, which adopted a moratorium on the siting of new LNG facilities in municipalities with populations of one million or more; and (9) provide a list of sources that

_ocation: Statewide.

are referenced in this regulation.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

(See 617.7(a)-(c) for requirements of this determination; see 617.7(d) for Conditioned Negative Declaration)

Chapter 892 of the Laws of 1976 added a new Article 23 Title 17 to the Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) and required DEC to establish criteria for the safe siting, operation, and transportation of liquefied natural gas (LNG). In 1978, with the passage of Chapter 395 of the Laws of 1978 as amended, the Legislature enacted a statewide moratorium on the siting of new LNG facilities which negated the need to draft and implement a regulation. Three grandfathered LNG facilities were allowed to continue operating, under DEC order.

DEC has not identified any areas of environmental concern with respect to the adoption of criteria, via regulation, for the safe siting and operation of LNG facilities and transportation of LNG throughout the State. The adoption of Part 570 will establish, among other requirements, minimum application requirements for the permitting of an LNG facility but does not commit DEC to approve any particular project. Permit applicants will need to demonstrate, on a case by case basis, whether a proposed project meets promulgated siting criteria which are designed to ensure the safety of the public. Permit applicants must also demonstrate to DEC that the project is needed and is in the public interest.

With regard to safety of such LNG facilities, under the direction of Chapter 385 of the Laws of 1997, a study of the safety of LNG facilities and potential economic and environmental benefits was conducted by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA). The subsequent report, released in 1998, determined that LNG did not pose the level of danger previously perceived and did not cause the 1973 accident that resulted in the implementation of Chapter 892. The New York City Fire Department conducted an investigation that concluded that the1973 accident resulted from maintenance activities that were underway while the tank was empty. The 1998 report concluded that "given its physical and chemical properties, LNG is inherently no more dangerous than competing fuels." The report also noted that, "LNG facilities have an excellent safety record. Over the last 25 years, in the 100 facilities operating in the United States there have been less than 10 accidents, resulting in few injuries and one fatality. This compares favorably with the safety record of facilities for competing fuels."

The 1998 report revealed that New York State is the only state in the nation with a moratorium on the siting of LNG facilities, and that LNG facilities in other states had demonstrated histories of safe operation. The statewide moratorium was lifted in1999, except for municipalities with populations of one million or more. In May 2013, this limited moratorium was extended to April 1, 2015.

The proposed regulatory structure can be expected to help ensure that LNG facilities continue their track record of safe operation. In terms of environmental impacts, any release of LNG quickly evaporates and dissipates, and does not pose any significant adverse environmental impacts to air, water, soil, or other environmental media.

In 2011, a more focused LNG study was sponsored by NYSERDA. The report that resulted from that study confirmed that over a decade later LNG continues to have environmental benefits

as a cleaner burning fuel without any significant adverse environmental impacts and that uses of LNG have increased significantly in many parts of our country. This report also provided a national picture of the use of LNG, documenting that over past decades there has been substantial evidence of the safe management of LNG at various types of facilities (such as, LNG export terminals [which require federal approval]; LNG production from pipelines; dispensing facilities [fueling stations]). Other states, except New York, use the LNG standards written and approved by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), which continue to be updated by NFPA to ensure continued safe operation of LNG throughout the nation. These standards are: (1) NFPA 52, "Vehicular Fuel Systems Code," updated 2013; and (2) NFPA 59A, "Standard for the Production, Storage and Handling of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG)," updated 2013. Under the proposed regulations, New York will incorporate by reference these NFPA standards that are used by other states.

LNG facilities will be subject to a site plan process under the proposed regulations. At the time of each application, DEC will review and make a determination on individual permitting requirements. Based on projections provided in the 2011 LNG Report, it is estimated that between 10 and 25 facilities (best estimate 21 facilities) will be permitted in the first five years after Part 570 is promulgated.

DEC has determined that this Notice of Determination of Non-Significance is appropriate and that the LNG rulemaking will not cause adverse impacts on the environment. Rather, it will have positive environmental benefits to the public and business communities of the State as it allows for an alternative, clean fueling source that is less expensive than that which is currently available for such uses.

If Conditioned Negative Declaration, provide on attachment the specific mitigation measures imposed, and identify comment period (not less than 30 days from date of publication in the ENB)

For Further Information:

Contact Person(s): Ted Bennett/Angela Chieco, DER Regulatory Coordinators

Address: NYS Department of Environmental Conservation

Division of Environmental Remediation

625 Broadway

Albany NY, 12233-7012

Telephone Number: (518) 402-9764

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a Copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer, Town / City / Village of Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin, 4th Floor, 625 Broadway, Albany NY 12233-1750

617.20

Appendix C

State Environmental Quality Review

SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

PART I - PROJECT INFORMATION (To be co	mpleted by Applicant or Project sponsor)
1. APPLICANT/SPONSOR	2. PROJECT NAME	
NYS Department of Environmental Conservati	ion Rulemaking for Liquefied	Natural Gas [LNG]
3. PROJECT LOCATION:	•	
Municipality Statewide	County	
PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road interse Statewide	ections, prominent landmarks, etc., or provide map)	
5. PROPOSED ACTION IS: X New Expansion Mo	odification/alteration	
6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:		
Chapter 892 of the Laws of 1976 added a new Ar Conservation Law. Since the release of a liquefier conducted in 2011, along with the reversal of LNC more), it is necessary and desirable to promulgate to advise interested parties of criteria for safe and to provide the affected public with proper proceduraction is to adopt a new regulation, Part 570, to The projections provided in the 2011 LNG Report, it is be permitted in the first five years after Part 570 is	d natural gas (LNG) safety report in 1998 and G moratorium (except for municipalities with pose a New York State Department of Environmed proper siting and operation of LNG facilities agrees and rights regarding participation in the positie 6 of the New York Codes of Rules and Resestimated that between 10 and 25 facilities (but in the position of the New York Codes).	a subsequent LNG study opulations of one million or ental Conservation regulation and transportation of LNG; and rocess. Thus, the proposed egulations. Based on
7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED: Initially N/A acres Ultimately	acres	
8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING	SZONING OR OTHER EXISTING LAND USE RESTR	ICTIONS?
Yes No If No, describe b	oriefly	
9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PRO	 JECT?	
Residential Industrial Co	ommercial Agriculture Park/Forest/O	Open Space Other
10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR (FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL)? Yes X No If Yes, list agence	FUNDING, NOW OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTH cy(s) name and permit/approvals:	ER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY
11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURR Yes X No If Yes, list agend	RENTLY VALID PERMIT OR APPROVAL? cy(s) name and permit/approvals:	
12.AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTI	NG PERMIT/APPROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?	,
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMAT	ION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF	MY KNOWLEDGE
Applicant/sponsor name: NYSDEC-Division of E Robert W. Schick, Div	Environmental Remediation ision Director	Date: April 30, 2013
Signature: /S/		

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with is assessment

PART II – IMPACT ASSESSMENT (To be completed by Lead Agency)				
A. DOES ACTION EXCEED ANY TYPE I THRESHOLD IN 6 NYCRR, PA	ART 617.4? If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.			
B. WILL ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR UNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative declaration may be superseded by another involved agency. X Yes				
 C. COULD ACTION RESULT IN ANY ADVERSE EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answers may be handwritten, if legible) C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic pattern, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Explain briefly: No. 				
C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly: No.				
C3. Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly: No.				
C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly: No.				
C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be No.	e induced by the proposed action? Explain briefly:			
C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5? Explain briefly: No.				
C7. Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type No.	e of energy? Explain briefly:			
D. WILL THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREA (CEA)? Yes X No If Yes, explain briefly:	CHARACTERISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CRITICAL			
E. IS THERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY RELATE Yes X No If Yes, explain briefly:	D TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?			
effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting (i.e. of geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If necessary, add attaches sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have be	y Agency) rmine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant. Each urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (d) irreversibility; (e ments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that explanations contain en identified and adequately addressed. If question d of part ii was checked al impact of the proposed action on the environmental characteristics of the			
Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially lar FULL EAF and/or prepare a positive declaration.	rge or significant adverse impacts which MAY occur. Then proceed directly to the			
	n and analysis above and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action spacts AND provide, on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this			
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation	April 30, 2013			
Name of Lead Agency	Date			
Robert W. Schick	Director, Division of Environmental Remediation			
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer			
/S/	/S/			
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)			

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Coastal Assessment Form

	A.	INSTRUCTIONS	(Please	print or type al	ll answers
--	----	--------------	---------	------------------	------------

- 1. State agencies shall complete this CAF for proposed actions which are subject to Part 600 of Title 19 of the NYCRR. This assessment is intended to supplement other information used by a state agency in making a determination of significance pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (see 6 NYCRR, Part 617). If it is determined that a proposed action will not have a significant effect on the environment, this assessment is intended to assist a state agency in complying with the certification requirements of 19 NYCRR Section 600.4.
- If any question in Section C on this form is answered "yes", then the proposed action may affect the achievement of the coastal policies contained in Article 42 of the Executive Law. Thus, the action should be analyzed in more detail and, if necessary, modified prior to either (a) making a certification of consistency pursuant to 19 NYCRR Part 600 or, (b) making the findings required under SEQR, 6 NYCRR, Section 617.11, if the action is one for which an environmental impact statement is being prepared. If an action cannot be certified as consistent with the coastal policies, it shall not be undertaken.
- es nt

	3.	Before answering the questions in Section C, the preparer of this form should review the coastal policies contained in 19 NYCRR Section 600.5. A proposed action should be evaluated as to its significant beneficial and adverse effects upon the coastal area.
B.	<u>DE</u>	SCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION
	1.	Type of state agency action (check appropriate response):
		(a) Directly undertaken (e.g. capital construction, planning activity, agency regulation, land transaction) _X (b) Financial assistance (e.g. grant, loan, subsidy) (c) Permit, license, certification
the and mur Star prop prop new prov	Env l a s nicip te D per s per p v rec vide	pter 892 of the Laws of 1976 added a new Article 23 Title 17 (Liquefied Natural and Petroleum Gas) to ironmental Conservation Law. Since the release of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) safety report in 1998 absequent LNG study conducted in 2011, along with the reversal of LNG moratorium (except for alities with populations of one million or more), it is necessary and desirable to promulgate a New York epartment of Environmental Conservation regulation to advise interested parties of criteria for safe and siting and operation of LNG facilities and transportation of LNG; and to provide the affected public with procedures and rights regarding participation in the process. Thus, the proposed action is to adopt a julation, Part 570, to Title 6 of the New York Codes of Rules and Regulations. Based on projections d in the 2011 LNG Report, it is estimated that between 10 and 25 facilities (best estimate 21 facilities) permitted in the first five years after Part 570 is promulgated.
	3.	Location of action: STATEWIDE
		County City, Town or Village Street or Site Description

	4.		pplication for the proposed e provided:	action has been filed with the state agency, the following information	ation			
		(a)	Name of applicant:	N/A		_		
		(b)	Mailing address:	N/A		_		
		(c) (d)	Telephone Number: Are State agency application	ea Code N/A number: N/A		_		
	5.	Will the	action be directly undertak	ken, require funding, or approval by a federal agency?				
		Yes	NoX If yes, wh	nich federal agency?				
C.	<u>CO</u>)ASTAL	ASSESSMENT (Check e	ither "YES" or "NO" for each of the following questions)				
	1. Will the proposed activity be <u>located</u> in, or contiguous to, or have a <u>significant effect</u> upon any of the resource a identified on the coastal area map:							
			-		YES NO	<u>C</u>		
				ats?		X		
				gnificance?				
		(c) Imp	portant agricultural lands?			X		
	2.	Will the	e proposed activity have a sig	nificant effect upon:				
	(a) Commercial or recreational use of fish and wildlife resources?							
		(a) Col	unic quality of the coastal envi	ironment?		<u>\</u>		
				ng water dependent uses?				
		(d) On	eration of the State's major po	rts?		X		
		(e) Lar	nd and water uses within the S	State's small harbors?		X		
		(f)	Existing or potential public	e recreation opportunities?	— -	χ̈		
				storic, archeological or cultural	··· — <u> </u>	_		
		(8) ~		nation?		X		
	3.	Will the	e proposed activity <u>involve</u> or	result in any of the following:				
		(a)		(2) acres or more of land along the shoreline, waters?	3	X		
		(b)		(5) acres or more of land located elsewhere in	··· — <u> </u>	_		
		(-)	the coastal area?		7	X		
		(c)	Expansion of existing publ	ic services of infrastructure in undeveloped or				
			low density areas of the co-	astal area?		X		
		(d)		to Article VII or VIII of the Public Service Law?				
		(e)	Mining, excavation, filling	or dredging in coastal waters?	<u></u>	X		
		(f)		otential public access to or along the shore?		X		
		(g)	Sale or change in use of sta	ate-owned lands located on the shoreline or	_			
			under water?		··· '	X		
		(h)		gnated flood or erosion hazard area?	··· <u> </u>	X.		
		(i)		lune, barrier island or other natural feature that flooding or erosion?	•	v		
			provides protection against	nooding of Closion?	··· <u> </u>	1		
	4.	Will the	e proposed action he located i	n or have a significant effect upon an area				
	7.	include	d in an approved Local Water	front Revitalization Program?		X		
			Tr	0-1-1-1	·· — <u>-</u>	<u> </u>		

D. <u>SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS</u>

E. REMARKS OR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If any question in Section C is answered "Yes", AND either of the following two conditions is met:

Section B.1(a) or B.1(b) is checked; <u>or</u> Section B.1(c) is checked <u>AND</u> B.5 is answered "Yes",

<u>THEN</u> one copy of the Completed Coastal Assessment Form shall be submitted to:

New York State Department of State Division of Coastal Resources 41 State Street, 8th Floor Albany, New York 12231

If assistance of further information is needed to complete this form, please call the Department of State at (518) 474-6000.

Signature: /S/		
Preparer's Name: Robert W. Schick		
Title: Director, Division of Environmental Remediation		
Agency: NYS Department of Environmental Conservation	_	
Telephone Number: (518) 402-9706	Date:	April 29, 2013