Landscape of the Finger Lakes Wine District of New York State in autumn (Photo: DBA Images/Alamy)

New York state's Routes 5 and 20 are steeped in history: They started as foot trails established by Native Americans thousands of years before the American Revolution. Today, New York's famous, historic east-west corridor offers a road trip with lots of high-adrenaline adventure: think hiking under waterfalls, biking, hang-gliding, hot air ballooning, and more. Foodies can enjoy farm-to-table dining options, a Cheese Trail and Sweet Treat Trail, and cooking classes at the New York Wine and Culinary Center. And, as if cheese and dessert trails weren't enough, there's a Finger Lakes Beer Trail — the region's new hot spot for brewers and distilleries that utilize local fruit and grain. Cruising through at the end of summer? Don't miss the Great New York State Fair.

Wyoming's Wild West
While breathtaking scenery and outdoor adventures are in endless abundance in the state of Wyoming, it's the colorful local history of the Old West that makes this 425-mile, five-day trip. Starting in Cheyenne, catch a rodeo at Cheyenne Frontier Days, the world's largest outdoor rodeo; in mid-July, head over to Fort Laramie and the Grand Encampment, a ghost town built around an old copper mine. From Cheyenne, drive north to Douglas, then to Casper to visit the National Historic Trails Interpretive Center to learn about the state's many trails, including the Oregon Trail, California Trail, and Pony Express Trail. In Buffalo, book a room at The Occidental Hotel — where Butch Cassidy used to stay — then explore the old mine in Gillette before ending in Sundance, the town that bestowed its name on Cassidy's right-hand man.

Related: A Scenic Trip Through Montana's Scenic Big Sky Country

WATCH: Texas Road Trip With Beef Jerky and Barbecue (Video)

Let Yahoo Travel inspire you every day. Hang out with us on Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and Pinterest. Watch Yahoo Travel's new original series "A Broad Abroad."
Dear Sirs,
I oppose the proposed development by Crestwood Midstream in Schuyler County New York for the following reason:
As reported Friday, May 15 2015, in the Wall Street Journal, Crestwood Midstream contaminated a North Dakota field with salty fracking waste, which leaked from a pipeline it installed in 2010. Crestwood also owns and operates a pipeline that, early last July, dumped more than a million gallons of brine into waterways that flow into Lake Sakakawea on the same reservation.
http://www.thedickinsonpress.com/.../3741377-produced-water-p....
Even by North Dakota standards, the leak was huge and went undetected until lakeside vegetation died. No one can say whether or not the lake, a source of drinking water for the community, was contaminated or not. But speaking of Crestwood, North Dakota Department of Mineral Resources Director, Lynn Helms, said, “There are substantial problems with that [pipeline] system.”
http://www.wsj.com/.../farmers-fight-a-new-kind-of-pipeline-s....
Please stop Crestwood Midstream’s misguided plans to store liquefied natural gas in the depleted salt caverns under Seneca Lake in Schuyler County, NY. With a shown disregard for the environmental health of the areas in which it operates, Crestwood does not belong on the banks of Seneca Lake.
Dear Sirs,
I am one of 750,000 New Yorkers who oppose the planned development by Crestwood Midstream of Houston Texas for the town of Reading New York, in Schuyler County.
Here is one of my reasons why:
As reported Friday, May 15 2015, in the Wall Street Journal, Crestwood Midstream contaminated a North Dakota field with salty fracking waste, which leaked from a pipeline it installed in 2010.
Crestwood also owns and operates a pipeline that, early last July, dumped more than a million gallons of brine into waterways that flow into Lake Sakakawea on the same reservation. http://www.thedickinsonpress.com/.../3741377-produced-water-p....
Even by North Dakota standards, the leak was huge and went undetected until lakeside vegetation died. No one can say whether or not the lake, a source of drinking water for the community, was contaminated or not. But speaking of Crestwood, North Dakota Department of Mineral Resources Director, Lynn Helms, said, “There are substantial problems with that [pipeline] system.” http://www.wsj.com/.../farmers-fight-a-new-kind-of-pipeline-s....
Please stop Crestwood Midstream's misguided plans to store liquefied natural gas in the depleted salt caverns under Seneca Lake in Schuyler County, NY. With a shown disregard for the environmental health of the areas in which it operates, Crestwood does not belong on the banks of Seneca Lake.
Sincerely,
Dr. Susan R Thornton
4 1/2 Minden Ave
Binghamton NY 13905
June 8, 2015

The Honorable Andrew M. Cuomo
NYS Capitol Building
Albany, NY 12224

Re: Gas Free Seneca Business Coalition Opposition to LPG on Seneca Lake

Dear Governor Cuomo:

The Gas Free Seneca Business Coalition represents 333 regional Finger Lakes enterprises that are pro-business, pro-economic growth, and fully in support of the developing tourist industry around the Finger Lakes.

We have worked very hard to create a world-class tourist destination in the heart of New York, and we are still growing. Some of us have spent generations toiling for this success, which has drawn the interest and investment from others throughout the world. When we say "We're Open for Business," we mean it, and the billions of dollars our work generates for New York on an annual basis is worth preserving.

Crestwood-Midstream's proposal to turn the Finger Lakes into the "gas storage and transport hub for the Northeast" flies in the face of our vision, our land use laws, our comprehensive plans, the community we have developed, and our sustainable success as a region. That is why 27 municipalities (towns, cities, villages, counties—including Syracuse, Rochester, Geneva, Watkins Glen, Ithaca, and Skaneateles), representing over 750,000 of your constituents, have asked you to deny permits to Crestwood.

Our concerns run as deep as Seneca Lake itself. If you look at the Independent research, which was funded by donations from your constituents, you will understand our reasoning. This is not hyperbole. The facts speak for themselves.

A Quantitative Risk Analysis completed by our independent expert concluded that: "The probability of serious or extremely serious salt cavern storage events is more than 40 percent over 25 years, including both baseline and incremental risks." (See attachment #1) Our expert concluded that the evaluation Crestwood has done and submitted to the DEC significantly underestimates the risks its project poses to public safety.

An expert geologist has noted large data gaps in Crestwood's application materials and raised serious questions about whether the caverns are suited for liquid petroleum gas (LPG) storage. He concluded that "[Crestwood] understates cavern integrity risks, and the incomplete and inaccurate information in its application leads me to conclude that the galleries cannot be used safely to store LPG, even with the monitoring required in the current draft permit conditions." (See Attachment #2)

Our expert hydro geologist noted that Seneca Lake experienced a 50% increase in chloride levels at the same time in the 1960s that LPG began to be stored in caverns adjacent to those Crestwood would use today. He concluded that no other source could explain this huge chloride increase and that "[t]he pressure from the LPG..."
activities [was] transmitted along the salt formation until it essentially squeeze[d] out high Cl [Chloride] groundwater into the bottom of Seneca Lake... The currently proposed LPG storage in the salt beds would, in my opinion, do the same thing. Since there is no real way to monitor or prevent these discharges, ...[Crestwood's] proposed LPG storage should not be permitted in the salt beds.” (See Attachment #3)

An accident involving LPG would be disastrous, could destroy property and lead to the loss of life, and certainly would have a devastating effect on our region's tourism economy. Should we take this risk using questionable caverns and without assessing the true risks to the community? Another salt spike into Seneca Lake could make the drinking water source for over 100,000 people un-potable. Even if there is a remote possibility that Crestwood's project could cause another big spike of salt contamination, should we take that chance?

Moreover, the above-ground industrialization for the initial phase of Crestwood's proposal includes a six-track rail siding and truck depot with up to 1,785 rail cars annually traversing the 80 year old trestle that spans the world famous Watkins Glen State Park Gorge, voted #3 out of 6,000 State Parks nationally in a USA Today reader's poll, loading and unloading propane and butane, two giant open-air brine ponds, compressor stations, lights, and active burning flare stacks—some of which would be located within the Town of Reading's Seneca Lake Protection Area. These are neither heard nor seen in a typical tourist destination, and visitors would soon go elsewhere if this project is permitted. The noise from trains on metal tracks, their whistles, compressor station noise, trucks, etc. travel for miles over open still lake water. The visual impacts of this project right now, even before potential state approval of LPG storage project, are not compatible with the view from the east side of Seneca Lake and the signature stop along the Seneca Lake Scenic Byway, Hector Falls (see photo, Attachment #4), and are in no way consistent with the vision for the region that so many have worked to achieve.

The Finger Lakes are being asked to assume all of the risk, but would receive none of the reward. Crestwood stated that all of the LPG will be leaving the facility via pipeline or rail to Selkirk, New York and beyond, bypassing potential local customers. Further, it is clear that there is no need for propane regionally. There are already three existing facilities within a 60-mile radius of Watkins Glen, New York that store 3.5 million barrels of propane, or enough to heat 600,000 homes. In all New York State, only 225,000 homes rely on propane for heat. Crestwood's project will create only 8-10 permanent jobs, compared to the thousands sustained by our local sustainable tourism economy.

The Finger Lakes Region does not need to be the sacrifice zone for gas industry export. Currently there is a fine balance between industry and tourism in the area. Crestwood's LPG project and plans for a natural gas storage hub in our area is something entirely different. Allowing the LPG storage project to proceed would tip the scale from balanced coexistence to an industrial landscape that is not compatible with our economic efforts in the region. This is not business as usual, and local business owners and elected officials know it.

---

1 A photo of the project site taken from the east side of Seneca Lake is attached to hard copy of this letter and may be found here: http://gasfreeseneca.com/?attachment_id=557.

2 A copy of the new transportation allocation is attached to hard copy of this letter and may be found here: http://gasfreeseneca.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Transportation-Allocation-Highlighted.pdf.
Governor Cuomo, we respectfully ask that you continue to support New Yorkers, not a Texas based oil and gas company, and deny all LPG and gas storage permits to Crestwood.

Sincerely,

The Gas Free Seneca Business Coalition

Cc: DEC Commissioner Joseph Martens, Deputy Secretary Basil Seggos, US Senator Charles Schumer, US Senator Kirsten Gillibrand
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12th Moon Astrology
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A Stone's Throw B&B
Abelson Law Office
Acorn Designs
Admiral Peabody's Lakeside Lodging
Advanced Remodeling
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Amazing Grace Bed & Breakfast
Ann Cain Crusade, R.N., M.S., L. Ac
Ann Sheldon, Life Coach
Another Time Antiques
Anthony Road Wine Company

Ileen Kaplan Piano Service
Indian Creek Farm
Inner Decisions
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International Climbing Machines
Irish Carpentry
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Ithaca Acupuncture Integrative Medicine Center
J & D Landscape Construction
JCC of Greater Rochester
Jeremy Crytzer, Cabinet Maker
Jesi Turner, Musician
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Journeyman Services
JR Dill Winery
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Applegate Toys
Area Records
Argetsinger Vineyards
Atwater Vineyards
Autumn Leaves Used Books
B&B: 448 East Broadway LLC
Bagley's Poplar Ridge Vineyard
Barnstormer Winery
Barry Family Vineyards
Bear Meadow Folk Instruments
Beef N' Brew
Bella Stone Massage
Berta's Cafe
Bill Carini Gardens
Billsboro Winery
Bitterwind Hop Farm
B.ink
Blackberry Inn B&B
Blecher Electric
Bloomer Creek Vineyard

Kali Herbs
Kay Gustin, CPC Joy of Living Health and Life Coach
Keep in Touch Therapeutic Massage
Keuka Artisan Bakery and Deli
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Kirton's Farm Market
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Kurtz Construction
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Lakeside Refrigeration Service
Lamoreaux Landing Wine Cellars
Larson Publications
Lawrence Reverby – Attorney at Law
Leaf Kitchen Restaurant
Leidenfrost Vineyards
Linda Parks, CPA and Financial Advisor
Boathouse Beer Garden
Bob and June's Organic Coffee
Bostwick House B&B
Bottomless Brewing
Boundary Breaks Vineyard
Bread 'N' Roses
Bumble & Co. B&B
Buttonwood Creek Tree Farm
Camp Seneca Lake
Cappy's
Capricorn Hill Farms
Captain Bill's
Cash and Caring Auction Services
Catherine Cottages
Cathy Emilian Design
Cayuga Morning Star Bed and Breakfast
Caywood Vineyards
Chateau Lafayette Reneau
Chefs for the Marcellus
Cherry Orchard B&B
Church Creative Flooring, Inc.
Linda Ruth Astrology
Live Well Upstate: Massage Therapy and Natural Medicine
Macro Mamas
Magnolia Place B&B
Main Street Bike Shop
Mandible Cafe at Cornell
Marmalade Cat B&B
McGregor Winery
Medleys Cafe and Catering
Moon Heart Studios
Muddy Fingers Farm
Native Plant Nurture
Natural Health Family Chiropractic
Nautical Nights B&B
Newell Farm
No Man Services
Northeast Organic Farming Association of NY, Inc. (NOFA-NY)
Northstar Public House
Odessa Coffee Depot
Old Fashioned Flowers from Femville Farm
Owl Gorge Productions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cindy Lane-Newcomb; Raven's Landing</td>
<td>Clean Team</td>
<td>O'Shaughnessy Antiques and Fine Arts Gallery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Team</td>
<td>Clean Team</td>
<td>Parker's Grille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing Bines Hop Farm and Brewery</td>
<td>Passport Creations</td>
<td>Penguin Bay Winery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud 9 Wellness</td>
<td>Compost International</td>
<td>Perennial Evolution Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost International</td>
<td>Cortland Beer Company, LLC</td>
<td>Peter Drobný - Glass Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortland Beer Company, LLC</td>
<td>Cotton Club at the Windmill</td>
<td>Pine Tree Farms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton Club at the Windmill</td>
<td>Cowlick Farms</td>
<td>Pioneer Printing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowlick Farms</td>
<td>Creating Change</td>
<td>Plowbreak Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating Change</td>
<td>Creativelink Photo/Graphics Services</td>
<td>Pompous Ass Winery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativelink Photo/Graphics Services</td>
<td>Creekside Cafe</td>
<td>Preliminaires Chocolat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creekside Cafe</td>
<td>Crestwood Pools, Inc</td>
<td>Privacy on the Lake B&amp;B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crestwood Pools, Inc</td>
<td>Crooked Lake Winery</td>
<td>Quality Wine Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crooked Lake Winery</td>
<td>Cummins Nursery</td>
<td>QwikSolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummins Nursery</td>
<td>Curry Creek Vineyards</td>
<td>Rasta Ranch Vineyards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curry Creek Vineyards</td>
<td>D. Bordwell Editorial Services</td>
<td>Ravines Wine Cellars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Bordwell Editorial Services</td>
<td>Damien! Wine Cellars</td>
<td>Reagan's Canoe and Kayak Livery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damien! Wine Cellars</td>
<td>Dan Rapaport Consulting</td>
<td>Reality Realty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Rapaport Consulting</td>
<td>Dano's Heuriger</td>
<td>Red Dove Tavern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dano's Heuriger</td>
<td>Daphne's Delights</td>
<td>Red Jacket Orchards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daphne's Delights</td>
<td>Darlene Bordwell Photography</td>
<td>Red Newt Cellars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep Lake Industries</td>
<td>FreeSeneca.com  PO Box 333, Watkins Glen, NY 14891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derek's Diner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dewitt Park Inn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery Massage Therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixie O'Connor, Fine Arts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down to Earth Markets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Konstantin Frank Vinifera Wine Cellars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS Hoffman, Luthier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dundee Scottish Festival of the Finger Lakes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Crest Vineyards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthgirl Flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthgirl Pottery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echoes of the Glen B&amp;B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edible Finger Lakes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eli Thomas Art</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ely Fruit Farms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emery Vineyards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eminence Road Farm Winery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enigma Skin Care Studio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eremita Winery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eve's Cidery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relax Inn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENOVUS Energy, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rising Sun Reiki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinia Redux Locust Fencepost Plantation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooster Fish Brewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roots &amp; Wings Performing Arts Academy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rustic Log Cabins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salubrious Farms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapsquatch Pure Maple Products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawmill Creek Vineyards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schank Companies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca BioEnergy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Chief Guide Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Harbor Station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Harbor Wine Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Lake Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Lake General Store</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Lodge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Lake Craft Brewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Lodge Lake House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evolving Studios
Exercise Enterprise
Factivist
Faith Muirhead, Educational Consultant
Farm Kind
Farmer Ground Flour
Finger Lakes Concierge
Finger Lakes Distilling
Finger Lakes Florist
Finger Lakes Food Company LLC
Finger Lakes Gifts and Lounge
Finger Lakes Mill Creek Cabins
Finger Lakes Natural Health
Finger Lakes Water Fall Resort
Finger Lakes Website Services
Fingerlakes Angling Zone Guide Service
Fingerlakes Transport and Tours
Firehouse Distillery
Fleur De Lys of the Finger Lakes
Forge Cellars
Fork & Gavel Cafe at Cornell

Seneca Physical Therapy of the Finger Lakes, PC
Seneca Sailing Adventures
Seneca Springs Resort
Seneca Wine Boat Tours
Senecayuga Properties, LLC.
September Hill Midwifery
Shalestone Vineyards
Shaw Vineyards
Sheldrake Point Vineyards
Silver Springs Winery
Silver Thread Vineyards
Six Circles Farm
Skyland Gallery
Skyland Guest House
Snug Planet and Snug Solutions
Squires Accounting & Tax Services, Inc
Standing Stone Vineyards
Staving Artist Woodwork
Stomping Grounds
Stone Pillow Pottery
StoneBoat Computing Solutions
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fox Pond Products</th>
<th>Stonecat Cafe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fox Run Vineyards</td>
<td>Studio West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Trot Stables</td>
<td>Summit to Stream Adventures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Spirit Design Glass</td>
<td>Sun Dance Creations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free to Be Me Coalition for Peace</td>
<td>Sun Garden Grille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frisch Kitchen</td>
<td>Sunrise Hill Vineyards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog Hollow Marina</td>
<td>Sunrise Landing Bed and Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontenac Point Vineyard and Winery</td>
<td>Sunset on Seneca B&amp;B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva Bicycle Center</td>
<td>Sunshine Natural Foods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentle Giants B&amp;B</td>
<td>Sustainable Viticulture Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GentlyBorne Midwifery</td>
<td>Suzanne Fine Regional Cuisine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George's Prosound</td>
<td>Swamp Road Baskets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gil's Honeybees</td>
<td>Swedish Hill Winery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimme! Coffee Inc.</td>
<td>Sweet Mother Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger Cat B&amp;B</td>
<td>TAITEM Engineering PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Mountain Market</td>
<td>Tchotchke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Farms</td>
<td>The Black Sheep Inn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenhaven Farm Winery</td>
<td>The Keep at Newell Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenora Wine Cellars</td>
<td>The Pearl of Seneca Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Taco</td>
<td>The Soap Garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Knight Inn &amp; Suites</td>
<td>The Water Cottage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Golden Orb Tattoos and Piercings
Good Earth Health Foods
Good Karma Candles
Good Life Farm
Good to Go! Market
Goose Watch Winery
GRACES
Greensprings Natural Cemetery
GreenStar Cooperative Market
Gryphon Construction
Halsey's Wood Fire Bistro
Harvest Cafe
Hayward House B&B
Hazelnut Kitchen
Hazlitt 1852 Vineyards
Heart & Hands Wine Company
Heart to Heart Yoga
Heavily Brewing Company
Hector Logging Company
Hector Wine Co.
Hemlock Hills Alpaca Farm

Themis & Thread
Think Topography
Thomas Merrill Professional Land Surveyor
Three Brothers Wineries
Three Swallows Farm
Three Tree Inn B&B
Thyme Stands Still Fruit and Vegetables
Tim McKinney, Photographer/Writer/Woodworker
Tim Wells – Furniture Maker
Tree Gate Farm
Turbo Taxi
Two Goats Brewing
Two Sisters Cottage on Seneca Lake
Unique Pool Service
Upstate Energy Solutions
Vaping Bad
Ventosa Vineyards
Villa Bellangelo
Watkins Glen Massage
Watkins Glen Wine and Spirits
West Side Wine and Spirits
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Hermann J. Wiemer Vineyard
Heron Hill Winery
Hotel Groton
Humble Hill Farm and Lodge
Hunt Country Vineyards
Idol Ridge Winery
Wide Awake Bakery
Wild Flower Cafe
Wine a Bit and More
Wolftree Farm
Zero to Go LLC
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Quantitative Risk Analysis:
Schuyler County Liquid Petroleum Gas Proposal
January 14, 2015
D. Rob Mackenzie, MD

Executive Summary
An independent, high-level quantitative risk assessment (QRA) was performed to evaluate the major risks associated with a proposal by Finger Lakes LPG Storage, LLC to store liquid petroleum gas (LPG) in dormant Schuyler County solution-mined salt caverns. The risks of events associated with LPG rail transport, pipeline transmission, and salt cavern storage were evaluated using standard methodology, a twenty-five year exposure interval, and publicly available sources.

The incremental likelihood of serious or extremely serious rail transport events is between 2 and 3 percent over 25 years. This level reflects risk mitigation efforts over several decades, but further risk reduction efforts should be still considered because of possibly extreme consequences. The likelihood of moderate baseline pipeline transmission events is between 20 and 25 percent over 25 years. While pipeline risk reduction efforts should always be considered because of possible moderate consequences, there is little if any incremental risk as pipeline infrastructure will remain nearly unchanged. The probability of serious or extremely serious salt cavern storage events is more than 40 percent over 25 years, including both baseline and incremental risks. The significant possibility of major salt infiltration into Seneca Lake with extreme consequences, and the fact that the salt cavern is located in bedded salt strata rather than salt domes, add to this risk.

From the perspective of community safety based on this analysis, continued salt cavern storage in Schuyler County carries a baseline unacceptable risk that would rise even higher under this proposal. Risk mitigation efforts in salt cavern storage have thus far proven unsuccessful in significantly reducing the frequency of serious and extremely serious incidents. Therefore the application for the proposal should be denied and strong consideration given to safer forms of gas storage to meet demand.
Introduction

Risk assessment work starts with a prioritization process, based on the likelihood and consequences of identified untoward events.\(^1\) For events of extreme seriousness and high likelihood, the risk is ordinarily deemed unacceptable, and efforts are made chiefly to reduce or eliminate the risk. For events of minor consequence and low likelihood, the risk may be deemed acceptable, and a response plan is developed. A matrix is commonly used to display the combination of consequence and likelihood.\(^2\)\(^3\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>E1</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th>E3</th>
<th>E4</th>
<th>E5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely serious</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>D5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>B5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>A5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1—Sample Risk Matrix

In a high-level quantitative risk analysis (QRA) I have applied this process to evaluate the risk of the Schuyler County liquid petroleum gas (LPG) storage proposal submitted by Finger Lakes LPG Storage Company, LLC (FLLPG).\(^4\)

Hazard events were scored as either “major accidents” or not, using the methodology of the Marcogaz European Underground Gas Storage Study

---

1. Rob Mackenzie, M.D., FACS, FRCS(C), FACHE was until 2013 the President and Chief Executive Officer at the Cayuga Medical Center, Ithaca, NY where he led statewide CEO taskforces to improve safety performance, leading to 2010 recognition by Consumer Reports as New York State’s safest hospital. His safety and risk assessment experience includes being the Chair of VHA-Empire State Healthcare CEO Safety Network; organizational, community, hospital, and industrial safety and risk assessments (both quantitative and qualitative); training in high-reliability science and on-site evaluations of safety practices at high-reliability medical and industrial sites including Sentara, Palo Verde nuclear facility, NASA. See C.V. attached hereto as Attachment 1.

2. This typical example is from http://www.ntnu.no/innsida, a Norwegian university.


database, derived from Appendix VI of the European Union’s SEVESO II Directive 96/82 on the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances. If such “major accidents” per Marcogaz criteria had multiple casualties, multiple evacuations longer than 30 days, or permanent environmental damage they were scored as “extremely serious events;” all other major accidents were scored “serious events.” Non-major accidents were scored “moderate,” “minor,” or “not significant” (see Marcogaz criteria with examples in Attachment 2), and not analyzed further since they were unlikely to significantly impact health and safety.

Likelihood categories were derived by applying the probability definitions of ISO Standard 17776(2000), Petroleum and Natural Gas Industries, to the number and longevity of U.S. underground gas storage industry’s facilities. By this standard, an event rate of “very low likelihood” is less than 0.1%/year, “low likelihood” between 0.1–1.0%/year, and “medium likelihood” up to 5–20%/year. Probabilities were reported using an exposure interval of 25 years (see methodology and examples in Attachment 2).

Crestwood’s predecessor company, Inergy Midstream, commissioned its own QRA, reported in 2012. That analysis evaluated the frequency, severity, and consequences of potential equipment-related gas releases at the facility in great detail, and concluded that the hazards and risk to on-site and nearby individuals were acceptable and “similar to those of LPG storage, transport, and processing facilities worldwide.”

However, that QRA did not analyze risks associated with transport to or from the site, even though the transport stage of the energy chain is responsible for a volume of fatalities and injuries several orders of magnitude higher than the facility stage. It did not analyze the potential for or consequences of geologic salt infiltration induced by facility operations, even though such infiltration may have major public health consequences and cause irremediable environmental damage (see Salt brine Infiltration, below).

That QRA also greatly underreported salt cavern incidents: It cited a European study that determined the structural failure rate to be one in 100,000. Yet that study included depleted oil and gas wells (which have a much better safety track record than salt caverns), while omitting facility infrastructure events and many known salt cavern incidents. The annual probability of incidents with casualties in salt cavern facilities which, by this methodology, would be scored “serious” or “extremely serious” events is actually 1.5 in 100 (or 37.5% over 25 years)—a
hundred and fifty times more likely than Inergy’s QRA suggests (see Salt Caverns, below).9

Brief summary of LPG storage proposal:
FLLPG’s application for a Schuyler County liquid propane and butane gas storage facility, as most recently revised on December 2, 2014,10 calls for 1785 inbound and/or outbound rail tank cars per year to deliver propane or butane to or from storage in a US Salt cavern from which salt is no longer being solution-mined. The plan calls for most inbound and all outbound propane to be transported by pipeline.

RISK ANALYSIS
This analysis pertains to three contingencies. Stated as questions:
(1) Is LPG transportation by rail an acceptable overall and incremental risk?
(2) Is LPG transmission by pipeline an acceptable overall and incremental risk?
(3) Is salt cavern storage of LPG an acceptable overall and incremental risk?

Rail Transportation Risk:
LPG rail ingress from the south would proceed north from the southern tier corridor at Corning on the Norfolk Southern Railroad on Class II (“regional”) track.11 It would cross Watkins Glen State Park gorge on a trestle constructed in the 1930’s and terminate at a proposed new rail siding at the FLLPG site.

The most serious risk in LPG rail transportation is derailment with overturned tank cars, when puncture and leakage of fuel is common.12 In the decade from 1995-2004 there were 17 serious incidents of U.S. train derailment, tank fracture, hazardous gas release, or chemical reaction, resulting in 9 dead, 5000 injured, and 10,000 evacuated.13 It has been stated that if a similar accident were to occur on the trestle over the state park, the relatively heavy propane gas would flow like a liquid down the gorge or the hill in two to four minutes and spread out in the town below, and that ignition from vehicle exhaust, etc., would then almost certainly cause an explosion, propagate a blast wave, and start fires.14

In my literature review and in discussions with fire officials I found this

9 This risk assessment is based on, among other things, an extensive literature review of serious gas storage incidents involving salt caverns. The author is not offering an opinion on the integrity or lack of integrity of the proposed LPG storage caverns that FLLPG wishes to use, nor the current or historic causes for the high salt levels in Seneca Lake. Instead, this assessment documents the probability and potentially serious consequences of a storage or transportation accident involving salt caverns and summarizes relevant literature on the risks.
13 Evans, 2008 (Table 14).
14 Michael Lausell, county legislator, at a meeting of the Schuyler County Legislature held on 7/14/14.
catastrophic scenario credible, but quite rare. One instance would be the small-town LPG railroad tank-car derailment that occurred in Viareggio, Italy in 2009. In that horrific case there were many flattened buildings and 30 fatalities. Computer modeling after the fact indicated that it likely took the propane gases 100 seconds to reach the furthest-away incinerated house, even with flat local terrain and under calm weather conditions. Because of the fast spread of gas, emergency response in Viareggio was limited to evacuation and after-the-fact injury care. These types of events would be scored “extremely serious” on the ISO risk matrix. Lesser events (such as derailment with overturnment but without leakage) were ignored because the consequences would be minor or not significant.

From industry-published rates, the incremental probability of rail tanker derailment with overturnment within the county over twenty-five years is between 2 and 3%, assuming an average of 150 additional trains yearly. This estimate could be further refined by looking at speed, number of cars, class of track, and the integrity of bridges and other rail infrastructure.

Recent rail disasters have highlighted concerns about rolling stock, infrastructure, and current inspection protocols whereby the rail company, not the regulator, inspects track and trestles, and the reliability of inspection data has recently been questioned both by New York State auditors and the media. With a caution about the lack of independent data, rail risk would be placed in cell E1, very low likelihood. This cell indicates “assessment range,” so ways to further mitigate should be still considered because of the possibly extreme consequences (Figure 2, next page).

---

16 The Canvey report from 1978 cited in Lee's Loss Prevention, 2005, appendix 7/9 gives the frequency of rail tank car derailment as $1 \times 10^{-6}$/km ($= 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$/mi), and the probability of overturning (when rupture is most likely to occur) as 0.2. This frequency is lower than US data from the 1970s, but the US data has dropped and is now similar, at $2 \times 10^{-6}$/mi. I used the lower Canvey data, and ignored return-trips with empty tankers, the risk of which would be of lower consequence. GoogleMaps shows the rail distance from the south county border to the Crestwood site to be about 12 mi. FLLPG estimates between 6.8 and 32 cars per trip, and between 56 and 261 trips per year; I based my calculation on an average 150 trips per year. Calculation: $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ derailments/km x 0.2 overturnments/derailment x 12 mi/trip x 1.6 km/mi x 1 trip/day x 150 days/yr x 25 years = 0.0230 = 2.3%.
Pipeline Transportation Risk:
LPG pipeline transportation would occur via the existing network of Schuyler County liquid hazard pipelines. 19

The most serious risks in U.S. pipeline transportation in 2013 were: pipe disruption caused by failure of material or welds (43%), excavation damage (23%), corrosion (13%), natural force damage (7%), other outside force damage (7%), incorrect operation (3%) or other causes (3%). 20 In the decade from 2004–2013 such disruptions in pipelines carrying highly volatile, flammable, and toxic liquids such as propane and butane resulted in 278 significant incidents with 7 fatalities, 27 injuries, and more than $95 million in property damage, according to industry sources. 21

These “significant incidents,” however, were distributed over a pipeline network of approximately 63,000 miles. 22 Because of the lower proximity to population centers in this case, the relatively low potential for evacuation, and the moderate number of casualties, such events would be scored as a moderate consequence on the ISO risk matrix. Over a 25-year exposure interval the event risk for Schuyler County’s 21 miles of LPG pipeline is approximately 23 percent, or medium likelihood. 23 However, because no significant additional pipeline construction is planned, this would be considered baseline risk, not incremental risk. This baseline risk is in the “assessment range,” so ways to reduce risk further should be still considered because of the possible consequences (Figure 3, next page).

21 Calculation: 28 significant incidents/yr/63,000 miles pipeline x 21 miles Schuyler County pipeline x 25 years = 0.233.
22 Id.
23 Id.
Salt Cavern Risks:

This risk assessment is based on, among other things, an extensive literature review of serious incidents involving LPG or gas storage in salt caverns. The author is not offering an opinion on specific risks of FLLPG's proposed LPG storage facility, nor the salt caverns at issue in this proceeding. I have relied upon the conclusions of expert Hydrologist Tom Myers, Ph.D. and expert geologist Dr. H.C. Clark regarding certain of the specific risks of the proposed project, and also have summarized certain of the relevant literature on the risks.

Event rates

As of 2013 there were 419 underground gas storage facilities in the US. Most are in depleted oil and gas fields; a few are in aquifers, and 40 are in "salt cavern" facilities. Most salt caverns have been developed over several decades from naturally occurring, globular so-called "salt domes" in the Gulf states. Nine have been added since 2007. A few salt caverns are in "bedded salt" deposits like Schuyler County's, which itself has been used in the past for LPG and natural gas storage. Safety oversight of underground gas storage is performed by both federal and state agencies.

Despite this supervision, between 1972 and 2012 there have been at least 20 serious or extremely serious incidents in salt cavern storage facilities located in the United States. With the average number of salt cavern storage

---

24 http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng_stor_cap_a_EPG0_SAD_Count_a.htm.
25 http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng_stor_cap_a_EPG0_SA5_Count_a.htm.
27 Evans, 2008 (Appendix V and Table 14).
Facilities in operation through most of the last two decades close to 30, the US incidence between 1972 and 2012 is more than 65 percent (compared to 40 percent worldwide), and the frequency more than 1.6% per year. Causes of failure have included corroded casings, equipment failure, brine erosion leading to breach, leakage into other geologic formations, and human error. Worldwide, the percentage of incidents involving casualties at salt cavern facilities as a percentage of the number of facilities operational in 2005 was 13.6 percent, compared to 0.63% for gas and oil fields, and 2.5% for aquifers.

Ten of the salt cavern incidents were accompanied by large fires and/or explosions. Six involved loss of life or serious injury. In eight cases evacuation of between 30 and 2000 residents was required. Extremely serious or catastrophic property loss occurred in thirteen of the 18 cases. In one incident involving the current permit applicant's other New York State salt cavern facility in 2008, a drilling rig hired to perform work on an existing inactive salt cavern storage well caused release of gas which ignited at the surface, resulting in injuries to four persons.

The likelihood of a serious or extremely serious event over twenty-five years is more than 40 percent. Per ISO methodology this is at least a medium likelihood, with the potential for at least serious consequences, and, as discussed below, likely extremely serious consequences. It thus constitutes an unacceptable risk. (See further discussion below on the risks and baseline versus incremental risks).

**Salt brine infiltration**

As set forth in the report of Hydrologist Tom Myers, whom I have relied upon for information on LPG-related risks of salt brine infiltration, in the early 1900s Seneca Lake waters had moderately more chloride than other Finger Lakes, as would perhaps be expected due to the commencement of solution salt-mining on the shores of the lake in 1893, and/or because much of the bed of Seneca Lake intersects bedded salt planes. Chloride levels in Seneca Lake rose gradually

---

32 Events collected from sources 25–28 were categorized as "major accidents" or not by Maracogaz criteria. Major accidents were then scored as serious or extremely serious according to the additional criteria in Attachment 2.
33 http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/na1393_nus_8a.htm shows a stable salt cavern count at approximately 30 fields from 1999 until further growth to 40 started more recently (2007), and http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/na1394_nus_8a.htm shows stability in the total storage field count over the prior ten years.
34 Per Evans (2008, p. 115), the lower world-wide incidence is thought by some to reflect under-reporting in Europe and the former Soviet Union.
35 Evans, 2008 (Table 2).
36 Inergy Midstream, Inergy Midstream Issues Statement on Bath Incident (March 10, 2008)
37 Calculation: 1.66% incidence per year x 25 yrs = 41.6%.
from less than 50 ppm in 1905 to approximately 115 ppm in the mid-1960's, in parallel with increased salt mine production at Seneca Lake, strongly suggesting an anthropogenic rise. Seneca Lake chloride levels then surged dramatically, from approximately 110 ppm to more than 180 ppm in the latter half of the 1960s.

![Modeling Seneca's Chloride](image)

**Figure 4. from Halfman, 2014**

Ion flux studies show that documented industrial salt waste discharges and road salt stream drainage, taken together, are insufficient by an order of magnitude to explain this exponential chloride increase. This suggests that the onset of gas storage in repurposed salt caverns on the southwest shore of the lake in 1964 greatly accelerated natural seepage of salt brine into the lake.

If further expansion of salt cavern gas storage on Seneca Lake again produces a spike in salinity similar to that seen in the 1960s, that new spike would start from a higher baseline of 120–130 ppm Cl. The chloride content of Seneca Lake—New York's largest body of fresh water wholly within its borders—could then rise dangerously close to the level that could render the lake water dangerous for aquatic life (230 ppm) and uncomfortably close to the level that would violate New York State drinking water regulations (250 ppm). In that event, remediation for large-scale salt contamination could well take decades or be

---

43 The company has said it cannot explain the sudden spike in salinity (Barry Moon, Plant Manager, Finger Lakes LP Storage, to Government Operations Committee, Yates County Legislature, October 6, 2014). A local engineer suggested that brine waste from the Morton Salt Himrod salt mine may have been responsible (Dennis Fagan to Timothy Dennis, RE: Proposed Yates County Resolution Opposing the LPG Project in the Town of Reading, October 9, 2014), but the spike in salinity predated construction of the Himrod mine by several years.
44 See January 2015 Technical Memorandum of Tom Myers, Ph.D., Hydrologic consultant.
46 New York State Department of Health Drinking Water Regulations Part 5, Subpart 5-1.
impossible, jeopardizing the source of drinking water for about 100,000 people. Other long-term water sources could be needed, or else large populations would be obliged to move.

Indeed, some persons in the watershed are already advised to seek alternative water supplies, because Seneca Lake's sodium level of 75 ppm is three to four times the 20 ppm level which the NYS Department of Health indicates should not be used for drinking by people on severely restricted sodium diets nor newborn infants.

Even lesser disasters, such as failure of brine pond containment, may not be as benign as some have assumed. Few if any other salt caverns are adjacent to a large lake. A disaster resulting from accelerated geologic brine or salt infiltration, or some other failure of the proposed LPG storage facility, would have extreme consequences because Seneca Lake provides drinking water for approximately 100,000 people and numerous businesses, and numerous people recreate on and in the lake. When considered together with the other extremely serious incidents, it raises the consequence of salt cavern events into the extremely serious range.

Geology
As discussed in the expert report of Geologist Dr. H.C. Clark, much concern has also been raised about the geology of the solution-mined caverns proposed for natural gas storage. There has been a great deal of discussion over faults, large roof collapses, rubble piles, undiscovered uncapped wells, and so on. I do not have the expertise to evaluate such concerns, reassurances, rulings, or requirements, but have relied upon Dr. Clark's assessment of some of these risks.

However, it is not necessary to get into significant geologic detail for this level of risk analysis. From the risk assessment perspective it is enough to recall that standard and additional regulatory recommendations, routine mechanical integrity testing, and every other careful industry precaution have failed to prevent the eighteen recent serious or extremely serious salt cavern incidents in the United States. Some have been quite recent, and some have occurred in caverns with fairly long safety track records before the accidents. The available literature provides no good reason to assume that regulation, testing, or oversight in today's resource-constrained environment will be more successful in preventing such incidents tomorrow than it was in preventing them yesterday.

Furthermore, salt caverns created in bedded salt deposits like Schuyler County's are known to be less stable, with a higher risk of failure, than the salt domes

---

48 New York State Department of Health Drinking Water Regulations Part 5, Subpart 5-1.
49 SEQR Documents, Accepted DSEIS, Final DSEIS Text at 38–44.
50 See narratives of specific cases in Evans (2008, Appendix V) and Warren (2006, Chapter 12).
common in the Gulf. The most instructive incident in this connection occurred at the Yaggy salt cavern facility seven miles northwest of Hutchinson, Kansas, a town of 44,000. Gases that escaped from the salt cavern due to human error traveled along sedimentary layers, erupted in the town itself, and resulted in fire, explosion, two deaths, one injury, and more than 250 evacuations. (See detailed summary, map, and photos in Attachment 3). The unfavorable geology and irregular cavern shapes generally associated with bedded salt deposits, and the fact that failures are much more common in salt caverns than other storage places, push the likelihood of salt cavern events here somewhat higher in the medium likelihood category.

**Risk tolerance**

This level of consequences per facility over twenty-five years—major fires, explosions, collapses, catastrophic loss of product, evacuations—is an unusually high level of risk. Most other regulated industry sub-segments with a persistent serious to extremely serious facility incident rate of this magnitude would be shut down or else voluntarily discontinued, except in wartime. In my view, this is an unacceptable level of risk, and the proposed LPG facility should not be permitted.

**Baseline risk versus incremental risk**

The company's position appears to be that although the location is not ideal, the baseline risk of salt cavern gas storage adjacent to Seneca Lake has already implicitly been accepted, and that incremental risks from this proposal for additional storage are negligible. Regarding baseline risk, however, as shown above, past regulatory approvals are no guarantee against catastrophic risk. In particular, documented experience in salt cavern storage adjacent to a large lake (i.e., this one case) is hardly reassuring, because of the current high salt levels in Seneca Lake and the huge salt flow into the lake in the 1960s when LPG storage last took place in the salt caverns. Regarding incremental risk, there also appears to be a direct correlation between the number of salt caverns used for storage per facility and the likelihood of serious and extremely serious events. For example, Mont Belvieu, Texas, the largest gas storage depot of salt caverns in the country, has had more events than any other U.S. facility. Put simply, the use of any salt cavern is very risky; these particular salt caverns seem unusually risky; and the more caverns are used, the higher the risk becomes.

To be sure, there have been advances over the years in assessment, extraction, storage, and transportation technology in salt caverns used for natural gas storage. And there have been scattered reports and articles praising the safety of underground salt cavern storage. Yet those advances and reports have not yet led to a significant reduction in the rate of serious and extremely serious

---

53 Evans, 2008 (Table 14).
Experience from NASA, nuclear power plants, car manufacturing, and healthcare consistently shows that to improve safety the critical requirement is not better technology but cultural change.

### Figure 5—Train, Pipeline and Salt Cavern Risks

**Safer options**

As shown above, gas storage in depleted oil and gas reservoirs has a safety track record twenty times better than storage in salt caverns. Some salt cavern storage proponents claim that it can offer shorter cycle times with facilities located closer to market, providing better "spot coverage" for demand spikes. But it cannot do so reliably, as illustrated most recently by the failure of the Toddhunter, Ohio salt cavern propane storage facility due to gas leakage. 

Simply locating underground storage in something other than a salt cavern would be much safer, as would choosing a location that is not adjacent to the drinking water supply for 100,000 people and numerous businesses. One such alternative, which can meet spot coverage for demand spikes, is to use an excavated, lined rock cavern closer to the market. A safer alternative would also be to use a depleted oil or gas reservoir located closer to the market. While other forms of storage can be in some cases more expensive, other storage locations will have a much more acceptable environmental footprint, be reliably safer, and more easily located as close to market as needed.

**Other risks:**

Diesel air pollution, noise pollution, loss of jobs in tourism and wineries from "industrialization," and many other risks have been discussed widely in community forums. They are not included in this analysis because they seem somewhat unlikely to require emergency response, but they will have health and other consequences.

---

54 Industry sources cite a reduction in incident frequency in the 1990's, but this reversed with a spate of incidents in the early 2000s.

Risk summary and Conclusion:
The incremental risk of extremely serious rail tanker events within the county over twenty-five years remains between 2 and 3 percent following the risk mitigation efforts of the last several decades. Ways to further mitigate this risk should be considered because of the possibly extreme consequences.

The baseline risk of pipeline events of moderate consequence within the county over twenty-five years is between 20 and 25 percent. Ways to further mitigate this risk should always be considered.

The risk of a salt cavern facility event of serious or extremely serious consequence within the county in the next twenty-five years, including both baseline and incremental risks, is more than 40 percent. Worst-case scenarios are not hard to imagine. They would involve some combination of loss of life, loss of the lake as a source of drinking water, and/or temporary or permanent evacuation. Each of these scenarios has happened in other salt cavern facilities. Fortunately for the nation, but of no help to Schuyler County, most of the other events occurred in locations more isolated from population centers than this one.

From the perspective of health and safety, based on this independent analysis, I conclude that continued and/or expanded operation of LPG storage in the bedded salt caverns adjacent to Seneca Lake carries an unacceptable risk of extremely serious consequences, that FLLPG's proposal should be denied, and that safer gas storage alternatives should be considered.

Rob Mackenzie, MD, FACHE
C.V.
Rob Mackenzie, M.D., FACS, FRCS(C), FACHE
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ATTACHMENT 2
A. CONSEQUENCE

The most widely used criteria for reporting major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances were promulgated by the European Union in Appendix VI of the SEVESO II Directive (Dir. 96/82) in 1999. These were adapted in 2000 by Marcogaz, a consortium of eight companies involved in underground storage activity, for use in a database for major accidents. The scope of the Marcogaz database is concerned with all parts of the infrastructure at storage plants, i.e. wells, compressors, treatment & measuring facilities and pipework systems that have led to any particular incident. The criteria are as follows:

1. Fire, explosion or accidental discharge involving at least 10 tons of gas (5% of 200 tons).
2. One death or,
   a. injuries inside establishment or,
   b. 1 injury outside establishment or,
   c. housing damaged or made unavailable outside establishment or,
   d. evacuation or confining of people for more than 2 hours (persons x hours >=500) or
   e. interruption of drinking water, electricity, gas or telephone supply for more than 2 hours (persons x hours >= 1000)
3. Effects on environment
   a. permanent damage: 0.5 hectares of a protected area or 10 hectares of a larger area
   b. significant damage: 1 hectare of a groundwater aquifer, 10 km or more along a river, 1 hectare or more of a lake, or 2 hectare or more of a coastal area or sea
4. Material damage
   a. More than 2 Million Euros inside establishment
   b. More than 0.5 Million Euros outside establishment
5. Transboundary damage

For this study hazard events were scored as either "major accidents" or not using these criteria. If "major accidents" had multiple casualties, multiple evacuations longer than 30 days, or permanent environmental damage they were scored as "extremely serious events"; all other major accidents were scored "serious events." For examples:

Extremely serious case examples:
1. Brenham, Texas: LPG leak in April 1992 causing fire and explosion, 3 dead, 23 injured, 50 evacuated, 26 homes destroyed, 33 homes damaged.
3. Hutchinson/Yaggy, Kansas: Natural gas leak in January 2001 causing fire and explosion, 2 dead, 1 injured, >250 people evacuated for more than two months.

Serious case examples:
2. Mont Belvieu, Texas: Propane leak from casing in 1984 causing fire and explosion and several million dollars damage.
3. Moss Bluff, Texas: Natural gas fire and explosion in 2004 causing evacuations

Non-major accidents were scored "moderate," "minor," or "not significant" and rejected for further analysis, as being unlikely to have significant health and safety implications.

B. LIKELIHOOD

Likelihood categories were derived by applying the probability definitions of ISO Standard 17776(2000), Petroleum and Natural Gas Industries, to the number of U.S. underground gas storage industry's facilities, using an average of 30 facilities over the past six decades, the current number of about 40 facilities, and a ten to twenty-year operating history for an average company: