New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Environmental Permits, Region 8
6274 East Avon-Lima Road, Avon, New York 14414-9519
Phone: (585) 226-2466 **FAX:** (585) 226-2830
Website: www.dec.ny.gov

5 January 2011

Kevin Bernstein, Esq.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC
One Lincoln Center
Syracuse, New York 13202-1355

Dear Mr. Bernstein:

Re: DEC Facility No. 8-4432-00085
Draft Scope
Finger Lakes, LLC Underground LPG Storage Facility
Town of Reading, Schuyler County

1. Enclosed for your reference is a copy of the Department's Draft Scope for the proposed Finger Lakes, LLC underground LPG storage facility. This draft scoping outline will be available for public review and comment until January 31, 2011.

2. The enclosed Notice of Availability of a Draft Scoping Outline must be published in the:

The Watkins Glen Review & Express
210 N Franklin Street
Watkins Glen, New York 14891
(607) 535-2711 and Fax (607) 535-2500

once during the week of **January 10, 2011** on any day Monday through Friday.

3. Please request that the newspaper publisher provide you with a Proof of Publication for the Notice. Upon receipt of the Proof of Publication, forward it to this office. This Proof of Publication is necessary before we can continue the review of your application.

4. You are responsible for paying the cost of publishing this Notice.

If you have any questions concerning this letter or about this process, please do not hesitate to contact me at 585-226-5401 or e-mail (dlbimber@gw.dec.state.ny.us).

Sincerely,

David L. Bimber
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator
Division of Environmental Permits

Enclosure

cc: (w/enclosure)
P. D'Amato, Regional Director
L. Bracci, Regional Attorney
L. Schwartz, Assistant Regional Attorney
J. Nasca, Environmental Permits Division Director, DEC Albany (1750)
L. Weintraub, DEC Albany (1500)
P. Briggs, DEC Albany (6500)

State Environmental Quality Review
NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A DRAFT SCOPE

DEC Facility ID 8-4432-00085 Date: January 5, 2011

This notice is issued pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"; Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations at Part 617 of Title 6 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the State of New York).

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) as Lead Agency for this project, has determined that the proposed action described below and its potential for significant adverse environmental impacts is such that a draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (dSEIS) should be prepared. DEC has determined that the project may result in adverse impacts sufficient to require preparation of a site-specific, project-specific dSEIS and a Positive Declaration was issued on November 17, 2010.

Name of Action: Finger Lakes LPG Storage, LLC - New Underground LPG Storage Facility

SEQR Status: Type I

Public Scoping: A draft scope for this project is available for review and an opportunity for written comment on the draft scope by involved and interested agencies and the public is hereby provided. Comments can be sent via surface mail or e-mail to the contact person listed below. Copies of the draft scope can be obtained from the contact person listed below and the Department's website at http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/6061.html. The end of the comment period on the draft scope is January 31, 2011. The purpose of scoping is to narrow issues and ensure that the dEIS will be a concise, accurate and complete document that is adequate for public review. The scoping process is intended to ensure public participation in the dEIS development process. More information about the SEQR process, generally, and scoping may be found on the following web pages: http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/357.html (general information) and http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/6477.html (scoping).

Description of Action: Finger Lakes LPG Storage, LLC proposes to construct and operate a new underground liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) storage facility for the storage and distribution of propane and butane on a portion of a 576 acre site located on NYS Routes 14 and 14A west of Seneca Lake in the Town of Reading. The storage facility will utilize existing caverns in the Syracuse salt formation created by US Salt and its predecessors' salt production operations. As proposed, a maximum of 2.10 million barrels (88.20 million gallons) of LPG will be stored in these caverns seasonally, displacing some of the brine currently filling them, and will be withdrawn by displacement of propane by brine when demand occurs during the heating season and displacement of butane by brine during the gasoline blending season. During storage operations, the brine displaced by LPG will be stored and contained in a 14-acre lined surface impoundment with a capacity of 2.19 million barrels (91.98 million gallons) on the hillside immediately east of the junction of Routes 14 and 14A. The facility will connect to the existing Teppco LPG interstate pipeline, and will ship LPG by truck via NYS Routes 14/14A and rail to the existing Norfolk & Southern Railroad. As proposed, the project involves construction of a new rail and truck LPG transfer facility, consisting of a 6 rail siding capable of allowing loading/unloading of 24 rail cars within 12 hours, and a truck loading station capable of loading 4 trucks per hour. The rail/truck loading facility is capable of being operated on a 24-hour basis 365 days a year. Construction will also include surface works consisting of truck and rail loading terminals, LPG storage tanks, offices and other distribution facilities, and stormwater control structures.

SEQR Notice of Public Scoping
Finger Lakes LPG Storage LLC
Watkins Glen Storage Facility

Location: The proposed LPG storage facility includes existing salt caverns located near the western shore of Seneca Lake, and extends uphill to the west with compressors and distribution operations straddling Route 14 south of the junction of Route 14A, in the Town of Reading, Schuyler County.

For Further Information:

Contact Person: David Bimber, Deputy Regional Permit Administrator
Address: NYSDEC - 6274 East Avon-Lima Road, Avon New York 14414
Telephone Number: 585-226-5401
E-mail: dlbimber@gw.dec.state.ny.us

A Copy of this Notice Sent to:

Commissioner, NYS DEC, 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233
Regional Office of NYS DEC
Supervisor, Town of Reading
Town of Reading Planning Board
Applicant
Interested Parties

DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (DSEIS) DRAFT SCOPING OUTLINE

Finger Lakes LPG Storage LLC, Watkins Glen LPG Storage Facility

DEC 8-4432-00085/00001

1.0 COVER SHEET. Type of document (draft, final), title of project, location, name and address of Lead Agency, name and telephone number of Lead Agency contact person, name and address of document preparer and deadline for acceptance of public and agency comments.

2.0 TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC or Department) finalized a SEQR review of underground gas storage projects in 1992 in the form of a Generic Environmental Impact Statement (1992 GEIS) on the Oil, Gas and Solution Mining Regulatory Program. As an outcome of that process, the DEC determined that new underground gas storage projects, including related surface facilities, must be evaluated to determine whether they may have a significant adverse impact on the environment and may require a supplemental EIS depending on the scope of the project. According to the GEIS, a supplemental EIS may be required if the proposed action is not addressed in this document and if the subsequent action involves one or more significant adverse environmental impacts. The DEC, as Lead Agency for the Finger Lakes liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) storage project, has determined that the scope of the proposed action described below and its potential for significant adverse environmental impacts is such that a draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS) should be prepared. DEC has determined that the project may result in adverse impacts, beyond those addressed in the 1992 GEIS, sufficient to require preparation of a site-specific, project-specific DSEIS. These issues will be presented and discussed, as described below.

In its November 17, 2010 Positive Declaration, the Department determined that the Finger Lakes LPG facility may have a potentially significant adverse impact on the environment based on the following list of issues and concerns, and that these potential adverse impacts and concerns are not sufficiently evaluated and addressed in the 1992 GEIS:

Impacts on land

- Integrity and stability of the proposed brine pond and its associated impoundment structure:
- An impoundment structure with a maximum height of 50 feet above its down slope toe is proposed to impound a 2.19 million barrel (91.98 million gallons) capacity brine pond on a site with variable slopes in the 8 to 12 percent range. The slope tends to steepen downhill in the area under the proposed impoundment structure. When full, the pond surface will be approximately 400 feet above Seneca Lake elevation, at a horizontal distance from the lake of approximately 2400 feet.
- Potential for catastrophic structural failure of the surface impoundment.
- Potential for subsidence associated with underground storage operations.

Impacts on water

- The potential for surface water contamination in the event of an impoundment structure failure due to its proximity to Seneca Lake.
- The potential for ground water contamination in the event of impoundment structure leakage, subsidence, or loss of cavern integrity.

Impacts on transportation

- Additional road and rail traffic.
- Potential truck traffic impacts to SR 14 & 14A.
- Additional train traffic over Watkins Glen Gorge bridge.

Noise impacts

- Operation of a new rail and truck loading facility in a sparsely developed rural area. where none currently exist.

Visual impacts

- New rail and truck loading facility.
- Brine pond.
- Compressor building.

Impacts on public safety

- LPG handling and containment.

3.0.1 Project Description. This section will describe the various elements of the project and their relationship or dependence on each other for the success of the project.

3.0.2 Executive Summary. This summary will present an overview of the project, provide a brief description of the overall proposed action, and list the following:

- significant beneficial and adverse impacts,
- alternatives considered,
- mitigation measures proposed,
- issues of controversy, if any, and
- matters to be decided, including a list of each permit or approval required.

3.0.3 Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action. The DSEIS will discuss the purpose, need and public benefit of the proposed project.

3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

3.1.1 Permits Required. Table 1.0 provides an overview of the permits and approvals presently anticipated to be necessary for the proposed project, the agencies responsible for the approvals and the applicable law or regulations associated with each approval. This table may be revised as additional information is developed in the course of the Scoping process.

3.1.2 State Environmental Quality Review. The SEQR Act and its implementing regulations require agencies to assess potential environmental impacts of proposed projects during the permitting process.

The DSEIS for this project is intended to function as a disclosure document to reveal information about the expected environmental effects of the proposed action and provide a basis for informed decisions. The DSEIS identifies and addresses the potential environmental impacts of a project and reasonable alternatives to the project and its component parts, if any, and identifies ways to avoid or mitigate any potential adverse impacts to the maximum extent practicable. Also addressed are irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources, growth inducing aspects, and the use and conservation of energy.

The DSEIS must be written to a level of detail to properly assess the impacts identified and that allows involved agencies to make a reasoned decision on the action. Many of the issues will also be reviewed in accordance with NYS statutory requirements relating, for example, to the Mineral Resources permitting program. In general, the DSEIS will follow the content requirements of SEQR, 6 NYCRR Part 617.9(b) Environmental Impact Statement Content.

With regard to Finger Lakes' application for an ECL Article 23 Underground Storage Permit, many impacts related to underground storage facilities have already been addressed in the 1992 GEIS. Therefore, instead of a DEIS here, a DSEIS will be prepared to address potential adverse impacts and concerns that are not sufficiently evaluated in the 1992 GEIS. Because the permitting of drilling, conversion and plugging of wells, associated potential impacts and mitigation measures have already been evaluated in the 1992 GEIS, related discussion and analysis is unnecessary in the DSEIS.

3.1.3 EIS Scoping Process. The primary goals of Scoping are to focus the DSEIS on potentially significant adverse impacts and to eliminate consideration of those impacts that are irrelevant or non-significant. The scoping process establishes the content of a DSEIS, and the lead agency provides the public the opportunity to participate in that process. The final scoping document will be completed after consideration of all substantive comments from the public and involved agencies.

3.1.4 Additional, future Opportunities for Public Comment. In addition to seeking public input on the scope, of the DSEIS, when completed and accepted by DEC, the DSEIS will be made available for public review and comment and the public will be notified of the same in the Environmental Notice Bulletin. at http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html. A final SEIS will then be prepared to address all substantive comments received. To help enable public review, DEC expects to post this scope and the expected DSEIS and supporting documents, as soon as they are prepared and accepted as adequate for public review, in an electronic format on its website at the following address http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/6061.html. All other applicable filing and notice requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 617.12 will be met.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES TO MINIMIZE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.

The environmental setting of the proposed project will be described. Impacts of the proposed project will be evaluated; for each environmental discipline required to be addressed by the

Positive Declaration (as mentioned above), the DSEIS will discuss present conditions, the environmental impacts anticipated to result from project development, alternatives, and mitigation measures to be incorporated into the project to avoid or minimize its impact. If beneficial impacts are identified, they will be described in a similar manner. In general, the DSEIS will follow the content requirements of SEQR, 6 NYCRR § 617.9(b) as modified to make the DSEIS relevant to the specific project proposal. This DSEIS will focus on identifying significant environmental issues, their analysis and the evaluation of alternatives related to avoiding, minimizing or mitigating impacts from the proposal. Specific topics to be addressed are discussed below.

4.1 IMPACTS ON LAND

4.1.1 Ecological Resources. The DSEIS will assess the potential impact of the operations of the new LPG storage facility on habitats for terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems within and in proximity to the site.

4.1.1.1 Existing Environmental Setting. The existing flora and resident and migratory fauna currently found at the proposed new LPG storage facility will be described. The New York Natural Heritage Program and the US Fish and Wildlife Service will be consulted to identify the presence of any threatened or endangered species or their habitats. Existing conditions shall be assessed through an onsite evaluation. The presence of any endangered or threatened species or significant habitats within or in proximity to the proposed facility will be identified through literature reviews, site surveys, and consultation with DEC staff. If any of the above are found, the size of the population, its range, and a description of its typical habitat shall be provided.

4.1.1.2 Potential Impacts. The potential impact on resident and migratory wildlife species will be evaluated and discussed. On-site field work will be described to evaluate the potential for any anticipated losses of terrestrial and aquatic habitat.

4.1.1.3 Potential Mitigation Measures and Alternatives. If any ecological, terrestrial or aquatic resources are identified where there is an impact which cannot be avoided, mitigation measures and alternatives shall be identified.

4.1.2 Proposed Brine Pond

4.1.2.1 Existing Environmental Setting. This section will describe the existing setting of the proposed brine pond location with regard to soils, hydrogeology, geotechnical stability and use of the site. The geotechnical stability analyses must also address the cyclic operation, including a site specific analysis for sudden draw down of the brine pond or any other anticipated conditions at this site from a geotechnical stability standpoint. The following information shall also be included: details of the brine conveyance and delivery system, details of the how the brine pond will be operated; contingency plans for liner system damage and repair, and any sediment cleaning anticipated, and projected life of the pond liner system.

4.1.2.2 Potential Impacts. This section will discuss issues associated with the design of the brine pond, including structural integrity and stability, potential failure, suitability (and available volume) of on-site soils for construction. If soils need to be imported or exported, the impacts associated with this activity will be discussed.

4.1.2.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures and Alternatives. This section will discuss construction of the double-lined brine pond, including soil testing and quality assurance/quality control measures to be taken during construction, efforts to ensure on-site soils are appropriately utilized, and post-construction and operational maintenance. Alternative impoundment designs that may have operational advantages in the possible event of liner system defects being detected, such as, the impoundment being designed as two separate containment systems will be provided; including the discussion of installation of a grid leak detection and location system, and underdrain system design in light of the existing hydrogeology and topography and year around conditions shall be included.

4.1.3 Underground Storage Caverns

4.1.3.1 Existing Environmental Setting. Borrowing from publicly available information submitted in connection with the underground storage permit application and site specific information, this section will discuss regional and local geology, historical use of the caverns proposed for underground storage, historic seismic or earthquake activity, and other uses and proximity of nearby caverns and their potential for interaction with the proposed LPG storage caverns.

4.1.3.2 Potential Impacts. Using publicly available information and site specific information, this section will discuss the suitability of the proposed caverns for underground storage of hydrocarbons. This section will include a discussion of geology, proposed storage pressures, subsidence, and mechanical integrity.

4.1.3.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures. Using publicly available information, this section will include a discussion of the long-term brine tests and nitrogen/brine interface tests that have been or will be used to demonstrate the caverns (and casing seats) are tight and suitable for storage use. This section will also include a discussion of the methodology that will be used to demonstrate structural stability of the storage caverns and containment of LPG over the life of the project (i.e., Finite Element Analysis). Further, this section will discuss the testing and monitoring that will occur on an ongoing basis upon commencement of operations of the LPG facility. In addition, this section will address cavern development for storage and operational and maintenance procedures.

4.2 IMPACTS ON WATER RESOURCES

4.2.1 Groundwater

4.2.1.1 Existing Environmental Setting. Existing groundwater resources within and in proximity of the new LPG underground storage and surface facility will be identified and described. With regard to the proposed brine pond location, the following information should be provided: (1) define the critical stratigraphic section for the site; (2) provide an understanding of groundwater (and surface water) at the site; (3) the seasonal high and low groundwater potentiometric elevations to establish hydrological conditions at the site; (4) contour maps showing high and low groundwater potentiometric surface elevations and direction of groundwater flow, (5) the local groundwater quality, including results of background water quality sampling, and (6) the aquifer/water bearing zone(s) of the geologic unit(s) underlying the proposed brine pond will be characterized including the basic soil/rock property of permeability. This information, along with information obtained from borings, published geologic literature, site specific information,

and on-site testing, will provide the basis for the hydrogeologic assessment and design of the brine pond.

4.2.1.2 Potential Impacts. Potential impacts that the brine storage ponds may have on groundwater will be identified and discussed, including the potential for impacts to the quality and quantity of groundwater. A spill pollution prevention plan will be prepared to reduce the potential for impact to groundwater.

4.2.1.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures and Alternatives. A discussion will be provided regarding the design, construction and operational procedures which will be utilized to minimize potential impacts to groundwater. This section will discuss what, if any, impact the pond will have on groundwater quality and alternatives for ensuring ground water quality including multiple layered liner systems, leak detection and monitoring strategies. Groundwater suppression must also be evaluated as a technique to ensure the stability of the ponds.

4.2.2 Surface Water

4.2.2.1 Existing Environmental Setting. Existing surface water resources, both State and federal, within and in proximity to the proposed storage facility will be identified and described. Streams, wetlands, floodplains (if any) and other surface water features will be identified and examined based on DEC and federal classification/delineation and field observations. Site drainage patterns will be described and mapped as applicable.

With regard to the proposed brine pond, information should be provided that describes the construction (depth, etc.) and what, if any, impact the pond will have on surface water quality. The stability of berms and other containment structures must be evaluated in terms of the geotechnical stability of on site soils coupled with side slope development.

4.2.2.2 Potential Impacts. Impacts related to the alteration of the surface water drainage patterns, erosion and sedimentation that may affect surface waters, including federal wetlands will be estimated. The potential for impacts to the quality and quantity of surface water will be evaluated.

4.2.2.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures and Alternatives. A storm water plan/erosion control plan will be prepared. The DSEIS will discuss the applicability of DEC's SPDES general permit both during construction and operation. In this regard, methods to control storm water runoff will be discussed. The locations of any detention basins will be provided. In addition, any leak detection or monitoring system for the brine pond will be discussed. Mitigation measures and alternatives for any other identified impacts to surface waters, State or federal wetlands will be described and discussed.

4.3 NOISE IMPACTS

4.3.1 Existing Environmental Setting. Potential receptors will be identified and the existing noise levels and sources identified in the vicinity of the proposed storage area including support facilities such as the rail and truck loading areas. Existing or ambient noise levels will be described based on noise measurements from the site and nearby residential receptor locations. Noise sources and locations associated with the proposed storage facility and processing operations will be identified and quantified. A noise analysis will be

required that is consistent with the requirements of the Department's noise guidance document DEP-00-1, Assessing and Mitigating Noise Guidance, and will include an identification of sources of noise generation, potential for adverse impacts to nearby receptors, and mitigation for those impacts.

4.3.2 Potential Impacts. Potential impacts associated with the operation, including truck/rail terminal operations will be identified and discussed. The sources, levels, character, and duration of operational noise that may occur will be identified based on anticipated storage facility operational procedures. The impacts of project-generated noise will be compared to existing noise levels at the project site.

4.3.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures and Alternatives. The DSEIS will identify and describe procedures that will be implemented to avoid or mitigate identified potential impacts associated with noise from the project. Proposals for mitigation of long-term impacts and short term construction impacts will be identified and addressed in the DSEIS. Noise impacts associated with site operations will be assessed. The DSEIS will address measures to avoid or mitigate noise that will be incorporated into the operation to reduce impacts at the facility property line or at receptor locations, as appropriate. Alternatives including but not limited to landscaping, hours of operation, and other Noise Policy best management practices, etc. will also be considered.

4.4 TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS

4.4.1 Existing Environmental Setting. Existing traffic and rail conditions in the vicinity of the proposed storage facility will be inventoried. The inventory will consider primary truck routes, key intersections along the routes, traffic volumes, and flow patterns all in relation to the rail and truck loading area. Incremental increases in traffic volumes associated with facility operation will be quantified. The inventory of the existing roadway system will include the composition and volume of current traffic flow, the posted speed limits, and the existing traffic volumes at area intersections in proximity to the facility during daily and peak periods. Any proposed modifications to the existing local transportation infrastructure will be described. The traffic data will be collected from existing reports and data.

With regard to rail, a description of the existing rail line, the activity on the rail line, and existing programs in place regarding maintenance and safety will be discussed. Where applicable, additional information regarding the rail crossing of the Watkins Glen Gorge Bridge will be discussed.

4.4.2 Potential Impacts. The potential impact of traffic and rail volumes and types relative to the proposed facility will be identified and evaluated. Access to storage facility areas from local roads and changes to local traffic infrastructure will be identified and evaluated.

4.4.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures and Alternatives.. The DSEIS will identify potential measures to avoid or mitigate impacts that may include road and rail construction and maintenance, signage, alternative access points to the facility, and other improvements as may be appropriate to maintain the existing level of highway service and public safety. Alternatives, e.g. access, hours of operation, onsite truck staging areas, and traffic infrastructure improvements (turn lanes, etc) should also be considered.

4.5 IMPACTS ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES

4.5.1 Environmental Setting. The DSEIS will discuss the existing visual setting for the location of the undersigned storage caverns, brine pond, and surface facility.

4.5.2 Potential Impact. Potential impacts from the construction of the above-ground facilities (i.e., the brine pond and surface Facilities) from the perspective of sensitive resources (i.e., Seneca Lake (mid lake and distant shoreline) and State Route 414) will be evaluated consistent with the Department's Visual Policy, document DEP-00-2, Assessing and Mitigation Visual Impacts, and will include at minimum a line of sight profile from these sensitive resources. In addition, an evaluation of views from State Routes 14 and 14A will be included with respect to the brine pond and surface facilities in a manner consistent with the Department's Visual Policy. This analysis will also evaluate whether, the brine pond is visible from Seneca Lake or State Route 414, or if such visibility is an adverse impact.

4.5.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures and Alternatives. The DSEIS will identify potential measures to avoid or mitigate impacts, or alternatives, including landscaping or other techniques discussed in the Department's Visual Policy; with regard to views of the brine pond and surface facility from State Routes 14 and 14A, State Route 414, Seneca Lake, and other potentially sensitive resources as necessary.

4.6 IMPACTS ON PUBLIC SAFETY

4.6.1 Environmental Setting. The DSEIS will discuss the area surrounding the location of the proposed facility, population, other facilities nearby, the road network, and available emergency services.

4.6.2 Potential Impacts. The DSEIS will evaluate the potential public safety impacts from the operation of the surface and underground components of the LPG storage facility along with the brine pond and its potential failure. This section will also discuss brine pond liner failure and/or replacement and potential abandonment (see ECL 23-1305) or closure and decommissioning of the facility either before or after the end of its useful life.

4.6.3 Proposed Mitigation Measures. Initially, this section will identify the regulatory oversight for LPG storage facilities and other standards that may apply to its construction and operation. The DSEIS will then address operating procedures, emergency response and contingency plans, security, spill control procedures, all of which are designed to minimize any potential public safety impact.

5.0 ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION

- **Alternative Sites.** The evaluation of alternative sites owned by, or under option, to the applicant and located in the general project area will include a comparison of the setting and potential impacts on the natural resource system (i.e., land and water), traffic, noise and the proximity of other existing and proposed facilities on the same property or adjacent thereto. This will include a discussion explaining the choice of underground caverns included in the underground storage permit application.

- **Alternative Size.** Alternatives that reflect the evaluation of changes in the scale or magnitude of the project (including the choice of having only one (1) brine pond instead of

two (2) or more) will include a comparison of impacts on the natural resource system, traffic, and demand for utilities and community services.

- **Alternative Access.** The DSEIS will discuss using a neighboring property, with an existing permitted driveway, to access the surface facility.

6.0 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES

The DSEIS will identify those natural and human resources listed in Section 4 that will be consumed, converted or made unavailable for future use.

7.0 GROWTH INDUCING ASPECTS

The DSEIS will identify, describe and discuss the potential growth inducing aspects that may occur as a result of the proposed project, including discussions on population, support facilities, and development potential as well as impacts on surrounding properties.

8.0 TABLES

9.0 REFERENCES

DEC Visual Policy: http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/6224.html
DEC Noise Policy: http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/6224.html

10.0 APPENDICES

Table 1.0

State Agencies		
Agency	**Permit/Interest**	**Applicable Law/Regulation**
NYS DEC	Underground Storage permit Stormwater SPDES permit Well Drilling, Conversion and Plugging permits	ECL 23-1301 ECL 17-0801 ECL 23-0501
NYS DOT	Curb cut: highway permit(s) (if required)	Highway Law §52 Vehicle and Traffic Law §1220-a
NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation	Cultural resources, historic preservation review	Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law Article 14
Federal Agencies		
US Army Corps of Engineers	Federal Wetland Permit (if required)	
US Environmental Protection Agency	Underground Injection Control (UIC), Class II X	42 U.S.C. Part 300 et seq. Safe Water Drinking Act
Local Government		
Town of Reading	Special Use Permit/Site Plan Approval	Town of Reading Land Use Law