Appeal file name	Appeal Page #	Existing	Correction
TRAFFIC / CLAY	3/ 3 rd bullet	Consent on Order	Order on Consent
	5/ ftnte ⁶	April 30 th Transcript	April 30 th Transcript <u>p.500</u>
	6	Day 3 Transcript p.49-50	Day 3 Transcript p.49-50 <u>p.500-</u>
	15-16/ ftnte ¹⁶	a row for truck deliveries	a row for annual truck deliveries
	16	A reasonable person may wish to inquire further as to why RMU-1 actual truck deliveries were insignificant impacts if they were 65% to 360% higher than AADTs	[strike all]
PUBLIC REVENUE/EXP	12 / para 6	Potentially Responsible Party by the Army Corps each	Potentially Responsible Party by the Army Corps. <u>eE</u> ach
	17 ftnte ¹⁷	Transcript-Day 3 pgs 41-47, 58-59	Transcript-Day 3 pgs 412-413, 593-594
COMPLIANCE	6/ para 4	no idea what CWM monitors actually observed, and based on DEC's	no idea what CWM monitors actually observed, and based on DEC's
	7	5. Application for NYPA lower	5. Application for NYPA lower
	8/ para 3	Consent Orders	Orders on Consent
	8/ 1 st bullet	2000 and what would we expect	2000 and what we would expect
	8/ last sentence	Transcript April 29th 87th page	Transcript April 29th 87th page 296
PUBLIC PARTICIPAT.	2 / 7)	by more than 30 days at a time	by more than 30 60 days at a time
	3 / first sentence	applications. Incorporated by	applications,. Iincorporated by
RESIDENTIAL AREAS & CONTIGUOUS POP.	2/ 1 st bullet	contamination-related exposures.	contamination-related exposures."
	11/ 2 nd to last sentence	in the purpose its Study.	in the purpose of its Study.
NFSS/ CONT./ EXCAV.	2/ last sentence	the Corps wishes to reinvestigate	and the Corps wishes to reinvestigate
	9/ para 3	This Appendix at pdf pg.428	This RIA Appendix at pdf pg.428
	10	I/C Transcript 4-30-15 pgs 135-142	I/C Transcript 4-30-15 pgs 135-142- 540- 547
	10/ ftnte ¹⁶	at p.	at <u>pdf</u> p. <u>269</u>
	13/ last sentence	evidenced the Orders on Consent	evidenced by the Orders on Consent
	13/ end of ftnte	(including the anticipated	(including the anticipated ¹

-

¹ effectiveness of various remedial approaches on different portions of the contaminated soil and/or groundwater);

[•] The nature and distribution of sources of contamination and whether these sources have been, or can be, adequately controlled;

[•] Whether the resulting transformation products present a greater risk, due to increased toxicity and/or mobility, than do the parent contaminants:

[•] The impact of existing and proposed active remediation measures upon the MNA component of the remedy, or the impact of remediation measures or other operations/activities (e.g., pumping wells) in close proximity to the site; and

Whether reliable site-specific mechanisms for implementing institutional controls (e.g., zoning ordinances) are available, and if an institution responsible for their monitoring and enforcement can be identified.

NYSDEC OHMS Document No. 201469323-00126 p. 2 of 2 Witryol errata from March 9, 2016 appeal

Appeal file name	Appeal Page #	Existing	Correction
CONSISTENCY WITH SITING PLAN	2/ para 2	which disputes CWM's claim that:	which disputes CWM's claim that: